Columbia County Hazard Mitigation Plan Update 2022



Flooding is seen Friday, Sept. 9, 2011, in Bloomsburg, Pa., after remnants from Tropical Storm Lee continued to produce heavy rain overnight. The grounds of the Bloomsburg Fair are located in the middle of this photo with water up to the roofs of buildings. (AP Photo/Mel Evans) <u>http://www.accuweather.com/en/weather-news/pennsylvania-cities-in-ruins-a-1/55099</u>

Table of Contents

| 1 Introduction | |
|--|---|
| 1.1 Background | |
| 1.2 Purpose | |
| 1.3 Scope | |
| 1.4 Authority and References | |
| 2 Community Profile | |
| 2.1 Geography and Environment | |
| 2.2. Community Facts | |
| 2.3. Population and Demographics | |
| Table 2.3-1: List of municipalities in Columbia | County with associated populations 18 |
| 2.4. Land Use and Development | |
| 2.5. Data Sources and Limitations | |
| Table 2.5-1: Columbia County Critical Facilities b Columbia County GIS, 2021) | y type in each municipality (CCEMA, 2021; |
| 3. Planning Process | |
| 3.1. Update Process and Participation Summary | |
| Table 3.1-1: Summary of participation from loc University during the 2021 Hazard Mitigation | cal municipalities and Bloomsburg Update Process |
| Table 3.1-2: Summary of changes to the format Columbia County HMP | t of the 2012 and 2017 version of the |
| 3.2. The Planning Team | |
| Table 3.2-1: Participants in the 2022 Columbia C | ounty HMP Update |
| 3.3. Meetings and Documentation | |
| 3.4. Public & Stakeholder Participation | |
| Figure 3.4-3: Examples of Social Media Notices E | Encouraging Public Participation |
| 3.5. Multi-Jurisdictional Planning | |
| 4. Risk Assessment | |
| 4.1. Update Process Summary | |
| 4.2. Hazard Identification | |
| Table 4.2-1: Presidential Disaster and Emergency | y Declarations affecting Columbia County. 46 |
| Table 4.2-2: Gubernatorial Disaster Declarations County | or Proclamations affecting Columbia |

| Table 4.2-3: Small Business Administration Disaster Declarations affecting Columb | ia County. |
|---|------------|
| | |
| 4.2.2. Summary of Hazards | |
| Table 4.2-4: Hazards identified and profiled in the 2017 Columbia County HMPU | 50 |
| 4.3. Hazard Profiles | 53 |
| 4.3.1. Drought | 53 |
| 4.3.1.1. Location and Extent | 53 |
| 4.3.1.2. Range of Magnitude | 53 |
| Table 4.3.1-1: Palmer Drought Severity Index (PSDI) classifications (NDMC, 2015) | 54 |
| 4.3.1.3. Past Occurrence | 56 |
| Table 4.3.1-2: Past drought events in Columbia County (PA DEP 2021) | 56 |
| 4.3.1.4. Future Occurrence | 57 |
| Figure 4.3.1-1 PDSI value for Columbia County (Columbia County GIS, 2021) | 58 |



| 4.3.1.5. Vulnerability Assessment |
|---|
| Table 4.3.1-3: Domestic Well Data for Columbia County. (Columbia County GIS, 2021) 61 |
| 4.3.2. Earthquake |
| 4.3.2.1. Location and Extent |
| 4.3.2.2. Range of Magnitude |
| Table 4.3.2-1: Richter scale magnitudes and associated earthquake size effects |
| Table 4.3.2-2: Modified Mercalli Intensity Scale with associated impacts65 |
| 4.3.2.3. Past Occurrence |
| 4.3.2.4. Future Occurrence |
| 4.3.2.5. Vulnerability Assessment |
| 4.3.3. Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam |
| <i>4.3.3.1. Location and Extent</i> |
| Figure 4.3.3-1: Diagram identifying Special Flood Hazard Area, 1% annual chance (100- Year) floodplain, floodway and flood fringe67 |
| 4.3.3.2. Range of Magnitude |
| <i>4.3.3.3. Past Occurrence</i> |
| Table 4.3.3-1: Flood and flash flood events impacting Columbia County from 1993-2021 (NCDC, 2021; PIERS, 2021). "Countywide" indicates several locations in the County were affected. |
| Table 4.3.3-2: Summary of the number and type of Repetitive Loss properties by municipality (PEMA, 2021) |
| Table 4.3.3-3: Columbia County Municipal Participation in the National Flood Insurance Program |
| <i>4.3.3.4. Future Occurrence</i> |
| Table 4.3.3-4: Recurrence intervals and associated probabilities of occurrence (FEMA, 2001). |
| 4.3.3.5. Vulnerability Assessment |
| Table 4.3.3-5: Structure and population vulnerability to floods in Columbia County (Columbia County GIS, 2021) |
| Table 4.3.3-6: Structure land use in Columbia County within the 1% Annual-Chance Flood area (Columbia County GIS, 2021) |
| Table 4.3.3-7: Critical facilities vulnerable to flood by municipality (Columbia County GIS, 2021) |

| 4.3.4 H u r r i c a n e , Tropical Storm, Nor'easter | 89 |
|--|----------------------------|
| 4.3.4.1 Location and Extent | 89 |
| 4.3.4.2 Range of Magnitude | 91 |
| Table 4.3.4-1: Saffir-Simpson Scale categories with associated wind speeds and ((NHC, 2012). | damages 92 |
| 4.3.4.3 Past Occurrence | 92 |
| Table 4.3.4-2: Previous coastal storms tracking through or near Columbia Count (coast.noaa.gov) | y 93 |
| 4.3.4.4 Future Occurrence | 93 |
| 4.3.4.5 Vulnerability Assessment | 96 |
| 4.3.5 Landslide | |
| 4.3.5.1 Location and Extent | 96 |
| 4.3.5.2 Range of Magnitude | |
| 4.3.5.3 Past Occurrence | |
| 4.3.5.4 Future Occurrence | |
| 4.3.5.5 Vulnerability Assessment | |
| Table 4.3.5-1: Number of addressable structures and critical facilities located in high susceptibility to landslide. (Columbia County GIS, 2021) | areas with 100 |
| Table 4.3.5-2: Land-Use of Structures within areas of High Susceptibility to Lana (Columbia County GIS, 2021) | <i>lslide</i> 102 |
| Table 4.3.5-3: Land-Use of Parcels within areas of High Susceptibility to Landslic County GIS, 2021) | <i>le (Columbia</i> 103 |
| 4.3.6 P a n d e m i c | 105 |
| 4.3.6.1 Location and Extent | 105 |
| 4.3.6.2 Range of Magnitude | 106 |
| 4.3.6.3 Past Occurrence | 106 |
| Figure 4.3.6-1: Laboratory-Confirmed Zika Cases in the United States as of Sep 2016 (CDC, 2016) | otember 107 |
| Table 4.3.6-1: List of previous significant outbreaks of influenza over the past ce Security, 2009; World Health Organization, 2021) | entury (Global 107 |
| 4.3.6.4 Future Occurrence | 111 |
| 4.3.6.5 Vulnerability Assessment | 111 |
| 4.3.7 Radon | 112 |
| 4.3.7.1 Location and Extent | 112 |

| Figure 4.3.7-2: Sketch of radon entry points into a house (Arizona Geological Surv | vey, |
|--|----------------------|
| 2006) | 114 |
| 4.3.7.2 Range of Magnitude | 115 |
| Table 4.3.7-1: Radon risk for smokers and non-smokers (EPA, 2016). | 116 |
| 4.3.7.3 Past Occurrence | 117 |
| Table 4.3.7-2: Countywide radon test results (Lewis, 2016) | 118 |
| Table 4.3.7-3: Radon level tests and results in Columbia County zip codes (PADEP, 20 | <i>)21).</i> . 119 |
| 4.3.7.4 Future Occurrence | 121 |
| 4.3.7.5 Vulnerability Assessment | 121 |
| 4.3.8 Tornado, Windstorm | 121 |
| 4.3.8.1 Location and Extent | 121 |
| 4.3.8.2 Range of Magnitude | 122 |
| Table 4.3.8-1: Enhanced Fujita Scale (EF-Scale) categories with associated wind s | speeds |
| and description of damages | 124 |
| 4.3.8.3 Past Occurrence | 125 |
| Table 4.3.8-2: Previous tornado events between 1950 and 2021 in Columbia County 2021). | <i>(NCDC,</i> 125 |
| Table 4.3.8-3: Previous windstorm events greater than 50 knots in Columbia County | , between |
| 1950 and 2021 (NCDC, 2021). "Multiple Counties" indicates a regional event that in | npacted |
| Columbia County | 127 |
| 4.3.8.4 Future Occurrence | 131 |
| 4.3.8.5 Vulnerability Assessment | 133 |
| Table 4.3.8-4: Trailers in Columbia County (Columbia County GIS, 2021) | 133 |
| 4.3.9 Wildfire | 134 |
| 4.3.9.1 Location and Extent | 134 |
| 4.3.9.2 Range of Magnitude | 134 |
| 4.3.9.3 Past Occurrence | 135 |
| Table 4.3.9-1: Forest Fire Statistics from 2020– for District 18, Weiser Forest. (PA 2021) | <i>DCNR,</i> 135 |
| 4.3.9.4 Future Occurrence | 136 |
| 4.3.9.5 Vulnerability Assessment | |
| Table 4.3.9-2: Structures and critical facilities within hiah wildfire hazard areas in C | olumbia |
| County (Columbia County GIS, 2021) | 136 |

| Table | e 4.3.9-3: Type of structure by municipality located in wildfire high-hazard |
|------------------|--|
| areas | 5.(Columbia County GIS, 2021) |
| 4.3.10 Win | t er Storm |
| 4.3.10.1 | Location and Extent |
| 4.3.10.2 | Range of Magnitude |
| 4.3.10.3 | Past Occurrence |
| Table 2021 | e 4.3.10-1: Previous winter storm events impacting Columbia County since 1994 (NCDC,). Events with the location "Multiple Counties" include Columbia County |
| 4.3.10.4 | Future Occurrence |
| Table Locat | e 4.3.10-2: Probability of Measurable Snowfall in Columbia County by Snow Station tion (NCDC, 2011) |
| 4.3.10.5 | Vulnerability Assessment |
| Table | e 4.3.10-3: Age of Housing Units in Columbia County (US Census, ACS, 2010-2014) 147 |
| HUMAN-MAD | E HAZARDS |
| 4.3.11 Dam | Failure |
| 4.3.12 Envi | ronmental Hazards |
| 4.3.12.1 | Location and Extent |
| 4.3.12.2 | Range of Magnitude |
| 4.3.12.3 | Past Occurrence |
| Table and 2 | 2 4.3.12-1: Previous hazardous materials incidents in Columbia County between 2001 2021 (CCEMA, 2021) |
| Figure | 4.3.12-4: : Centralia Mine Fire damage to PA Rt. 61 (Encyclopedia of Earth, 2006) |
| 4.3.12.4 | Future Occurrence |
| Figure Depart | 4.3.12-5: Map of Oil and Gas wells drilled in Columbia County (Pennsylvania ment of Environmental Protection, 2021)168 |
| 4.3.12.5 | Vulnerability Assessment |
| Table assoc | e 4.3.12-2: Number of Hazardous Materials planning facilities per jurisdiction with ciated critical facilities and population affected. (Columbia County EMA & GIS, 2021) 171 |
| Table Shale | e 4.3.12-3: Structure land use of addressable structures underlain with the Marcellus e.(Columbia County GIS, 2021) |
| Table areas | e 4.3.12-7: Number of addressable structures and critical facilities within coal deposit 5 (Columbia County GIS Dept., 2021) |
| 4.3.13 Leve | e Failure |
| 4.3.13.1 | Location and Extent |

| Figure | 4.3.13-1: Fishing Creek Levee Location (FEMA Region III) |
|-------------------|---|
| Figure | 4.3.13-2: Approximate location of Kawneer Floodwall (Columbia County Resiliency, |
| 2021). | |
| Figure Resilie | 4.3.13-3: Bloomsburg Flood Risk Management Project (Columbia County ncy, 2020) |
| 4.3.13.2 | Range of Magnitude |
| 4.3.13.3 | Past Occurrence |
| 4.3.13.4 | Future Occurrence |
| 4.3.13.5 | Vulnerability Assessment |
| Tabl (Coh | e 4.3.13-1: Value of residential and commercial structures protected by levees umbia County GIS, 2021) |
| 4.3.14 Nuc | ear Incidents |
| 43141 | Location and Extent 187 |
| 4.3.14.2 | Range of Magnitude 190 |
| 4.3.14.3 | Past Occurrence 191 |
| 4.3.14.4 | Future Occurrence 192 |
| 4.3.14.5 | Vulnerability Assessment |
| Figure (Talen | 4.3.14-2: Evacuation plan map for the Susquehanna Steam Electric Station area Energy, 2021) |
| 4.3.15 Utili | ty Interruption |
| 4.3.15.1 | Location and Extent |
| 4.3.15.2 | Range of Magnitude |
| 4.3.15.3 | Past Occurrence |
| Table | e 4.3.15-1: Utility interruption at Columbia County from 2002-2009 (PEIRS, 2002-09). 195 |
| Table (Colu | e 4.3.15-2: Major Utility Interruptions in Columbia County from 2009 until 2021 Imbia County EMA, 2009-21) |
| 4.3.15.4 | <i>Future Occurrence</i> |
| 4.3.15.5 | Vulnerability Assessment |
| 4.4. Hazard V | ulnerability Summary |
| 4.4.1. Meth | odology |
| Table | e 4.4-1: Summary of Risk Factor approach used to rank hazard risk |
| 4.4.2. Rank | ing Results |
| Tabl | e 4.4-2: Ranking of hazard types based on Risk Factor methodology |

| Table 4.4-3: Calculated Countywide Risk Factor by hazard Risk | and Comparative Jurisdictional |
|---|--|
| 4.4.3. Potential Loss Estimates | |
| Figure 4.4-2: Potential Economic Loss within 1% Annual Chanc 2016) | e of Flood (Columbia County GIS, |
| Table 4.4-4: NFIP Claims and losses paid in Columbia County | since 1978 (FEMA, 2016) 208 |
| 4.4.4. Future Development and Vulnerability | |
| 5. Capability Assessment | |
| 5.1. Update Process Summary | |
| 5.2. Capability Assessment Findings | |
| 5.2.1 Planning and Regulatory Capability | |
| Table 5.2.1-1: Summary of planning tools adopted by each r (Updated as of March 2022) | nunicipality in Columbia County 212 |
| Figure 5.2.1-1: Planning & Regulatory Capabilities | |
| 5.2.1.1 Participation in the National Flood Insurance Pro | gram (NFIP)217 |
| requires the most credit points and gives the largest premium no premium reduction. CRS premium discounts on flood insu Class 9 communities up to 45 percent for Class 1 communitie CRS community since October of 1993; its CRS class is 8, m policyholders eligible for a 10% discount on their NFIP flood in | reduction; Class 10 receives rance range from 5 percent for s. Bloomsburg has been a aking the community's nsurance premiums |
| 5.2.2 Administrative and Technical Capability | |
| 5.2.2.1 Emergency Management | |
| 5.2.3 Financial Capability | |
| Figure 5.2.3-1: Fiscal Capabilities of Municipalities relating specifically for Hazard Mitigation | to availability of funds 223 |
| 5.2.4 Political Capability | |
| Figure 5.2.4-1: Summary of Self-Assessment Survey Comple | eted by Municipal Officials 224 |
| 5.2.4.1 Self-Assessment | |
| Figure 5.2.4.1-1: Averages of all Capability Assessment Surv Officials | veys Submitted by Municipal |
| 5.2.5 Plan Integration | |
| 6. Mitigation Strategy | |
| 6.1. Update Process Summary | |
| Table 6.1-1: List of 2017 Mitigation Strategy Goals and Ob | iectives. (2022) 231 |

| Table 6.1-2: List and review summary of 2017 mitigation actions. (2022) |
|--|
| 6.2. Mitigation Goals and Objectives |
| Table 6.2-1: List of Mitigation Strategy Goals and Objectives. (2022) |
| 6.3. Identification and Analysis of Mitigation Techniques |
| Table 6.3-1: Mitigation techniques used for moderate and high risk hazards in Columbia County.(2022)247 |
| 6.4. Mitigation Action Plan |
| Table 6.4-1: List of 2022 Mitigation Actions 250 |
| Table 6.4-2: Summary of mitigation action prioritization (2022) |
| 7. Plan Maintenance |
| 7.1. Update Process Summary |
| 7.2. Monitoring, Evaluating and Updating the Plan |
| 7.3. Continued Public Involvement 327 |
| 8. Plan Adoption |
| County Adoption Resolution |
| Municipal Adoption Resolution |

1 Introduction

1.1 Background

Across the United States, natural and man-made disasters have led to increasing levels of deaths, injuries, property damage, and interruption of business and government services. The time, money, and effort needed to recover from these disasters exhausts resources, diverting attention from important public programs and private agendas. Since 1955 there have been 50 Presidential Disaster Declarations and nine Presidential Emergency Declarations in Pennsylvania, twelve of which have included Columbia County, respectively. The emergency management community, citizens, elected officials and other stakeholders in Columbia County, Pennsylvania recognize the impact of disasters on their community and support proactive efforts needed to reduce the impact of natural and human-made hazards.

Hazard mitigation describes sustained actions taken to prevent or minimize long-term risks to life and property from hazards and create successive benefits over time. Pre-disaster mitigation actions are taken in advance of a hazard event and are essential to breaking the disaster cycle of damage, reconstruction and repeated damage. With careful selection, successful mitigation actions are cost-effective means of reducing risk of loss over the long-term.

Hazard mitigation planning has the potential to produce long-term and recurring benefits by breaking the cycle of loss. A core assumption of mitigation is that current dollars invested in mitigation practices will significantly reduce the demand for future dollars by lessening the amount needed for recovery, repair, and reconstruction. These mitigation practices will also enable local residents, businesses, and industries to re-establish themselves in the wake of a disaster, getting the economy back on track sooner and with less interruption.

Accordingly, the Columbia County Hazard Mitigation Steering Group (HMSG) composed of government leaders from Columbia County, in cooperation with the elected officials of the County and its municipalities have prepared this Hazard Mitigation Plan (HMP) update. The Plan is the result of work by citizens of the County to develop a pre-disaster multi-hazard mitigation plan that will not only guide the County towards greater disaster resistance, but will also respect the character and needs of the community.

1.2 Purpose

The purpose of this All-Hazard Mitigation Plan Update (HMPU) is:

To protect life, safety, and property by reducing the potential for future damages and economic losses that result from natural hazards;

To qualify for additional grant funding, in both the pre-disaster and the post-disaster environment;

To qualify for additional credit under the Community Ratings System (CRS);

To speed recovery and redevelopment following future disaster events;

To demonstrate a firm local commitment to hazard mitigation principles; and

To comply with both state and federal legislative requirements for local hazard mitigation plans.

1.3 Scope

The Columbia County 2022 Hazard Mitigation Plan update has been prepared to meet requirements set forth by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) and Pennsylvania Emergency Management Agency (PEMA) in order for the County to be eligible for funding and technical assistance from state and federal hazard mitigation programs. It will be updated and maintained to address both natural and human-made hazards determined to be of significant risk to the County and/or its local municipalities. Updates will take place at a minimum every five years, but they will also take place following significant disaster events.

1.4 Authority and References

Authority for this plan originates from the following federal sources: Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act, 42 U.S.C., Section 322, as amended; Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Title 44, Parts 201 and 206;

Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000, Public Law 106-390, as amended; and National Flood Insurance Act of 1968, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 4001 *et seq.*

Authority for this plan originates from the following Commonwealth of Pennsylvania sources: Pennsylvania Emergency Management Services Code. Title 35, Pa C.S. Section 101; Pennsylvania Municipalities Planning Code of 1968, Act 247 as reenacted and amended by Act 170 of 1988; and Pennsylvania Stormwater Management Act of October 4, 1978. P.L. 864, No. 167.

The following FEMA guides and reference documents were used to prepare this document: FEMA 386-6: *Integrating Historic Property and Cultural Resource Considerations into Hazard Mitigation Planning*. May 2005.

FEMA 386-8: Multijurisdictional Mitigation Planning. August 2006.

FEMA: Local Mitigation Planning Handbook. March 2013.

FEMA: Local Mitigation Plan Review Guide. October 1, 2011.

FEMA: *Mitigation Ideas: A Resource for Reducing Risk to Natural Hazards.* January 2013. FEMA: *Integrating Hazard Mitigation into Local Planning: Case Studies and Tools for Community Officials.* March 1, 2013.

FEMA: Plan Integration: Linking Local Planning Efforts. July 2015.

The following PEMA guides and reference documents were used prepare this document: PEMA: *Standard Operating Guide*. 2020.

PEMA: Pennsylvania State Hazard Mitigation Plan. October 2019.

2 Community Profile

2.1 Geography and Environment

Columbia County covers a land area of 486 square miles and is located in the northeastern section of Pennsylvania. It is bordered by the counties of Luzerne, Lycoming, Montour, Northumberland, Schuylkill, and Sullivan. The County is nestled amongst and divided by the Appalachian Mountains, creating natural separation between some municipalities. Beaver and Roaring Creek townships are divided by Catawissa Mountain, and Little Mountain separates Locust and Conyngham townships (Battle, 1887).

The bustling Town of Bloomsburg lies in the flattest area of the County north of the Susquehanna River. Most of the land is more mountainous and hilly, often necessitating that developers slope contours and grade the land before construction. Outdoor recreational spaces in the region include Bloomsburg Town Park, Weiser State Forest, and Rickett's Glen State Park.

The Susquehanna River traverses the County from east to west, and about 20 miles of the river lie within Columbia County. Some of smaller but still-important waterways in the County include Roaring, Catawissa, Hemlock, Huntingdon, Mill, Pine, and Green Creeks (Freeze, 1883). These waterways are shown in Figure 2.1-1.



Figure 2.1-1 Watersheds of Columbia County (Columbia County GIS, 2021)

2.2. Community Facts

Columbia County was named after the Italian explorer Columbo, or more commonly known in Latin as Columbus. In March of 1813, Columbia County separated from Northumberland County (Columbia County, 2011). Originally, the County was divided into twelve Townships, but eventually grew to a total of 33 Municipalities, including 8 boroughs, 24 townships, and the Town of Bloomsburg. These jurisdictions are mapped in Figure 2.2-1. Bloomsburg has been described as a quasi-borough, and is the only incorporated town in the state of Pennsylvania. The area was first known as Bloom Township in 1798, but after many sections of the township were partitioned off to other municipalities, the area was organized into a town in 1870 (Freeze, 1883).

The County is home to many of Pennsylvania's historic covered bridges, with nineteen in the County borders and four that connect Columbia with adjacent counties. Most of the structures were built in the mid to late 1800's, thus drawing tourists and bridge enthusiasts to the area. The Town of Bloomsburg is home to Bloomsburg University, which is comprised of approximately 8,500 students and staff that bring culture, life, and commerce to the area. The Town's traditional retail and business suffered from competition with malls, shopping centers, and ecommerce over the last three decades. Bloomsburg's downtown area has most recently accommodated the university through housing and commerce favorable student needs and interests. The rise Covid-19, remote learning, as well as university policy to keep students on campus longer will have significant impacts to downtown in the short-term. Bloomsburg is also the County seat.



Figure 2.2-1: Basemap of Columbia County (Columbia County GIS, 2021)

2.3. Population and Demographics

According to the 2010 Census, the population of Columbia County was 67,295. Columbia County's population shrunk to 64,727 people according to the 2020 Census. Table 2.3-1 shows the distribution of County population per municipality obtained from the U.S. Census Bureau. Population decline may be due to a multitude of factors, it is most likely due to a decline in student enrollment due to the COVID 19 pandemic. Historically growth has been strongest in Bloomsburg and in Hemlock Township just north of Bloomsburg. In Bloomsburg historical growth was due in large part to the presence of Bloomsburg University; in the decennial Census, individuals are counted in the jurisdiction in which they reside for the majority of the year, meaning that increases in the student population are reflected in the overall population. However, we cannot be certain of the origin of decline until we see the published 2020 numbers for each municipality.

| | ies in columbia county | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|--|--|--|--|
| MUNICIPALITY | 2010 Population | 2020 Population | Percent Change (%) | | | | |
| Beaver Township | 917 | 876 | -4.5% | | | | |
| Benton Borough | 824 | 823 | -0.1% | | | | |
| Benton Township | 1,245 | 1,323 | 6.3% | | | | |
| Berwick Borough | 10,477 | 10,477 10,327 -1 . | | | | | |
| Bloomsburg, Town of | 14,855 | 12,711 | -14.4% | | | | |
| Briar Creek Borough | 660 | 597 | -9.5% | | | | |
| Briar Creek Township | 3,016 | 2,982 | -1.1% | | | | |
| Catawissa Borough | 1,552 | 1,536 | -1.0% | | | | |
| Catawissa Township | 932 | 902 | -3.2% | | | | |
| Centralia Borough | 10 | 5 | -50.0% | | | | |
| Cleveland Township | 1,110 | 1,120 | 0.9% | | | | |
| Conyngham Township | 758 | 589 | -9.1% | | | | |
| Fishing Creek Township | 1,416 | 1,523 | 7.0% | | | | |
| Franklin Township | 595 | 550 | -7.6% | | | | |
| Greenwood Township | 1,952 | 1,881 | -3.6% | | | | |
| Hemlock Township | 2,249 | 2,214 | -1.6% | | | | |
| Jackson Township | 626 | 622 | -0.6% | | | | |
| Locust Township | 1,404 | 1,458 | 3.8% | | | | |
| Madison Township | 1,605 | 1,564 | -2.6% | | | | |
| Main Township | 1,236 | 1,271 | 2.8% | | | | |
| Mifflin Township | 2,322 | 2,269 | -2.3% | | | | |
| Millville Borough | 948 | 976 | 3.0% | | | | |
| Montour Township | 1,344 | 1,263 | -6.4% | | | | |
| Mount Pleasant Township | 1,609 | 1,498 | -6.9% | | | | |
| North Centre Township | 2,105 | 2,036 | -3.3% | | | | |

Table 2.3-1: List of municipalities in Columbia County with associated populations

| Orange Township | 1,257 | 1,161 | -7.6% | | |
|------------------------|--------|--------|-------|--|--|
| Orangeville Borough | 508 | 479 | -5.7% | | |
| Pine Township | 1,046 | 1,011 | -3.3% | | |
| Roaring Creek Township | 545 | 556 | 2.0% | | |
| Scott Township | 5,113 | 5,514 | 7.8% | | |
| South Centre Township | 1,937 | 1,904 | -1.7% | | |
| Stillwater Borough | 209 | 199 | -4.8% | | |
| Sugarloaf Township | 913 | 887 | -2.8% | | |
| Columbia County TOTALS | 67,295 | 64,727 | -3.8% | | |

Historically, population growth was fairly steady in the 1800's; however, growth has tapered off in recent years, and has declined for the county as a whole in 2020 from 2010. Centralia Borough has dwindled to only five residents due to a continuously burning underground mine fire that began in 1962. The U.S. government presented residents with buyout offers and all but a few accepted and moved to neighboring Townships and Boroughs (Krajick, 2005). The median income of households in Columbia County is \$54,570. This is almost \$9,000 less than the national median household income (U.S. Census 2020 Columbia Co PA Profile). Nearly 16 percent of the County population lives in poverty. The median age of the County population is 41.5 years with approximately eighty-two percent of the population over 18 years of age and nineteen percent 65 years or older. There are an estimated 29,654 housing units, about eighty nine percent of which are occupied with eleven percent being vacant (U.S. Census 2020 Columbia Co PA Profile). Ninety-two percent of the County population is 41.6 years or older. There are an estimated 29,654 housing units, about eighty nine percent of which are occupied with eleven percent being vacant (U.S. Census 2020 Columbia Co PA Profile). Ninety-two percent of the County population is White, one point five percent are Black or African-American, and a total of three percent is Hispanic or Latino.

2.4. Land Use and Development

Columbia County has primarily forested and undeveloped land. About 92 percent of the land is undeveloped (Columbia County Comprehensive Recreation, Parks, Greenways, and Open Space Plan, 2007), consisting of both forests and agricultural farm land. That leaves only 8 percent of the County as developed land, which is concentrated along the Susquehanna River as Figure 2.4-1 illustrates. The flatland areas of the County are sought after by both farmers and developers who could benefit by cultivating the property. There are small patches of barren land in both Centralia Borough and Conyngham Township due to the mine fire. Both Greenwood and Fishing Creek Townships have small areas designated as waste disposal. During the 1990's, the housing market expanded quickly in Columbia County, and soon the housing units were increasing more than the population. Though the population shrunk by 2,568, housing units increased by 134 between the 2010 and the 2020 Census. (U.S. Census Bureau, 2020).

Fortunately, most municipalities in the County are embracing the use of zoning ordinances to monitor and control the growth of their communities. According to the Columbia County Planning Commission, there have been no changes to the zoning ordinances in places with higher population growth that might indicate increased densification or increased sprawl in those communities.

According to the 2005 Pennsylvania Department of Community and Economic Development (DCED) Land Use Profile, County has specific concerns around open space and farmland

preservation. As a result, the County has placed priority on conserving their open space and resources. Participation in Pennsylvania's Agricultural Conservation Easement Program has helped to save 14 farms and 1,585 acres of land. Another 9,000 acres in Northumberland and Columbia Counties' Brush Valley area have been preserved with the help of the Department of Conservation of Natural Resources (DCED, 2005).



Figure 2.4-1: Map of Land use in Columbia County (Columbia County GIS, 2021)

In order to assess the vulnerability of different jurisdictions to the hazards, data on past

occurrences of damaging hazard events was gathered. For a number of historic naturalhazard events, the National Climatic Data Center (NCDC) database was utilized. NCDC is a division of the US Department of Commerce's National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). Information on hazard events is compiled by NCDC from data gathered by the National Weather Service (NWS), another division of NOAA. NCDC then presents it on their website in various formats. The data used for this plan came from the US Storm Events database, which "documents the occurrence of storms and other significant weather phenomena having sufficient intensity to cause loss of life, injuries, significant property damage, and/or disruption to commerce" (NOAA, 2020).

While NCDC data is comprised of natural hazards information, additional information focused more on human-made hazards was obtained through Knowledge Center (KC), and WebEOC. KC was the principal crisis management software that Columbia County used to provide up-to-date information as an event unfolded through the response and recovery phases. Since the middle of 2021 Columbia County now utilizes WebEOC. When applicable, KC incident data spanning approximately the last 5 years (beginning on 10/29/2012) was used in the 2017 plan update. Although PEIRS data proved valuable, primarily in the human-made hazards section where few records of past occurrences exist, data limitations exist in that the reporting system is not mandatory. As a result, while KC reports provide important information on the frequency of past events, because it is a voluntary reporting system, the number and frequency of events may be under- reported. KC information was used primarily in the following hazard profile sections: environmental hazards and utility interruptions.

As of this writing only Columbia County utilizes WebEOC. Rollout to municipalities will being in 2022.

Every attempt was made to provide consistency in reported data and in data sources. Data from the US Census Bureau 2020 Decennial Census was used throughout this plan. Population data from 2010 and 2020 was used in order to show changes in the population at the Municipal/County level. The calculated population at risk of flooding in Section 4.3.3.5 is derived from the 2020 Census Block geography. In addition, the age of housing units reported in Section 4.3.10, comes from the 2016-2020 American Community Survey because the Decennial Census no longer collects this information. As new Census data becomes available, it will be incorporated into the HMP.

Additional information used to complete the risk assessment for this plan was taken from various government agency and non-government agency sources. Those sources are cited where appropriate throughout the plan with full references listed in **Appendix A** – **Bibliography**. It should be noted that numerous GIS datasets were obtained from the Pennsylvania Spatial Data Access (PASDA) website (<u>http://www.pasda.psu.edu/</u>). PASDA is the official public access geospatial information clearinghouse for the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. PASDA was developed by the Pennsylvania State University as a service to the citizens, governments, and businesses of the Commonwealth. PASDA is a cooperative project of the Governor's Office of Administration, Office for Information Technology, Geospatial Technologies Office and the Penn State Institutes of Energy and the Environment of the Pennsylvania State University.

The Columbia County GIS director provided GIS structures dataset that has been used as an inventory of structures throughout the County in this plan. This dataset included a

generalized structure type which has been incorporated into this plan where appropriate. Structure types included agricultural, commercial, exempt, residential, tower, trailer, utility, and vacant. The "Trailers" category incorporates agricultural trailers, residential trailers, commercials, and leased trailers (with no land). In order to estimate the number of trailers in the SFHA, addressable structures with the land uses "agricultural trailer", "residential trailer", "commercial trailer", and "general trailer" structure type were selected; then the structures were intersected with the SFHA.

The flood hazard area data used in this plan is the Effective Countywide Digital Flood Insurance Rate Map (DFIRM), released August 19, 2008. This data provides flood frequency and elevation information used in the flood hazard risk assessment. Other GIS datasets including *large and small streams, ponds, municipalities, roads, land use,* and *critical infrastructure* were provided by the Columbia County GIS Department. Population data from the 2010 Census and 2020 estimated populations were obtained from the U.S. Census Bureau in 2020.

Due to the time and cost involved, the HMPT chose not to utilize HAZUS for the hazard analysis portion of the update. Instead, the Columbia County GIS Department used databases available to them to complete analysis on the various hazards throughout the plan as appropriate. The 100-year chance of flood was analyzed as follows:

The Department of Geographic Information Systems of the County of Columbia conducted an analysis of the structures impacted by the 1% annual chance flood hazard (100-year flood hazard). Utilizing the following geographic layers – 1% annual chance flood hazard areas (FEMA), parcels (Columbia), and building centroids (Columbia) – the Department identified those at risk structures impacted by the flood hazard. Using those at risk structures, were able to determine the associated structures' valuation data maintained by the county Assessment Office.

Using the following common level ration formula, (building market value * 0.5 * 5.18), the structures valuation was converted from 1992 market value to 2021 market value. To simulate the estimated loss for such an event, 25% was applied to each structures valuation.

The estimated loss for a 1% annual chance flood hazard was summarized by municipality and classified by structure land use. (Columbia County GIS, 2021)

This HMP evaluates the vulnerability of the County's critical facilities. For the purposes of this plan, critical facilities are those entities that are essential to the health and welfare of the community, including facilities that would be needed to serve as shelters in an emergency. The list of critical facilities was developed based on the critical infrastructure information from the 2017 HMP; the old plan's information has been updated to reflect changes in the County's critical infrastructure in the last five years. The critical facilities include law enforcement, emergency response, medical services, campgrounds, churches, day care centers, municipal buildings, and schools. There are a total of 367 critical facilities in the County. Table 2.5-1 summarizes the critical facilities in Columbia County by type and by municipality. For a complete listing of critical facilities, please see **Appendix E**.

Eric Stahley

| Table 2.5-1: Columbia County Critical Facilities by type in each municipality (CCEMA, 2021; Columbia County GIS, 2021). | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------|-----------------------|----------------------|------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|------------------|------------------------|---------|-----------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|
| Municipality | 911 Center | Airport / Airstrip | Ambulance Centers | Camp- grounds | Religious Institutions | Day Care Centers | Fire Stations | Hospitals / Clinics | Lodging | Municipal Building | Nursing Home | Police Station | Educational Facility | Water Treatment Plant | Total |
| Beaver Township | | | | | 2 | | 1 | | | 1 | | | 1 | | 5 |
| Benton Borough | | 1 | 1 | | 2 | 1 | 1 | | | 1 | | 1 | 2 | | 10 |
| Benton Township | | | | 3 | 3 | | | | | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | 9 |
| Berwick Borough | | | 1 | | 24 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 51 |
| Town of Bloomsburg | 1 | 1 | 2 | | 15 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | | 2 | 5 | 1 | 40 |
| Briar Creek Borough | | | | | | 2 | | | 1 | 1 | 2 | | | | 6 |
| Briar Creek Township | | | | | 8 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | 1 | | | 13 |
| Catawissa Borough | | | | | 3 | 3 | 1 | | | 1 | | 1 | | | 9 |
| Catawissa Township | | | | | 3 | | | | | 1 | | | | 1 | 5 |
| Centralia Borough | | | | | | | 1 | | | 1 | | | | | 2 |
| Cleveland Township | | | | 3 | 2 | 1 | | | | 1 | | | | | 7 |
| Conyngham Township | | | | | 3 | | 3 | | | 1 | | 1 | | | 8 |
| Fishing Creek Township | | | | 3 | 6 | 2 | | | | 1 | | | | | 12 |
| Franklin Township | | | | 1 | 2 | | | | | 1 | | | 4 | | 8 |

Eric Stahley

| Greenwood Township | 1 | | 1 | 7 | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 14 |
|------------------------------|---|---|---|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|
| Hemlock Township | | | | 3 | 1 | 1 | | 3 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | 11 |
| Jackson Township | | | 1 | 3 | | | | | 1 | | | | | 5 |
| Locust Township | 1 | | 3 | 4 | | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | 1 | | | 12 |
| Madison Township | | | 1 | 3 | | | | | 1 | | 1 | | | 6 |
| Main Township | | | | 3 | | 1 | | | 1 | | 1 | | | 6 |
| Mifflin Township | | | 1 | 4 | 2 | 1 | | 2 | 1 | 1 | | | 1 | 13 |
| Millville Borough | | 1 | | 2 | 2 | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 13 |
| Montour Township | 1 | | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | | | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | 12 |
| Mt Pleasant Township | | | 1 | 3 | | | | | 1 | | | | | 5 |
| North Centre Township | | | 1 | 6 | 1 | | | | 1 | | | | | 9 |
| Orange Township | | | 5 | 1 | | | | | 1 | | | | | 7 |
| Orangeville Borough | | | | 2 | | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 7 |
| Pine Township | 1 | | | 1 | | | | 1 | 1 | | | | | 4 |
| Roaring Creek Township | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | 1 |
| Scott Township | | | | 13 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 26 |

| Eric | Stah | ley |
|------|------|-----|
|------|------|-----|

| South Centre Township | | | | | 2 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 5 | 1 | 18 |
|-----------------------------|---|---|---|----|-----|----|----|---|----|----|----|----|----|---|-----|
| Stillwater Borough | | | | | 2 | | | | | 1 | | | | | 3 |
| Sugarloaf Township | | | | | 2 | | 1 | | 6 | 1 | | | | | 10 |
| Total | 1 | 6 | 5 | 26 | 136 | 38 | 25 | 7 | 21 | 33 | 11 | 17 | 32 | 9 | 367 |

This page left intentionally blank

3. Planning Process

3.1. Update Process and Participation Summary

The HMPT exists to develop and coordinate the hazard mitigation planning process. In 2017 the HMPT was headed by the Columbia County Emergency Management Agency. The Committee itself was composed of representatives from the Columbia County Planning Commission, Columbia County Emergency Management Agency (CCEMA), Columbia County Conservation District, Columbia County Commissioners, Columbia County GIS, Columbia County Resiliency Office, Municipal representatives, representatives from private industry and non-profit organizations, and Bloomsburg University. Public input in the draft plan was solicited in 2022 by providing opportunity to make comments on the draft plan, posted online to www.columbiaHMP.com.

In the 2022 HMP update process, the Resiliency Department took the responsibility of being the lead agency for the HMP. To begin the HMP update process, the Resiliency Department held a small kickoff meeting in the County EOC primarily for County agencies to meet and discuss the process and outline for the plan update. The County was unable to have a larger meeting with Municipal and other stakeholders due to the COVID-19 pandemic and the subsequent shutdown of all public and private gatherings during the state of emergency. A record of attendees and minutes from that meeting was taken. A Google Docs contact Information Sheet was posted to the County HM website. As part of the information gathering process, the stakeholders were asked to complete this contact information from online due to the fact that we could not meet in person to gather this information. The HMPT mailing list was created from this Google docs contact information. Section 3.2 provides a discussion of the HMPT as well as a table of members.

Municipal officials and the other stakeholders continued to receive notifications regarding all HMP updates using their email and by telephone. Contact was maintained with the Municipalities by telephone calls and email, as well as updating the information on the County HM website. Communication was difficult during the COVID-19 pandemic, which severely limited the amount and types of interaction that the team could have with our Municipal stakeholders. What meeting minutes exist, invitations, and any updates are available in Appendix C – Meeting and Other Participation Documentation. Again, this appendix is sparse due to the COVID-19 restrictions in place during the time we were gathering Information for the plan.

In order to obtain information from Municipalities and stakeholders, forms and surveys were distributed online through the County's HM website. As the COVID–19 pandemic eased, we were able to meet in person in small groups with Municipalities who had either not been available to complete their forms and surveys online, or had not been in the office since the start of the pandemic. Since we were not permitted to hold large gatherings, there were no meetings to distribute the information in paper form. All the pertinent forms were posted to the HM website, and were emailed directly to the Municipalities. The Municipalities worked on their information remotely, and returned it to the County during the process. Table 3.1-1 lists each municipality along with their specific participation and contributions to the planning process. In total, 32 of 33 municipalities participated in the planning process, thus achieving 97% participation. The same 32 of 33 jurisdictions participated in the 2017 HMP update. there are no Sign-in sheets for meetings in Appendix C, as we were not able to hold large group gatherings

during the COVID pandemic. All of the completed forms and surveys are, however, in Appendix C.

| | | Meeting | S | | И | /orksheets/S | urveys/Forn | ns | | | Mitigation Strategy Participation |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|---|--|---------------------------|---|---|
| Municipality | Kickoff (Virtual Date Posted) | Risk Assessment Meeting | Mitigation Strategy Workshop | Public Review of Draft | Capability Assessment Survey | Evaluation of Identified Hazards and Risks | National Flood Insuranc Program (NFIP) Surv | Mitigatio n Action e Review Worksh yey eet | Risk Factor Evaluation | Existing Mitigation Strategy Goals and Objectives | Mitigation Actions |
| Beaver Township | 10/29/2020 | 10/26/2021 | 10/26/2021 | | x | х | х | x | х | х | x |
| Benton Borough | 10/29/2020 | 10/25/21 | 10/25/21 | | x | х | х | x | х | х | x |
| Benton Township | 10/29/2020 | 10/21/21 | 10/21/21 | | х | х | х | x | х | х | x |
| Berwick Borough | 10/29/2020 | 10/21/21 | 10/21/21 | | x | х | х | х | х | х | x |
| Bloomsburg, Town of | 10/29/2020 | 10/16/21 | 10/16/21 | | х | х | х | х | х | х | x |
| Bloomsburg University | 10/29/2020 | | | | х | х | | х | х | х | x |
| Briar Creek Borough | 10/29/2020 | 11/05/21 | 11/05/21 | | х | х | х | x | x | x | x |
| Briar Creek Township | 10/29/2020 | 10/19/21 | 10/19/21 | | х | х | х | x | х | х | x |
| Catawissa Borough | 10/29/2020 | 11/16/20 | 11/16/20 | | х | х | х | х | х | х | x |
| Catawissa Township | 10/29/2020 | 10/26/21 | 10/26/21 | | x | x | х | х | x | x | x |
| Centralia Borough | | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 3.1-1: Summary of participation from local municipalities and Bloomsburg University during the 2021 Hazard Mitigation Update Process

Eric Stahley

| Cleveland Township | 10/29/2020 | 9/18/21 | 9/18/21 | х | х | х | х | х | х | х |
|-------------------------------|------------|-----------|----------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Conyngham Township | 10/29/2020 | 8/11/21 | 8/11/21 | х | x | х | х | х | x | x |
| Fishing Creek Township | 10/29/2020 | 9/13/21 | 9/13/21 | х | x | x | х | х | x | х |
| Franklin Township | 10/29/2020 | 09/09/21 | 09/09/21 | х | x | x | х | х | х | x |
| Greenwood Township | 10/29/2020 | 8/25/21 | 8/25/21 | х | х | x | х | Х | х | x |
| Hemlock Township | 10/29/2020 | 8/17/21 | 8/17/21 | х | x | x | Х | х | х | x |
| Jackson Township | 10/29/2020 | 10/26/21 | 10/26/21 | х | х | x | х | х | х | x |
| Locust Township | 10/29/2020 | 09/069/21 | 09/09/21 | х | х | x | х | х | х | x |
| Madison Township | 10/29/2020 | 11/19/20 | 11/19/20 | х | x | x | x | Х | x | x |
| Main Township | 10/29/2020 | 08/09/21 | 08/09/21 | х | x | x | x | х | x | x |
| Mifflin Township | 10/29/2020 | 8/25/21 | 8/5/21 | х | x | x | x | х | x | x |
| Millville Borough | 10/29/2020 | 8/13/21 | 8/13/21 | х | x | x | x | х | x | x |
| Montour Township | 10/29/2020 | 11/09/21 | 11/09/21 | х | x | x | x | х | x | x |
| Mount Pleasant Township | 10/29/2020 | 11/03/21 | 11/03/21 | x | x | x | х | х | x | х |
| North Centre Township | 10/29/2020 | 8/17/21 | 8/17/21 | x | x | x | x | х | x | х |

Eric Stahley

| Orange Township | 10/29/2020 | 7/27/21 | 7/27/21 | х | х | x | х | х | х | х |
|------------------------------|------------|----------|----------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Orangeville Borough | 10/29/2020 | 10/28/21 | 10/28/21 | x | х | х | х | x | х | x |
| Pine Township | 10/29/2020 | 10/26/21 | 10/26/21 | x | х | х | х | х | х | х |
| Roaring Creek Township | 10/29/2020 | 09/18/21 | 09/18/21 | х | х | x | х | х | x | х |
| Scott Township | 10/29/2020 | 10/18/21 | 10/18/21 | x | х | х | х | х | х | х |
| South Centre Township | 10/29/2020 | 10/18/21 | 10/18/21 | х | х | х | х | х | х | x |
| Stillwater Borough | 10/29/2020 | 10/26/21 | 10/26/21 | х | х | х | х | x | х | x |
| Sugarloaf Township | 10/29/2020 | 10/21/21 | 10/21/21 | x | х | x | х | х | х | x |

With guidance from FEMA and PEMA, Columbia County Resiliency Office completed the 2022 HMP update process. The 2017 Columbia County HMPU process was completed in November of 2022. The 2022 Hazard Mitigation plan follows the 2017 FEMA approved plan developed for Columbia County. The changes made to the 2022 plan are summarized in Table 3.1-2. Additional update summaries are provided in for each section of the plan in Sections 4.1, 5.1, 6.1, and 7.1.

| 2017 HMP Section | 2022 HMP Section |
|---|---|
| 1. Introduction | 1. Introduction |
| 1.1 Background | 1.1 Background |
| 1.2 Purpose | 1.2 Purpose |
| 1.3 Scope | 1.3 Scope |
| 1.4 Authority and References | 1.4 Authority and References |
| 2. Community Profile | 2. Community Profile |
| 2.1 Geography and Environment | 2.1 Geography and Environment |
| 2.2 Community Facts | 2.2 Community Facts |
| 2.3 Population and Demographics | 2.3 Population and Demographics |
| 2.4 Land Use and Development | 2.4 Land Use and Development |
| 2.5 Data Sources and Limitations | 2.5 Data Sources and Limitations |
| 3. Planning Process | 3. Planning Process |
| 3.1. Update Process and Participation Summary | 3.1. Update Process and Participation Summary |
| 3.2. The Planning Team | 3.2. The Planning Team |
| 3.3. Meetings and Documentation | 3.3. Meetings and Documentation |
| 3.4. Public & Stakeholder Participation | 3.4. Public & Stakeholder Participation |
| 3.5. Multi-Jurisdictional Planning | 3.5. Multi-Jurisdictional Planning |
| 3.6 Existing Planning Mechanisms | 3.6 Existing Planning Mechanisms |
| 4. Risk Assessment | 4. Risk Assessment |
| 4.1. Update Process Summary | 4.1. Update Process Summary |
| 4.2. Hazard Identification | 4.2. Hazard Identification |
| 4.3. Hazard Profiles | 4.3. Hazard Profiles |
| 4.4. Hazard Vulnerability Summary | 4.4. Hazard Vulnerability Summary |
| 5. Capability Assessment | 5. Capability Assessment |
| 5.1. Update Process Summary | 5.1. Update Process Summary |
| 5.2. Capability Assessment Findings | 5.2. Capability Assessment Findings |
| 6. Mitigation Strategy | 6. Mitigation Strategy |
| 6.1. Update Process Summary | 6.1. Update Process Summary |
| 6.2. Mitigation Goals and Objectives | 6.2. Mitigation Goals and Objectives |
| 6.3. Identification and Analysis of Mitigation Techniques | 6.3. Identification and Analysis of Mitigation Techniques |
| 6.4. Mitigation Action Plan | 6.4. Mitigation Action Plan |
| 7. Plan Maintenance | 7. Plan Maintenance |
| 7.1. Update Process Summary | 7.1. Update Process Summary |
| 7.2. Monitoring, Evaluating and Updating the Plan | 7.2. Monitoring, Evaluating and Updating the Plan |
| 7.3. Continued Public Involvement | 7.3. Continued Public Involvement |
| 8. Plan Adoption | 8. Plan Adoption |

Table 3.1-2: Summary of changes to the format of the 2012 and 2017 version of the Columbia County HMP

3.2. The Planning Team

The 2022 Columbia County HMP update was led by a HMSG, which included:

1. Eric Stahlev, Resiliency Officer for Columbia County

2. Jennifer Long, Emergency Management Coordinator of the Columbia County Emergency Management Agency

- 3. Phil Yoder, Training and Operations Officer, Columbia County (2020-2022)
- 4. Christopher Anderson, Training and Operations Officer, Columbia County (2022- present)
- 5. Tim Murphy, Director, Columbia County Geographical Information Services
- 6. Rachel Swartwood, Director, Columbia county Planning Commission
- 7. James Loefflad, HM Technician
- 8. Joseph Hyde, HM Technician

In order to represent the diverse stakeholders in the County, the HMSG developed a diversified list of potential HMPT members. However, at the start of this process, the COVID-19 pandemic hit, and all of the facilities were shut down for a period of about 18 months. The HMSG and Stakeholders were forced to work within the pandemic social gathering restrictions, which severely limited our ability to perform public outreach as part of this process. We continued to provide information relating to the plan update on the HM website, and contacted the stakeholders via telephone.

The stakeholders listed in Table 3.2-1 served on the 2022 HMPT, demonstrating their commitment to actively participate in the planning process by attending meetings, completing assessments, surveys, and worksheets, and/or submitting comments. The HMPT consisted of county and local officials including municipal supervisors and council members, emergency management coordinators, and major employers and institutions. In particular, Bloomsburg University's Public Safety staff has been an active participant in the development of this HMP. It is important to note that since many communities in Columbia County operate under a zone system of emergency management coordinators, one individual may have participated on behalf of multiple jurisdictions. Due to the pandemic restrictions, the 2022 HMPT represents a smaller group of stakeholders than participated in 2017. During the development of the 2017 plan, the Mitigation Committee was made up of County staff from the Public Safety, Tax Assessment, GIS offices with little involvement from non-profits, other government agencies, institutions, and businesses.

| Table 3.2-1: Participants in the 2022 Columbia County HMP Update | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Municipality/Organization | Participant(s) | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Area Agency on Aging | Kathi Lynn | | | | | | | |
| Beaver Township | Tracy Miller | | | | | | | |
| Benton Borough | Matthew Good | | | | | | | |
| Benton Township | Terri Adams | | | | | | | |
| Berwick Borough/Briar Creek Borough | Greg Harkins, William Coolbaugh | | | | | | | |
| B.I.D.A. | Kelly Gavin | | | | | | | |
| Bloomsburg Municipal Airport | B.J. Teichman | | | | | | | |
| Bloomsburg University | Jennifer Haney, Belinda Sauers | | | | | | | |
| Bloomsburg, Town of | Lisa Dooley, Charles Fritz | | | | | | | |

| Prior Crook Township | Harold Korn |
|--|---|
| Catawissa Borough | Larry Konn |
| Catawissa Dorougn | Tracy Millor |
| Catawissa Township | Cindy Bachman |
| Cloveland Townshin | Allon Broach |
| Columbia County Commissionars | Chris Voung, David Kovach |
| Columbia County Commissioners | Innifer Long Dhil Vodor Kirsti Kritzer Jeromy |
| Columbia County Department of Public Safety | Brown |
| Columbia County GIS | Tim Murphy, Mitch Kishbach |
| Columbia County Housing Authority | Rich Kisner |
| Columbia County Planning | Rachel Swartwood, Emily Volmer |
| Columbia County Resiliency Office | Eric Stahley |
| Columbia Montour Area Agency on Aging | Kathi Lynn |
| Columbia Montour Chamber of Commerce | Fred Gafney |
| Columbia Montour Vocational Technical School | David Artman |
| Conyngham Township | Greg Yeager |
| FEMA | Matt McCullough |
| Fishing Creek Township | Kurt Saxon |
| Franklin Township | Allen Breach |
| Geisinger Nurse Family Partnership | Loreen Comstock |
| Greenwood Township | Joe Farr |
| Hemlock Municipal Sewer Cooperative | Michael DeMarco |
| Hemlock Township | Melissa Matthews. Michelle Bella |
| lackson Township | Rvan Fritz |
| Locust Township | Allen Breach |
| Madison Township | Diana Leighow |
| Main Township | Lisa Schell |
| Mifflin Township | Rick Brown |
| Millville Borough | Rob Bower |
| Montour Township | Terry Eckart |
| Mount Pleasant Township | Wendy Westover, Tammy Robbins |
| Municipal Authority Town of Bloomsburg | George Myers, Amy Evans |
| National Weather Service State College | Ionathan Guseman |
| North Centre Township | Steven Hess, Sr. |
| Orangeville Borough | Megan Shaffer |
| Orange Township | Erika Burkhart |
| РЕМА | Tom Hughes, Ernie Szabo |
| PennDOT | Ion Harder |
| Pine Township | Ron Reichenbach |
| Roaring Creek Township | Allen Breach |
| Scott Township | Paul Everly, Brittany Bacon |
| SEDA-COG | Geralee Ziegler, Terri Provost |
| South Centre Township | Dale Sneidman, William Reichenderfer |
| Stillwater Borough | Harold Miller |
| Susquehanna River Basin Commission | Ben Pratt |

3.3. Meetings and Documentation

The following meetings were held during the planning process. All invitations, agendas, sign-in sheets, and minutes for these meetings are included in **Appendix C: Meeting and Other Participation Documentation.**

January 02, 2020: Internal County Kickoff Meeting held at the Columbia County Emergency Operation Center to discuss scope, schedule, project goals, invitees, available resources, and planning standards.

Community Kickoff Meeting - No community kickoff meeting was held due to the COVID-19 gathering restrictions. Information was placed upon the County's HM planning website at http://ema.columbiapa.org/hazard-mitigation-plan-2022-update/ Stakeholders could then navigate to this site under the direction of the HM planning team to review updates and complete the necessary information. The virtual kickoff meting slide presentation can be found here: http://ema.columbiapa.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/HM-Kickoff-Slideshow.mp4?_=1

2021/2022: Risk Assessment Review Workshop - No Risk Assessment Review Workshop was held due to the COVID-19 gathering restrictions. Information was placed upon the County's HM planning website at http://ema.columbiapa.org/hazard-mitigation-plan-2022-update/ Stakeholders could then navigate to this site under the direction of the HM planning team to review updates and complete the necessary information. As the COVID pandemic eased, Members of the planning team visited individual stakeholders in person who had not completed their Risk Assessment information online in order to assist them in completing the forms in person.

2021/2022: Mitigation Action Workshop - No Mitigation Action Review Workshop was held due to the COVID-19 gathering restrictions. Information was placed upon the County's HM planning website at <u>http://ema.columbiapa.org/hazard-mitigation-plan-2022-update/</u> Stakeholders could then navigate to this site under the direction of the HM planning team to review updates and complete the necessary information. As the COVID pandemic eased, Members of the planning team visited individual stakeholders in person who had not submitted their Mitigation Action forms electronically in order to assist them in completing their mitigation action forms.

2023: Final Public Meeting – Due to the time constraints for adoption placed upon the plan update process by the pandemic restrictions in place during the update of this plan, no final public meeting had been scheduled. Instead, each Municipal partner that adopts the plan must do so at a public meeting. A copy of the renewed plan will be available for public consumption on the Columbia County EMA website, and a press release will go out once the plan is adopted directing residents to the websites where they can find electronic copies as well as locations where paper copies of the plan can be viewed. The release will also state that forms are available at these locations which allow for comments on the adopted plan, and that these comments swill be reviewed at the annual plan review meeting and will be taken into consideration for potential plan updates at that time.

3.4. Public & Stakeholder Participation

Each stakeholder was given multiple opportunities to participate in the HMP update process through electronic contact, telephone calls, and e mails during the COVID-19 pandemic. They were also given an opportunity to comment on the draft HMP update. The seven tools listed
below were distributed on the HMP update website, and during in person one on one meetings, to solicit information, data, and comments from both local municipalities and other key stakeholders. Responses to these worksheets and surveys are included in **Appendix C: Meeting and Other Participation Documentation**.

1. Evaluation of Identified Hazards and Risk Worksheet: Capitalizes on local knowledge to evaluate the change in the frequency of occurrence, magnitude of impact, and/or geographic extent of existing hazards, and allows communities to evaluate hazards not previously profiled using the Pennsylvania Standard List of Hazards.

2. Capability Assessment Survey: Collects information on local planning, regulatory, administrative, technical, fiscal, political and resiliency capabilities that can be included in the countywide mitigation strategy.

3. National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) Survey: Collects information on each municipality's level of participation in the NFIP.

4. Mitigation Action Plan Review Worksheet: All municipalities in Columbia County were included in the 2017 HMP actions either individually or with group actions. They were asked to evaluate the status of projects submitted in the previous planning process, indicating if there had been progress, if a project had been discontinued or completed, and whether each project should be carried over into the 2022 Plan.

5. Countywide and Jurisdictional Risk Factor Evaluation Form: Collected comments on the draft Countywide Risk Factor Rankings and gave municipal officials a chance to evaluate their jurisdiction's unique risk for each hazard as compared to the County.

6. Mitigation Strategy Goal and Objective Comment Worksheet: Collected comments and suggestions from municipalities on the HMPU goals and objectives that had been vetted by the HMSG.

7. Mitigation Action Form: Allows communities to propose mitigation actions for the HMP and include information about each action such as a lead agency/department, implementation schedule, priority, estimated costs, and potential funding source(s).

Community participation and comments were encouraged throughout the planning process, most notably through the HMP update website, http://ema.columbiapa.org/hazard-mitigation-plan-2022-update/. This site acted as a repository for the entire planning process, including presentations, agendas, minutes, and worksheets and other important announcements. Due to the COVID restrictions in place at the time when the public participation phase of the plan was underway, there were no public meetings held to solicit input in the planning process. We had to encourage participation in a different way, buy conducting one on one outreach to stakeholders via email, and as restrictions stated to ease by one on one in person meetings with stakeholders in order to solicit input.

Figure 3.4-3: Examples of Social Media Notices Encouraging Public Participation



Hazard Mitigation Plan 2022 Update

Columbia County Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Columbia County is currently working on the 2022 Hazard Mitigation Plan Update. You will find information on the update on this page.

Highlights:

- Our current plan was updated in 2017.
- It will expire in 2022.
- To view the 2017 Columbia County Hazard Mitigation Plan Update, click here.

Next Steps

The video for the kickoff meeting for stakeholders in the Columbia County Hazard Mitigation Plan can be found below.





Forms & Surveys:

Stakeholder Participation Final Item - CONTACT INFORMATION FORM

| Forms & Surveys: | |
|--|---|
| Hazard Mitigation Narrative Tips | Risk Assessment Hazard Descriptions |
| Draft Plan Comment Form | Risk Factor Evaluation |
| Contact Information Form | Summary of Risk Factor Approach |
| Capability Assessment Survey | Misgation Actions Form |
| Evaluation of Identified Hazards and Risks | Hazant Mitigation Techniques and Ideas |
| NPIP Survey | Existing Wiligation Strategy Goals and Objectives |
| Nitigation Action Plan Review Worksheet | Review |
| | |
| | |

Any Questions? Contact:

- > Columbia County Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Project Website
- http://ema.columbiapa.org/hmp/
- Click "2022 Update" tab
- Please return forms to either:

Eric Stahley Resiliency Officer Officer Columbia County estahley@columbiapa.org

Phil Yoder Operations and Training Columbia County EMA pyoder@columbiapa.org

PO Box 380 | Bloomsburg, PA 17815 | Fax: 570-784-2975

Capability Assessment Meetings start: January 2021





1. Additionally, notification of the HMP update sent to representatives from neighboring communities and other key stakeholders is included in **Appendix C** The HMSG posted the draft Columbia County HMP update on the HMP update website, <u>http://ema.columbiapa.org/hazard-mitigation-plan-2022-update/</u> beginning on January 15, 2021 and accepted comments through December 31, 2022. The availability of the draft HMP was made public through disseminating the information to the HMPT via email and social media. Comments were submitted in writing (mail, email, or online form) to Eric Stahley, Columbia County Resiliency Officer, or online on the HMP Update website. No comments have been received on the draft plan. If any comments are received at the public meetings where the stakeholder communities adopt the plan, they will be reviewed at the annual review meeting, incorporated into the plan at that time. Copies of all comments received, including comments collected via the HMP website, will be documented in **Appendix C**.

3.5. Multi-Jurisdictional Planning

Table 3.1-1 Stakeholder contacts as described in Section 3.3 in large groups did not occur with this update due to the COVID-19 restrictions. Most communication with Stakeholders was achieved via electronic means such as e mail, and updating the HM website with instructions and information required for stakeholders to download and complete. Many times, governmental offices were closed during the pandemic, which made it problematic to meeting in person with Municipal staff in order to get information relating to the HM update. Forms were emailed or mailed to jurisdictions along with letters and emails requesting that local information

be provided, and the forms (with instructions) were also posted to the HMP update website. A copy of all worksheets, forms, and participation documentation can be found in **Appendix C**.

In the end, 32 of 33 municipalities in the County participated in the plan, thus achieving 97% participation. This represents continuity of involvement from 2017. The non-participating jurisdiction was Centralia. In the case of Centralia Borough, the municipality has a small population and has been ravaged by the Centralia Mine Fire; as a result, according to the County staff, they have little capacity to participate in any planning process. Contact was made with Centralia Borough and they declined the desire to participate in the planning process. They were advised of the benefits of participation and still chose not to participate.

Throughout the planning process, paper invitations were mailed, emails were sent, and phone calls made to the municipal building and address on file for the emergency management coordinators in order to involve the stakeholders in the process and to inquire if they needed assistance in completing the necessary paperwork.

This page is intentionally left blank

4. Risk Assessment

4.1. Update Process Summary

The risk assessment provides a factual basis for activities proposed by the County in their mitigation strategy. Hazards that may affect Columbia County are identified and defined in terms of their location and extent, magnitude of impacts, previous events, and probability of future events. This hazard profile structure is similar to that used in the 2017 HMP. All information from the previous plan has been incorporated and/or updated in the 2022 HMPU unless indicated.

The 2022 Columbia County HMP profiled ten natural hazards in the County: drought, earthquake, flood/flash flood/ice jam, hurricane/tropical storm/nor'easter, pandemic, landslide, radon exposure, tornado/windstorm, wildfire, and winter storm. Five human made hazards were also identified: dam failure, environmental hazards, levee failure, nuclear incidents, and utility interruption. In order to evaluate the hazards currently in the plan and select these new hazards significant to the County, the municipal representatives were asked to assess the change in risk for all hazards identified in the 2017 plan and vote on which hazards not previously identified but included in the Pennsylvania Standard State List of Hazard and Risk Form. After an analysis of the responses (found in **Appendix C**), as well as consultation with the Pennsylvania Standard State All-Hazard Mitigation Plan (2108), the County's HVA, and the HMSG's assessment of hazard risk, it was decided by the HMSG that no new hazards would be included in the 2022 update.

Following hazard identification and profiling, a vulnerability assessment was conducted for each hazard to identify the impact of both natural and human-made hazard events on people, buildings, infrastructure, and the community, as appropriate. Each hazard is discussed in terms of its potential impact on individual communities, including the structures that may be at risk. This assessment allows the County and its municipalities to focus on and prioritize local mitigation efforts on areas that are most likely to be damaged or require early response to a hazard event. A vulnerability analysis was performed which identifies structures, critical facilities, and/or populations that may be impacted during hazard events and describes what events can do to physical, social, and economic assets. Depending upon data availability, assessment results consist of an inventory of vulnerable structures or populations. Finally, when available, potential losses were determined using historic data, data from the Columbia County GIS department, and structure assessed values.

In order to provide greater connections between the community and institutional hazard mitigation planning, Bloomsburg University was an active participant in this planning process, including the Risk Assessment. Bloomsburg University created a Hazard Mitigation Plan for the University in 2016. This plan profiled six hazards: floods/flash flooding/ice jam, pandemic/infectious disease, tornadoes/windstorms, civil disturbance, environmental hazards - hazardous materials releases, nuclear incidents, radon exposure, terrorism, and utility interruption. Any information from these hazard profiles indicating the unique vulnerability of the University and its population have been incorporated into this HMP in Section 4.3.X.5. For hazards profiled in the 2022 Columbia County HMP but not profiled in the University's plan, the University's assets are at equal risk to all assets in the Town of Bloomsburg, where all institutional assets are located.

4.2. Hazard Identification

Presidential Disaster and Emergency Declarations are issued when it has been determined that state and local governments need assistance in responding to a disaster event. Table 4.2-1 identifies twelve Presidential Disaster Declarations and four Presidential Emergency Declarations issued between 1955 through 2021 that have affected Columbia County. Future disaster declarations will be available for view on the FEMA website at: http://www.fema.gov/news/disasters. This was the most current data that was available when the plan was updated for 2022. At that point there had been no further disasters declared for Columbia County.

| Table 4.2-1: Presidential Disaster and Emergency Declarations affecting Columbia County. | | | |
|--|-------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Declaration Number | Date | Event | |
| 3441/4506* | Jan 2020- present | Pennsylvania COVID-19 | |
| 4408 | August 2018 | Pennsylvania Severe Storms/Flooding | |
| 4030 | September 2011 | Remnants of Tropical Storm Lee | |
| 1649 | June 2006 | Flooding | |
| 3235* | September 2005 | Hurricane Katrina Evacuee Assistance | |
| 1587 | April 2005 | Severe Storms and Flooding | |
| 1557 | September 2004 | Tropical Depression Ivan | |
| 3180* | March 2003 | Snowstorm | |
| 1093 | January 1996 | Flooding | |
| 1085 | January 1996 | Blizzard | |
| 1015 | March 1994 | Winter Storm, Severe Storm | |
| 3105* | March 1993 | Severe Winter Storm | |
| 523 | October 1976 | Severe Storms, Flooding | |
| 485 | September 1975 | Severe Storms, Heavy Rains, Flooding | |
| 400 | July 1973 | Severe Storms, Flooding | |
| 340 | June 1972 | Hurricane Agnes | |
| Note: * Denotes a Presidential Emergency Declaration | | | |

In addition to these Federally-declared events, twenty two events warranted Gubernatorial Proclamations of Emergency. These events are listed in Table 4.2-2.

Table 4.2-2: Gubernatorial Disaster Declarations or Proclamations affecting Columbia County.

| Date | Event |
|------------------|---|
| December 2020 | Proclamation of Emergency – Severe Winter Storm |
| March 2020 | Disaster Declaration – Biological |
| January 2011 | Proclamation of Emergency – Severe Winter Storm |
| April 2007 | Proclamation of Emergency – Severe Winter Storm |
| February 2007 | Proclamation of Emergency – Severe Winter Storm |
| February 2007 | Proclamation of Emergency – Regulations |
| September 2006 | Proclamation of Emergency – Tropical Depression Ernesto |
| June 2006 | Proclamation of Emergency – Flooding |
| September 2005 | Proclamation of Emergency – Hurricane Katrina |
| September 2003 | Hurricane Isabel/Henri |
| February 2003 | Severe Winter Storm |
| September 1999 | Hurricane Floyd |
| July 1999 | Drought |
| September 1995 | Drought |
| July 1991 | Drought |
| February 1978 | Blizzard |
| January 1978 | Heavy Snow |
| September 1975 F | Flood (Eloise) |
| February 1974 | Truckers Strike |
| February 1972 | Heavy Snow |
| January 1966 | Heavy Snow |

| August 1955 | Flood (Diane) |
|-------------|---------------|
|-------------|---------------|

Columbia County has also been offered Small Business Administration Disaster Assistance for seven disaster events. This disaster assistance qualifies communities for access to affordable, timely, and accessible financial assistance. Table 4.2-3 provides details for these events.

| Table 4.2-3: Small Business Administration I | Disaster Declarations affe | cting Columbia |
|--|----------------------------|----------------|
| County. | | |

| Date | Event |
|------------------|-------------------------------|
| Jan 2020-Present | COVID-19-National Declaration |
| August 2018 | Severe Storms and Flooding |
| July 2018 | Severe Storms and Flooding |
| September 2011 | Tropical Storm Lee |
| October 2009 | Fire |
| December 2006 | Severe Storms and Tornadoes |
| November 2006 | Severe Storms and Flooding |

Since 1955, declarations have been issued for a variety of hazard events, including hurricanes, tornadoes, severe winter storms, and flooding. A unique Presidential Emergency Declaration was issued in September 2005; through Emergency Declaration 3235, President George W. Bush declared that a state of emergency existed in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and ordered federal aid to supplement Commonwealth and local response efforts to help people evacuated from their homes due to Hurricane Katrina. All counties within Pennsylvania, including Columbia County, were indirectly affected by Hurricane Katrina as a result of evacuee assistance. On March 28, 2020, Governor Tom Wolf requested a major disaster declaration due to the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic beginning on January 20, 2020, and continuing. The Governor requested a declaration for the Crisis Counseling Program, Disaster Unemployment Assistance, and Disaster Case Management under the Individual Assistance program for the entire commonwealth; debris removal and emergency protective measures (Categories A and B), including direct Federal assistance, under the Public Assistance program for the entire commonwealth; and Hazard Mitigation for the entire commonwealth

4.2.2. Summary of Hazards

The HMPT was provided the Pennsylvania Standard List of Hazards to be considered for evaluation in the 2022 HMP. Following a review of the hazards considered in the 2017 HMP and the Standard List of Hazards, the HMPT decided that the 2022 plan should identify, profile, and analyze fifteen hazards. The hazards include all hazards profiled in the 2017 plan. Table 4.2-4

contains a complete list of the fifteen hazards that have the potential to impact Columbia County as identified through previous risk assessments and input from those that participated in the 2022 HMP update. Hazard profiles are included in Section 4.3 for each of these hazards.

| Hazard | Hazard Description | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Natural Hazards | | | |
| Drought | Drought is a prolonged period of dry weather caused by a lack of precipitation that results in a serious water shortage for some activity, population, or ecological system. High temperatures, prolonged winds, and low relative humidity can exacerbate the severity of drought. This hazard is of particular concern in Pennsylvania due to the presence of farms as well as water-dependent industries and recreation areas across the Commonwealth. A prolonged drought could severely impact these sectors of the local economy, as well as residents who depend on wells for drinking water and other personal uses. (Environmental Protection Agency, 2016). | | |
| Earthquake | An earthquake is a sudden, rapid shaking of the ground caused by the shifting of rocks deep underneath the earth's surface. Earthquakes result from crustal strain, volcanism, landslides, or the collapse of underground caverns. Earthquakes can affect hundreds of thousands of square miles, cause damage to property measured in the tens of billions of dollars, result in loss of life and injury to hundreds of thousands of persons, and disrupt the social and economic functioning of the affected area. Most property damage and earthquake-related deaths are caused by the failure and collapse of structures due to ground shaking which is dependent upon amplitude and duration of the earthquake. (Ready.gov, 2021). | | |
| Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam | Flooding is a temporary overflow of water onto land that is normally dry. Floods are the most common natural disaster in the United States. Failing to evacuate flooded areas or entering flood waters can lead to injury or death. Floods may: Result from rain, snow, coastal storms, storm surges and overflows of dams and other water systems. Develop slowly or quickly. Flash floods can come with no warning. Cause outages, disrupt transportation, damage buildings and create landslides. (Ready.gov, 2021). The severity of a flood event is dependent upon a combination of stream and river basin topography and physiography, hydrology, precipitation and weather patterns, present soil moisture conditions, the degree of vegetative clearing as well as the presence of impervious surfaces in and around flood-prone areas. (NOAA, 2009). Winter flooding can include ice jams which occur when warm temperatures and heavy rain cause snow to melt rapidly. Snow melt combined with heavy rains can cause frozen rivers to swell, which breaks the ice layer on top of a river. The ice layer often breaks into large chunks, which float downstream, piling up in narrow passages and near other obstructions such as bridges and dams. All forms of flooding can damage infrastructure (USACE, 2007). | | |
| Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter | Hurricanes, tropical storms, and nor'easters are classified as cyclones and are any closed circulation developing around a low-pressure center in which the winds rotate counter-clockwise (in the Northern Hemisphere) and whose diameter averages 10-30 miles across. While most of Pennsylvania is not directly affected by the devastating impacts cyclonic systems can have on coastal regions, many areas in the state are subject to the primary damaging forces associated with these storms including high-level sustained winds, heavy precipitation, and tornadoes. Areas in southeastern Pennsylvania could be susceptible to storm surge and tidal flooding. The majority of hurricanes and tropical storms form in the Atlantic Ocean, Caribbean Sea, and Gulf of Mexico during the official Atlantic hurricane season (June through November). (FEMA, 1997). | | |

Table 4.2-4: Hazards identified and profiled in the 2017 Columbia County HMPU

| Landslide | A landslide is the downward and outward movement of slope-forming soil, rock, and vegetation reacting to the force of gravity. Landslides may be triggered by both natural and human-caused changes in the environment, including heavy rain, rapid snow melt, steepening of slopes due to construction or erosion, earthquakes, and changes in groundwater levels. Mudflows, mudslides, rockfalls, rockslides, and rock topples are all forms of a landslide. Areas that are generally prone to landslide hazards include previous landslide areas, the bases of steep slopes, the bases of drainage channels, developed hillsides, and areas recently burned by forest and brush fires. (Delano & Wilshusen, 2001). |
|------------------------------------|---|
| Pandemic and Infectious Disease | A pandemic occurs when infection from of a new strain of a certain disease, to which most humans have no immunity, substantially exceeds the number of expected cases over a given period of time. Such a disease may or may not be transferable between humans and animals. (Martin & Martin-Granel, 2006). |
| Radon Exposure | Radon is a cancer-causing natural radioactive gas that you can't see, smell, or taste. It is a large component of the natural radiation that humans are exposed to and can pose a serious threat to public health when it accumulates in poorly ventilated residential and occupation settings. According to the USEPA, radon is estimated to cause about 21,000 lung cancer deaths per year, second only to smoking as the leading cause of lung cancer (EPA 402-R-03-003: EPA Assessment, 2003). An estimated 40% of the homes in Pennsylvania are believed to have elevated radon levels (Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection, 2009). |
| Tornado, Wind Storm | A wind storm can occur during severe thunderstorms, winter storms, coastal storms, or tornadoes. Straight-line winds such as a downburst have the potential to cause wind gusts that exceed 100 miles per hour. Based on 40 years of tornado history and over 100 years of hurricane history, FEMA identifies western and central Pennsylvania as being more susceptible to higher winds than eastern Pennsylvania. (FEMA, 1997). A tornado is a violent windstorm characterized by a twisting, funnel-shaped cloud extending to the ground. Tornadoes are most often generated by thunderstorm activity (but sometimes result from hurricanes or tropical storms) when cool, dry air intersects and overrides a layer of warm, moist air forcing the warm air to rise rapidly. The damage caused by a tornado is a result of high wind velocities and windblown debris. According to the National Weather Service, tornado wind speeds can range between 30 to more than 300 miles per hour. They are more likely to occur during the spring and early summer months of March through June and are most likely to form in the late afternoon and early evening. Most tornadoes are a few dozen yards wide and touch down briefly, but even small, short-lived tornadoes can inflict tremendous damage. Destruction ranges from minor to catastrophic depending on the intensity, size, and duration of the storm. Structures made of light materials such as mobile homes are most susceptible to damage. Waterspouts are weak tornadoes that form over warm water and are relatively uncommon in Pennsylvania. Each year, an average of over 800 tornadoes is reported nationwide, resulting in an average of 80 deaths and 1,500 injuries (NOAA, 2002). Based on NOAA Storm Prediction Center Statistics, the number of recorded F3, F4, & F5 tornadoes between 1950-1998 ranges from <1 to 15 per 3,700 square mile area across Pennsylvania (FEMA, 2009). A water spout is a tornado over a body of water (American Meteorological Society, 2009). |
| Wildfire | A wildfire is a raging, uncontrolled fire that spreads rapidly through vegetative fuels, exposing and possibly consuming structures. Wildfires often begin unnoticed and can spread quickly, creating dense smoke that can be seen for miles. Wildfires can occur at any time of the year, but mostly occur during long, dry hot spells. Any small fire in a wooded area, if not quickly detected and suppressed, can get out of control. Most wildfires are caused by human carelessness, negligence, and ignorance. However, some are precipitated by lightning strikes and in rare instances, spontaneous combustion. Wildfires in Pennsylvania can occur in fields, grass, brush, and forests. 98% of wildfires in Pennsylvania are a direct result of people, often caused by debris burns (PA DCNR, 1999). |

| Winter Storm | Winter storms may include snow, sleet, freezing rain, or a mix of these wintry forms of precipitation. A winter storm can range from a moderate snowfall or ice event over a period of a few hours to blizzard conditions with wind-driven snow that lasts for several days. Many winter storms are accompanied by low temperatures and heavy and/or blowing snow, which can severely impair visibility and disrupt transportation. The Commonwealth of Pennsylvania has a long history of severe winter weather. (NOAA, 2009). | | |
|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| Human-Made Hazards | | | |
| Dam Failure | A dam is a barrier across flowing water that obstructs, directs, or slows down water flow. Dams provide benefits such as flood protection, power generation, drinking water, irrigation, and recreation. Failure of these structures results in an uncontrolled release of impounded water. Failures are relatively rare, but immense damage and loss of life is possible in downstream communities when such events occur. Aging infrastructure, hydrologic, hydraulic and geologic characteristics, population growth, and design and maintenance practices should be considered when assessing dam failure hazards. The failure of the South Fork Dam, located in Johnstown, PA, was the deadliest dam failure ever experienced in the United States. It took place in 1889 and resulted in the Johnstown Flood which claimed 2,209 lives (FEMA, 1997). Today there are approximately 3,400 dams and reservoirs throughout Pennsylvania (Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection, 2021). | | |
| Environmental Hazards | Environmental hazards are hazards that pose threats to the natural environment, the built environment, and public safety through the diffusion of harmful substances, materials, or products. For the purposes of the SSAHMP, environmental hazards include the following: Hazardous material releases at fixed facilities or in transit; including toxic chemicals, infectious substances, bio hazardous waste, and any materials that are explosive, corrosive, flammable, or radioactive (PL 1990-165, § 207(e)). Coal mining incidents; including the release of harmful chemical and waste materials into water bodies or the atmosphere, explosions, fires, and other hazards and threats to life safety stemming from mining (Environmental Protection Agency | | |
| | Natural Disaster PSAs, 2009). Oil and gas well incidents; including the release of harmful chemical and waste materials into water bodies or the atmosphere, explosions, fires, and other hazards and threats to life safety stemming from oil and gas extraction(Environmental Protection Agency, Natural Disaster PSAs, 2009). | | |
| Levee Failure | A levee is a human-made structure, usually an earthen embankment, designed and constructed in accordance with sound engineering practices to contain, control, or divert the flow of water so as to provide protection from temporary flooding (Interagency Levee Policy Review Committee, 2006). Levee failures or breaches occur when a levee fails to contain the floodwaters for which it is designed to control or floodwaters exceed the height of the constructed levee. 51 of Pennsylvania's 67 counties have been identified as having at least one levee (FEMA Region III, 2009). | | |
| Nuclear Incidents | Nuclear accidents generally refer to events involving the release of significant levels of radioactivity or exposure of workers or the general public to radiation (FEMA, 1997). Nuclear accidents/incidents can be placed into three categories: 1) Criticality accidents which involve loss of control of nuclear assemblies or power reactors, 2) Loss-of-coolant accidents which result whenever a reactor coolant system experiences a break or opening large enough so that the coolant inventory in the system cannot be maintained by the normally operating make-up system, and 3) Loss-of-containment accidents which involve the release of radioactivity. The primary concern following such an incident or accident is the extent of radiation, inhalation, and ingestion of radioactive isotopes which can cause acute health effects (e.g. death, burns, severe impairment), chronic health effects (e.g. cancer), and psychological effects. (FEMA, 1997). | | |

| | Utility interruption hazards are hazards that impair the functioning of important utilities in the energy, telecommunications, public works, and information network sectors. Utility interruption hazards include the following: |
|----------------------|---|
| | Geomagnetic Storms; occurs when there is a very efficient exchange of energy |
| | from the solar wind into the space environment surrounding Earth (NOAA.gov, |
| | 2021). This can result in temporary disturbances of the Earth's magnetic field |
| | resulting in disruptions of communication, navigation, and satellite systems (National Research Council et al., 1986). |
| | Fuel or Resource Shortage: resulting from supply chain breaks or secondary to |
| | other bazard events for example (Mercer County PA 2005) |
| | Electromagnetic Pulse : originating from an explosion or fluctuating magnetic field |
| | and causing damaging current surgers in alectrical and electronic systems (Institute |
| | for Talagementations (Sciences 1900) |
| Utility Interruption | for relecommunications Sciences, 1996). |
| | Information Technology Failure; due to software bugs, viruses, or improper use |
| | (Rainer Jr., et al, 1991). |
| | Ancillary Support Equipment; electrical generating, transmission, system control, |
| | and distribution-system equipment for the energy industry (Hirst & Kirby, 1996). |
| | Public Works Failure; damage to or failure of highways, flood control systems, |
| | deep-water ports and harbors, public buildings, bridges, dams, for example (United |
| | States Senate Committee on Environment and Public Works, 2009). |
| | Telecommunications System Failure; Damage to data transfer, communications, |
| | and processing equipment, for example (FEMA, 1997) |
| | Transmission Facility or Linear Utility Accident: liquefied natural gas leakages. |
| | explosions, facility problems, for example (United States Department of Energy |
| | 2005) |
| | Major Energy, Power, Utility Failure: interruptions of generation and distribution |
| | nower outages for example (United States Department of Energy 2000) |
| | power outages, for example (onited otates Department of Energy, 2000). |

4.3. Hazard Profiles

4.3.1. Drought

4.3.1.1. Location and Extent

Droughts are defined as the consequence of a natural reduction in the amount of precipitation expected over an extended period of time, usually a season or more in length. Droughts are regional climatic events, so they typically impact all communities in a relatively uniform fashion with only minor localized variations in rainfall events. Droughts often occur across county boundaries, affecting large areas of Pennsylvania at the same time. The spatial extent for areas of impact can range from localized areas in Pennsylvania to the entire Mid-Atlantic region. Areas with extensive agriculture uses are particularly vulnerable to drought; 35% of the total land acreage of Columbia County (over 110,000 acres) is devoted to agricultural uses that are spread throughout nearly every municipality.

4.3.1.2. Range of Magnitude

Hydrologic drought events result in a reduction of stream flows, reduction of lake/reservoir storage, and a lowering of groundwater levels. These events have adverse impacts on public water supplies for human consumption, rural water supplies for livestock consumption and agricultural operations, water quality, natural soil water or irrigation water for agriculture, soil moisture, conditions conducive to wildfire events, and water for navigation and recreation. The Commonwealth uses five parameters to assess drought conditions:

1. <u>Precipitation deficits</u> (measured as the departure from normal, 30-year average precipitation)

2. Stream flows (based on exceedances)

3. <u>Groundwater levels</u> monitored in each county (exceedances of 75, 90, and 95 are used to indicate watch, warning, and emergency)

4. <u>Soil moisture</u> – provided in the form of the Palmer Drought Severity Index – a soil moisture algorithm calibrated for relatively homogeneous regions which measures dryness based on recent precipitation and temperature (see Table 4.3.1-1).

5. <u>Reservoir storage levels</u> in several large public water supply reservoirs (especially three New York City reservoirs in upper Delaware River Basin)

| Table 4.3.1-1: Palmer Drought Severity Index (PSDI) classifications (NDMC, 2015). | | | |
|---|---------------|--|--|
| Severity Category | PSDI Value | | |
| Extremely wet | 4.0 or more | | |
| Very wet | 3.0 to 3.99 | | |
| Moderately wet | 2.0 to 2.99 | | |
| Slightly wet | 1.0 to 1.99 | | |
| Incipient wet spell | 0.5 to 0.99 | | |
| Near normal | 0.49 to -0.49 | | |
| Incipient dry spell | -0.5 to -0.99 | | |
| Mild drought | -1.0 to -1.99 | | |
| Moderate drought | -2.0 to -2.99 | | |
| Severe drought | -3.0 to -3.99 | | |
| Extreme drought | -4.0 or less | | |

Phases of drought preparedness in Pennsylvania in order of increasing severity are:

- <u>Drought Watch:</u> A period to alert government agencies, public water suppliers, water users and the public regarding the potential for future drought-related problems. Drought Watches are invoked when three or more drought indicators are present for a county or group of counties. The focus is on increased monitoring, awareness and preparation for response if conditions worsen. A request for voluntary water conservation is made. The objective of voluntary water conservation measures during a drought watch is to reduce water uses by 5 percent in the affected areas. Due to varying conditions, individual water suppliers or municipalities may be asking for more stringent conservation actions.
- <u>Drought Warning:</u> This phase involves a coordinated response to imminent drought conditions and potential water supply shortages through concerted voluntary conservation measures to avoid or reduce shortages, relieve stressed sources, develop new sources, and if possible forestall the need to impose mandatory water use restrictions. The objective of voluntary water conservation measures during a drought warning is to reduce overall water uses by 10-15 percent in the affected areas. Due to

varying conditions, individual water suppliers or municipalities may be asking for more stringent conservation actions.

- Drought Emergency: This stage is a phase of concerted management operations to marshal all available resources to respond to actual emergency conditions, to avoid depletion of water sources, to assure at least minimum water supplies to protect public health and safety, to support essential and high priority water uses and to avoid unnecessary economic dislocations. It is possible during this phase to impose mandatory restrictions on non-essential water uses that are provided in the Pennsylvania Code (Chapter 119), if deemed necessary and if ordered by the Governor of Pennsylvania. The objective of water use restrictions (mandatory or voluntary) and other conservation measures during this phase is to reduce consumptive water use in the affected area by fifteen percent, and to reduce total use to the extent necessary to preserve public water system supplies, to avoid or mitigate local or area shortages, and to assure equitable sharing of limited supplies.
- Local Water Rationing: Although not a drought phase, local municipalities may, with the approval of the PA Emergency Management Council, implement local water rationing to share a rapidly dwindling or severely depleted water supply in designated water supply service areas. These individual water rationing plans, authorized through provisions of the Pennsylvania Code (Chapter 120), will require specific limits on individual water consumption to achieve significant reductions in use. Under both mandatory restrictions imposed by the Commonwealth and local water rationing, procedures are provided for granting of variances to consider individual hardships and economic dislocations.

The effects of a drought can be far-reaching in both the economic and environmental realms. Economic impacts include the reduced productivity of aquatic resources, mandatory water use restrictions, well failures, cutbacks in industrial production, agricultural losses, and limited recreational opportunities. Environmental impacts of drought include:

- Hydrologic effects lower water levels in reservoirs, lakes, and ponds; reduced stream flow; loss of wetlands; estuarine impacts; groundwater depletion and land subsidence; effects on water quality such as increases in salt concentration and water temperature
- Damage to animal species lack of feed and drinking water; disease; loss of biodiversity; migration or concentration; and reduction and degradation of fish and wildlife habitat
- Damage to plant communities loss of biodiversity; loss of trees from urban landscapes and wooded conservation areas
- Increased number and severity of fires
- Reduced soil quality
- Air quality effects dust and pollutants
- Loss of quality in landscape

Based on the County's disaster history and other drought occurrence data, the worst drought event in Columbia County occurred in the summer of 1999. Extended dry weather spurred Governor Ridge to declare a drought emergency in 55 counties, including Columbia. During this event, precipitation deficits for that summer averaged 5-7 inches; the Susquehanna River hit record low flows, streams were empty, and wells dried up. Crop damages indicated losses of over \$500 million statewide, and crop losses totaled 70-100%. There were additional losses from the decline of milk production due to the drought (NCDC, 2021).

4.3.1.3. Past Occurrence

The Department of Environmental Protection (PA DEP) maintains the most comprehensive data on drought occurrences across the Commonwealth. Declared drought status from 1980 to 2021 is shown in Table 4.3.1-2. Descriptions of drought status categories (i.e. *watch, warning, and emergency*) are included in Section 4.3.1.2. Columbia County's record of droughts prior to 1980 is limited, but the County's disaster history indicates that a severe drought occurred in 1963 resulting in a Gubernatorial Proclamation of Disaster.

| Table 4.3.1-2: Past drought events in Columbia County (PA DEP 2021). | | | |
|--|----------------|-----------------------------|----------------|
| Date | Drought Status | Date | Drought Status |
| Nov 18, 1980 - Apr 20, 1982 | Emergency | Mar 15, 1999 - Jun 10, 1999 | Watch |
| Apr 26, 1985 - Jul 29, 1985 | Watch | Jun 10, 1999 - Jun 18, 1999 | Warning |
| Jul 29, 1985 – Oct 22, 1985 | Watch | Jun 18, 1999 - Jul 20, 1999 | Warning |
| Oct 22, 1985 - Oct 29, 1985 | Watch | Jul 20, 1999 - Sep 30,1999 | Emergency |
| Oct 29, 1985 - Dec 19, 1985 | Watch | Sep 30, 1999 - Dec 16, 1999 | Watch |
| Jul 7, 1988 - Aug 24, 1988 | Watch | Dec 16, 1999 - Feb 25,2000 | Watch |
| Aug 24, 1988 - Dec 12, 1988 | Watch | Feb 25, 2000 - May 5, 2000 | Watch |
| Jun 28, 1991 - Jul 24, 1991 | Warning | Aug 8, 2001 - Aug 24, 2001 | Watch |
| Jul 24, 1991 - Aug 16, 1991 | Emergency | Aug 24, 2001 - Nov 6, 2001 | Watch |
| Aug 16, 1991 - Sep 13, 1991 | Emergency | Nov 6, 2001 - Dec 5, 2001 | Watch |
| Sep 13, 1991 - Oct 21, 1991 | Emergency | Dec 5, 2001 - Feb 12, 2002 | Warning |
| Oct 21, 1991 - Jan 16, 1992 | Warning | Feb 12, 2002 - May 13, 2002 | Warning |
| Jan 17, 1992 - Apr 20, 1992 | Warning | May 13, 2002 - Jun 14, 2002 | Warning |
| Apr 20, 1992 - Jun 23, 1992 | Warning | Aug 9, 2002 - Sep 5, 2002 | Watch |
| Sep 1, 1995 - Sep 20, 1995 | Warning | Sep 5, 2002 - Nov 7, 2002 | Warning |
| Sep 20, 1995 - Nov 8, 1995 | Emergency | Apr 11, 2006 - Jun 30, 2006 | Watch |
| Nov 8, 1995 - Dec 18, 1995 | Warning | Aug 8, 2007 - Sep 5, 2007 | Watch |
| Jul 17, 1997 - Oct 27, 1997 | Watch | Sep 5, 2007 - Oct 5, 2007 | Watch |

Eric Stahley

| Oct 27, 1997 - Nov 13, 1997 | Watch | Oct 5, 2007 - Jan 11, 2008 | Watch |
|--------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------|-------|
| Dec 3, 1998 - Dec 8, 1998 | Watch | Sep 16, 2010 – Nov 10, 2010 | Watch |
| Dec 8, 1998 - Dec 14, 1998 | Watch | Mar 24, 2015 – Jun 17, 2015 | Watch |
| Dec 14, 1998 - Dec 16, 1998 | Warning | Jun 17, 2015 – Jul 10, 2015 | Watch |
| Dec 16, 1998 - Jan 15, 1999 | Warning | Oct 28, 2020-Nov 17, 2020 | Watch |
| Jan 15, 1999 - Mar 15, 1999 | Warning | Nov 17, 2020-Jan 7, 2021 | Watch |

4.3.1.4. Future Occurrence

It is difficult to forecast the exact severity of future drought events. However, County staff indicates that based upon the most recent data provided by the PA DEP it appears drought events have decreased. During times of drought the impact of shortages on municipal water suppliers is expected to remain minor to moderate, however the impact could become more severe for those living in rural areas, especially with increased development in those areas and the economic dependence on water supply for agricultural uses. Based on national data from 1895-1995, Columbia County is in severe or extreme drought approximately 10-14.9% percent of the time (see Figure 4.3.1-1). This is equivalent to a PDSI value of less than or equal to -3. Therefore, the future occurrence of a drought can be considered *possible* as defined by the Risk Factor Methodology probability criteria (see Table 4.4-1).

Figure 4.3.1-1 PDSI value for Columbia County (Columbia County GIS, 2021)

Eric Stahley



4.3.1.5. Vulnerability Assessment

As indicated in Section 4.3.2.2, the sizeable agricultural economy and community in Columbia County is most vulnerable to droughts and other water supply deficiencies. Historical losses are usually crop damage and losses and reduced livestock productivity rather than injuries or deaths of individuals. Columbia County ranks 30th in the total value of agricultural products sold, but it ranks 15th in crops, including nursery and greenhouse products, 3rd in the production of cut Christmas trees and short rotation woody crops ad 3rd in the production of vegetables, melons, potatoes, sweet potatoes. The total value of all agricultural products is nearly \$67.3 million annually (USDA, 2017). 56% of this total is the production of crops; the remaining 44% is made up of livestock, poultry, and their products.

Water supplies are also vulnerable to the effects of drought, particularly in locations where citizens rely on wells for their fresh drinking water. Future droughts will quickly affect those systems relying on surface supplies while those on wells should be able to handle short-term droughts without any major problem. However, longer-term droughts which inhibit recharging of groundwater aquifers will extend the problems of well owners for an undetermined length of time. As a result, Columbia County residents that use private domestic wells are more vulnerable to droughts. Table 4.3.1-3 shows the number of domestic wells per municipality.

(Note: Data for domestic wells in municipalities not served by a public water system were calculated by using assumptions of the presence of a domestic well on each addressed structure. The domestic well data for municipalities served by a public water system was obtained from the Pennsylvania Groundwater Information System (PaGWIS). **PaGWIS relies on voluntary submissions of well record data by well drillers; as a result, it is not a complete database of all domestic wells in the County.** The combinations of these two are the most complete dataset of domestic wells available. The Columbia County GIS Director estimates this data to be 80-90% accurate and finds this to be the most effective way for our county to calculate this data)

Eric Stahley

| Table 4.3.1-3: Domestic Well Data for Columbia County. (Columbia County GIS, 2021) | | | |
|--|---|-------------------------|---|
| Municipality | Number of Reported Domestic Wells | Municipality | Number of Reported Domestic Wells |
| Beaver Township | 460 | Locust Township | 723 |
| Benton Borough | 27 | Madison Township | 755 |
| Benton Township | 651 | Main Township | 402 |
| Berwick Borough | 43 | Mifflin Township | 513 |
| Bloomsburg, Town of | 60 | Millville Borough | 17 |
| Briar Creek Borough | 155 | Montour Township | 629 |
| Briar Creek Township | 1,576 | Mount Pleasant Township | 653 |
| Catawissa Borough | 22 | North Centre Township | 734 |
| Catawissa Township | 477 | Orange Township | 586 |
| Centralia Borough | 3 | Orangeville Borough | 4 |
| Cleveland Township | 584 | Pine Township | 559 |
| Conyngham Township | 435 | Roaring Creek Township | 308 |
| Fishing Creek Township | 853 | Scott Township | 142 |
| Franklin Township | 314 | South Centre Township | 112 |
| Greenwood Township | 897 | Stillwater Borough | 122 |
| Hemlock Township | 1011 | Sugarloaf Township | 732 |
| Jackson Township | 342 | Grand Total | 14,901 |

4.3.2. Earthquake

4.3.2.1. Location and Extent

Earthquake events in Pennsylvania do not typically impact areas greater than 100 km from the epicenter of the event and are usually mild events. The Department of Earth Sciences at Millersville University identified relative earthquake hazard zones for Pennsylvania. As seen in Figure 4.3.2-1, the County falls into the "slight" zone.

Figure 4.3.2-1 Earthquake Hazard Zones for Pennsylvania, highlighting Columbia County (Columbia County GIS, 2021)



4.3.2.2. Range of Magnitude

Earthquake magnitude is often measured using the Richter Scale, an open-ended logarithmic scale that describes the energy release of an earthquake. Table 4.3.2-1 summarizes Richter Scale Magnitudes as they relate to the spatial extent of impacted areas. A historical survey of earthquakes occurring near Columbia County indicates that earthquakes have generally had magnitudes of less than 3.5, and Pennsylvania has not experienced any earthquakes with a magnitude greater than 6.0.

| Table 4.3.2-1: Richter scale magnitudes and associated earthquake size effects. | | |
|---|---|--|
| RICHTER MAGNITUDES | EARTHQUAKE EFFECTS | |
| Less than 3.5 | Generally not felt, but recorded. | |
| 3.5-5.4 | Often felt, but rarely causes damage | |
| Under 6.0 | At most, slight damage to well-designed buildings; can cause major damage to poorly constructed buildings over small regions. | |
| 6.1-6.9 | Can be destructive in areas where people live up to about 100 kilometers across. | |
| 7.0-7.9 | Major earthquake; can cause serious damage over large areas. | |
| 8.0 or greater | Great earthquake; can cause serious damage in areas several hundred kilometers across. | |

The Richter scale does not give any indication of the impact or damage of an earthquake, although it can be inferred that higher magnitude events cause more damage. Instead, the impact of an earthquake event is measured in terms of earthquake intensity, usually measured using the Modified Mercalli Intensity Scale, shown in Table 4.3.2-2. Because Columbia County is not on an active fault line, little or no damage is expected from these earthquake events. However, since the worst earthquake recorded in Pennsylvania was a magnitude 5.2, a worst case scenario for this hazard would be if an earthquake of similar magnitude occurred in Columbia County. As described in Table 4.3.2-1, this magnitude of event would be felt and non-stationary objects may shake or fall off shelves, but damage would be very mild, particularly in populated areas of the County.

| Table 4.3.2-2: Modified Mercalli Intensity Scale with associated impacts. | | | |
|---|--------------------|--|--|
| SCALE | INTENSITY | DESCRIPTION OF EFFECTS | CORRESPONDING RICHTER SCALE MAGNITUDE |
| I | Instrumental | Detected only on seismographs | <4.2 |
| II | Feeble | Some people feel it | <4.2 |
| III | Slight | Felt by people resting; like a truck rumbling by | <4.2 |
| IV | Moderate | Felt by people walking | <4.2 |
| V | Slightly Strong | Sleepers awake; church bells ring | <4.8 |
| VI | Strong | Trees sway; suspended objects swing; objects fall off shelves | <5.4 |
| VII | Very Strong | Mild alarm, walls crack, plaster falls | <6.1 |
| VIII | Destructive | Moving cars uncontrollable, masonry fractures, poorly constructed buildings damaged | <6.9 |
| IX | Ruinous | Some houses collapse, ground cracks, pipes break open | <6.9 |
| x | Disastrous | Ground cracks profusely, many buildings destroyed, liquefaction and landslides widespread | <7.3 |
| XI | Very Disastrous | Most buildings and bridges collapse, roads, railways, pipes and cables destroyed, general triggering of other hazards | <8.1 |
| XII | Catastrophic | Total destruction, trees fall, ground rises and falls in waves | >8.1 |

Environmental impacts of earthquakes can be numerous, widespread, and devastating, particularly if indirect impacts like economic impacts are considered. Some examples of these impacts are listed below, but are unlikely to occur in Columbia County:

- Induced flooding or landslides and avalanches;
- Poor water quality;
- Damage to vegetation; and
- Breakage in sewage or toxic material containments.

4.3.2.3. Past Occurrence

According to records maintained by the Pennsylvania DCNR, there has never been an earthquake with an epicenter located in Columbia County. However, as shown in Figure 4.3.2-2, there have been some minor events located in Luzerne, Schuylkill, Sullivan, and Lycoming Counties. On the whole, though, these have largely been minor events with low magnitudes and intensities.

4.3.2.4. Future Occurrence

One way to express an earthquake's severity is to compare its acceleration to the normal acceleration due to gravity. Peak horizontal ground acceleration (PHGA) measures the strength of ground movements in this manner. PGHA is the percent of g (acceleration due to gravity) experienced during the earthquake or the rate in change of motion of the earth's surface during an earthquake as a percent of the

established rate of acceleration due to gravity. On general, an acceleration of 10- to 15- percent of gravity is associated with structural damage to ordinary buildings not designed to withstand earthquakes, although soil conditions at individual sites will impact the amount of damage.

The US Geologic Survey models contours which represent earthquake ground motions that have a 10percent probability of being experienced over a 50-year period. The PGHA value for Columbia County is between two and three. These values correspond to events with low intensities and an expectation of little or no structural damage. Overall, the future occurrence of earthquakes in Columbia County can be considered *unlikely*, as defined by the Risk Factor methodology probability criteria (see Table 4.4-1).

4.3.2.5. Vulnerability Assessment

Earthquakes of the magnitude seen in Northeast Pennsylvania are small and shallow. Based on the past history of earthquake events near Columbia County, the County's vulnerability to this hazard is expected to be low. In the event of an earthquake, unanchored objects may be upset, but few damages are expected.

4.3.3. Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam

4.3.3.1. Location and Extent

Most communities in Columbia County are located along the stream and creek valleys throughout the County, many of which are flood prone as seen in Figure 4.3.2-2. Excess water from snowmelt or rainfall accumulates and overflows onto stream banks and adjacent floodplains. Floodplains are lowlands adjacent to rivers, streams and creeks that are subject to recurring floods. The size of the floodplain is described by the recurrence interval of a given flood. Flood recurrence intervals are explained in more detail in Section 4.3.2.4. However, in assessing the potential spatial extent of flooding it is important to know that a floodplain associated with a flood that has a 10 percent chance of occurring in a given year is smaller than the floodplain associated with a flood that has a 0.2% annual chance of occurring. Community development of the floodplain has resulted in frequent flooding in these areas.

The National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP), for which Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM) are published, identifies the 1% annual chance flood. This 1% annual chance flood event is used to delineate the SFHA and identify Base Flood Elevations. Figure 4.3.3-1 illustrates these terms. The SFHA serves as the primary regulatory boundary used by FEMA, the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and Columbia County local governments.



The Effective Countywide DFIRMs were released for Columbia County and all communities on August 19, 2008. All communities within the County are now shown on a single set of countywide FIRMs. Prior to the publication of this digital data, flood hazard information from FEMA was available through paper FIRMs and Q3 data. These final FIRMs for Columbia County can be obtained from the FEMA Map Service Center (http://www.msc.fema.gov). These maps can be used to identify the expected spatial extent and elevation of flooding from a 1% and 0.2% annual chance event. All of the municipalities in the County except Centralia were determined to have SFHA. Centralia has only Zone X but is still a participant in the NFIP. These are still considered to be the most current DFIRM maps as of 2021.

Figure 4.3.3-2 shows the location of watercourses and flood zones in Columbia County identified in the DFIRM database. The location of approximate and detailed (including Base Flood Elevations) Special Flood Hazard Areas (1% annual-chance-zones) are shown. Flooding occurs in the major watersheds and along the major waterways in Columbia County. The largest of these waterways is the Susquehanna River; other waterways with frequent flooding include the Big and Little Fishing Creeks, Green Creek, Huntington Creek, Briar Creek, Roaring Creek, Mugser Run, and Catawissa Creek. The County also indicates that the Big and Little Fishing Creeks, Green Creek, Rugser Run, and Catawissa Creek, Roaring Creek, Mugser Run, and Catawissa Creek are prone to flash flooding. Backwater flooding in the communities bordering the Susquehanna River is also an issue, especially for the Town of Bloomsburg. In the vicinity of Bloomsburg, the river has very little slope and shallow banks, so the river flows more slowly in the area, hindering Fishing Creek's normal discharge and causing widespread backwater and overbank flooding that can cover up to 33% of the landmass of Bloomsburg (Bloomsburg Planning Commission, 2009).





4.3.3.2. Range of Magnitude

Floods are considered hazards when people and property are affected. Most injuries and deaths from flooding happen when people are swept away by flood currents and most property damage results from inundation by sediment-filled water. A large amount of rainfall over a short time span can result in flash flood conditions. Small amounts of rain can result in floods in locations where the soil is frozen or saturated from a previous wet period or if the rain is concentrated in an area of impermeable surfaces

such as large parking lots, paved roadways, or other impervious developed areas. Flooding can occur in individual municipalities within Columbia County or it can have a countywide affect, involving multiple sites and streams. Several factors determine the severity of floods, including rainfall intensity and duration, topography, ground cover and rate of snowmelt. Water runoff is greater in areas with steep slopes and little to no vegetative ground cover. Also, urbanization typically results in the replacement of vegetative ground cover with asphalt and concrete, increasing the volume of surface runoff and storm water, particularly in areas with poorly planned storm water drainage systems.

In the winter and early spring (February to April), major flooding has occurred as a result of heavy rainfall on dense snowpack throughout contributing watersheds. Summer floods have occurred from intense rainfall on previously saturated soils. Summer thunderstorms deposit large quantities of rainfall over a short period of time that can result in flash flood events, when the velocity of floodwaters has the potential to amplify the impacts of a flood event.

Winter floods also have resulted from runoff of intense rainfall on frozen ground, and, on rare occasions, local flooding has been exacerbated by ice jams in rivers. Ice jam floods occur on rivers that are totally or partially frozen. A rise in stream stage will break up a totally frozen river and create ice flows that can pile up on channel obstructions such as shallow riffles, log jams, or bridge piers. The jammed ice creates a dam across the channel over which the water and ice mixture continues to flow, allowing for more jamming to occur.

The worst case scenario for flooding in Columbia County was Tropical Storm Lee. This storm developed as a tropical disturbance in the Gulf of Mexico and was a particularly large and slow moving storm. By the time it reached Pennsylvania, the storm had lost its tropical characteristics and merged with an upper level trough positioned over the eastern third of the US, resulting in a storm of renewed strength. The storm dumped record rainfall in the Susquehanna River Valley – 10-15 inches total in the County. The flooding was exacerbated by the fact that in many areas, the ground was still saturated from Hurricane Irene's rains the week prior. During Lee, the Susquehanna River crested at a record high of 32.75 feet. Approximately 25% of Bloomsburg was flooded. Pennsylvania Governor Tom Corbett declared a Level 1 emergency in the Commonwealth for this event. It was the first time that level of emergency had been declared since September 11, 2001.

Tropical Storm Lee knocked out power in the County for 7 days (September 8-16). Three shelters were activated during the storm, and over 80 units of temporary housing were deployed after the fact. One of the river gauges in the Susquehanna was lost as well. A snapshot of Columbia County damages from Lee is \$14.2 million on November 7, 2011, but officials expected damages to total between \$17-22 million. This includes approximately \$5 million in damage to just the airport and the water authority in Bloomsburg. There were 2,392 applicants for individual assistance at the time the 2012 plan was updated. The storm caused damage to roads and bridges; this infrastructure damage hindered travel in and around the County, further hampering response and recovery activities. The County also experienced significant business interruption and employment-related losses; two major industries located on the riverfront sustained major damage, and the Bloomsburg Red Cross estimated that 1,888 homes had been affected by the flood. Damages ranged, but included:

- 141 homes totally destroyed
- 661 homes with major damage
- 425 homes with minor damage
- 621 homes affected in some way i.e., damaged, but less than "minor" (Bloomsburg Daily, 2011).

While Tropical Storm Lee can be considered the flood of record for Columbia County, Hurricane Agnes in June 1972 remains an important flood event in the County. This early season hurricane came up from the Gulf of Mexico and brought heavy rain that exceeded the carrying capacity of streams and rivers from southern New York to Virginia from June 22nd to 25th (Gelber, 2002). Hurricane Agnes caused the most damage in central Pennsylvania. The Susquehanna River and its major tributaries flooded across the region. The flooding resulted in evacuations, economic losses, and casualties in many communities and major cities, including Harrisburg, Wilkes-Barre, and York. The flooding from Hurricane Agnes caused \$2.8 billion in economic losses and 48 deaths in Pennsylvania.

In Columbia County, Agnes reportedly dropped 11.63 inches of rain in Bloomsburg; the USGS stream gauge in Bloomsburg recorded a peak river stage of over 31 feet with discharge rates of 350,000 cubic feet per second. Damage estimates in Columbia County were over \$183.8 million (1972 dollars).

Although floods can cause damage to property and loss of life, floods are naturally occurring events that benefit riparian systems which have not been disrupted by human actions. Such benefits include groundwater recharge and the introduction of nutrient rich sediment improving soil fertility. However, the destruction of riparian buffers, changes to land use and land cover throughout a watershed, and the introduction of chemical or biological contaminants which often accompany human presence cause environmental harm when floods occur. Hazardous material facilities are potential sources of contamination during flood events. Other negative environmental impacts of flooding include: water-borne diseases, heavy siltation, damage or loss of crops, and drowning of both humans and animals.

4.3.3.3. Past Occurrence

Columbia County has a long history of flooding events. Flash flooding is the most common type of flooding that occurs in the County. Five of the eight Presidential Disaster and Emergency Declarations affecting Columbia County have been in response to hazard events related to flooding (see Section 4.2.1: Table of Presidential Disaster Declarations). Table 4.3.3-1 lists flood event information from 1993 to 2021 obtained from the NCDC databases. According to NCDC and Columbia County EMA records the storm listed for November 2021 is the last recorded flooding event in Columbia County as of the 2022 plan update.

| DATE | LOCATION & DESCRIPTION |
|------------|---|
| 11/28/1993 | Multiple Counties. Widespread heavy rains as well as gusty showers with torrential downpours accompanied the storm system and resulted in a combination of long-term flooding as well as flash flooding events |
| 9/26/1994 | Countywide. An estimated 2 inches of rain fell within 30 minutes around Bloomsburg. This partially submerged the middle and southern end of the Bloomsburg fairground. Numerous vendors were forced to close for the day. Elsewhere in Columbia County, in Greenwood Township flood waters carried a Ford Explorer into a stream. |
| 6/26/1995 | Countywide. Slowly drifting thunderstorms deposited up to three inches (Recorded in Hetlerville) of rain within the county. Several parts of Mifflinville-Hetlerville Road were washed out. In Mifflinville drainage ditches overflowed flooding seven homes. |
| 1/19/1996 | Countywide. No description reported. |
| 6/7/1996 | Berwick. A trailer park along Briar Creek near Berwick was flooded. |
| 12/1/1996 | Countywide. No description reported. |

Table 4.3.3-1: Flood and flash flood events impacting Columbia County from 1993-2021 (NCDC, 2021;PIERS, 2021). "Countywide" indicates several locations in the County were affected.

| DATE | LOCATION & DESCRIPTION |
|------------|---|
| 12/13/1996 | Countywide. Rain of 1-3 inches fell across a wide section of central Pennsylvania causing flooding of roads and streams. |
| 1/8/1998 | Countywide. No description reported. |
| 1/18/1999 | Countywide. Roads & basements flooded. |
| 1/24/1999 | Countywide. Heavy rains fell across the central mountains of Pennsylvania with most areas receiving in excess of 1 inch from late afternoon on the 23rd until early morning of Sunday the 24th. The snow pack which included a hard layer of ice held up to 2 inches of water in some spots. Small streams overflowed their banks, ice jams caused problems and some basements and roads were flooded. |
| 9/16/1999 | Countywide. No description reported. |
| 7/30/2000 | Northeast portion of the County. Heavy rains closed several roads across northeast parts of Columbia County. Rainfall in Benton totaled 4.78 inches. Several homes were evacuated due to high water. A bridge was washed out on Route 254. |
| 8/2/2000 | North portion of the County. Small streams and roads flooded. |
| 12/17/2000 | Countywide. No description reported. |
| 5/26/2004 | Bloomsburg. Heavy rain caused flooding along Route 42 in Pine Township in Northwest Columbia county, forcing Department of Transportation officials to close the roadway. |
| 6/17/2004 | Berwick. Thunderstorms with heavy rain caused Flash Flooding in Columbia county during the afternoon and early evening of June 17. Flash flooding began in the Stillwater area where a road was washed out and a mudslide occurred. In the Briar Creek area, just west of Berwick, flash flooding was also observed with some evacuations required due to the high water. Flooding in the county mainly occurred between Bloomsburg and Berwick. |
| 9/17/2004 | Multiple Counties. The remnants of Hurricane Ivan moved in during Friday, September 17th, and led to a large swath of excessive rainfall across central Pennsylvania as the system weakened to a tropical depression. Rainfall amounts of 3 to 6 inches were common, with some localized amounts exceeding 8 inches within a 12-hour period. As a result of this excessive rainfall, many smaller creeks and streams overflowed their banks by Friday evening, while many larger tributaries of the Susquehanna River experienced moderate to major flooding from Saturday into Sunday. |
| 9/18/2004 | Bloomsburg. Heavy rain caused the Susquehanna River at Bloomsburg to exceed its flood stage of 19 feet. |
| 1/14/2005 | Bloomsburg. Heavy rain caused flooding along the Main Stem Susquehanna River at Bloomsburg. |
| 3/29/2005 | Bloomsburg. Heavy rain caused the Susquehanna River at Bloomsburg to flood. The river exceeded flood stage of 19 feet. |
| 3/29/2005 | Multiple Counties. This storm produced very heavy rainfall across the middle and upper Susquehanna Valley from Monday afternoon into early Tuesday morning. Rainfall amounts from 1 to 3 inches, combined with rapid snowmelt to produce widespread flooding across the region. Numerous roadways were reported closed due to flooding. |
| 4/2/2005 | Countywide. Heavy rainfall led to numerous road closures as smaller streams and creeks overflowed their banks during Saturday afternoon and night. The widespread flooding led to numerous evacuations in portions of Columbia County, particularly in the vicinity of Bloomsburg, where approximately 250 to 350 homes were evacuated. In addition, the Sewage Treatment Plant was shut down in Bloomsburg. Columbia County was officially declared as a Federal Disaster Area |
| 4/3/2005 | Bloomsburg. Heavy rain caused the Susquehanna River at Bloomsburg flood. |
| DATE | LOCATION & DESCRIPTION |
|------------|---|
| 5/30/2006 | Catawissa. Heavy rain from a slow moving cluster of thunderstorms caused flash flooding along a narrow portion of west-central Columbia County. A small tributary to Catawissa Creek flooded a car dealership in Catawissa. The waters eroded the stream bank, and swept one car (unoccupied) into the water. Several mudslides were also reported. |
| 6/27/2006 | Countywide. Heavy rain associated with a stalled frontal boundary, interacting with the remnants of a weak tropical system, caused flash flooding throughout central and eastern Pennsylvania. While flash flooding ended on the June 28th, flood waters continued in some locations until July 1st. Numerous bridges and roads were washed out. Residents in Fernville were evacuated. |
| 6/28/2006 | Bloomsburg. Heavy rain caused Susquehanna River at Bloomsburg to flood. |
| 7/1/2006 | Bloomsburg. Heavy rain caused Susquehanna River at Bloomsburg to flood. |
| 11/16/2006 | Bloomsburg. Heavy rain caused flash flooding in Columbia County, especially near Bloomsburg. Fishing Creek and other small creeks came out of their banks, flooding several roads. Interstate 80 was also closed in Hemlock Township. |
| 3/5/2008 | Grassmere. Heavy rain caused flooding in Columbia County, closing over seven state roads and producing widespread urban, small stream and river flooding. |
| 7/11/2009 | Bloomsburg. A cold front moving southeast across the Great Lakes triggered severe thunderstorms across central Pennsylvania during the afternoon and evening hours. Several of these storms moved repeatedly across the same areas of Columbia County, causing flash flooding. |
| 7/31/2009 | Benton. Runoff from heavy rainfall produced flash flooding along Fishing Creek in Benton. The creek came out of its banks and flooded portions of a boy scout camp. |
| 1/25/2010 | Bendertown. Heavy rain caused widespread areal flooding, mainly along Fishing Creek. Numerous roads were flooded and closed in Benton, Maple Grove, Stillwater, Orangeville and Bloomsburg. |
| 1/25/2010 | Benton. Heavy rain during the morning hours caused significant flash flooding along Fishing Creek. Little Fishing Creek actually changed its natural course, and the flash flood waters surged into downtown Benton. A dyke system failed, leading to several residences having moderate to major flooding. Many people were evacuated to Red Cross Shelters. A disaster declaration was announced for the Benton area with initial damages estimates approaching one million dollars. |
| 3/10/2011 | Countywide. Heavy rainfall between 2 to 4 inches across central and eastern Pennsylvania combined with snowmelt in the northern mountains to produce significant flooding. The Susquehanna River at Bloomsburg crested at 22.58 feet on March 12th at 8:30 am. This is categorized as a moderate flood. At 22.0 feet a number of homes located between the fairgrounds and the river were affected by high water. Several buildings at the airport were also affected. |
| 4/28/2011 | Bloomsburg and Grovania. The Susquehanna River at Bloomsburg crested above moderate flood stage. A number of homes located between the fairgrounds and the river were affected by high water. Several buildings at the airport were also affected. Near Grovania, several road closures, mudslides and small stream flooding were reported. |
| 9/8/2011 | Countywide. Tropical Storm Lee. For details, see Section 4.3.3.2. |
| 9/28/2011 | Buckhorn and Rupert. Slow moving heavy rain showers and thunderstorms produced flash flooding and flooding across central Pennsylvania and resulted in numerous road wash-outs across Columbia County. |
| 5/27/2012 | Catawissa and Roaring Creek. Heavy thunderstorms over northwest Pennsylvania produced widespread wind damage and localized flash flooding. Heavy thunderstorm rains caused streams and creeks to overflow their banks in Catawissa and Franklin Townships, taking large objects including cars downstream in the flood waters. |

| DATE | LOCATION & DESCRIPTION |
|------------|---|
| 9/6/2012 | Berwick and Forks. Scattered severe thunderstorms produced marginally severe hail and localized heavy downpours that resulted in isolated flash flooding. |
| 9/2/2013 | Sugarloaf Township, Benton, and Stillwater. A stationary thunderstorm produced heavy rain and localized flash flooding. Damage included a road washout, agricultural flooding resulting in significant losses to a pumpkin patch, a mudslide, downed trees, and closed roadways. |
| 1/23/2018 | Catawissa Borough, Catawissa Township, Franklin Township, Hemlock Township, Millville Borough, and Orange Township. Multiple Ice Jams caused small stream flooding in the County, closing roads such as Southern Dr and Rohrsburg Rd. |
| 5/23/2018 | Briar Creek Township, Orange Township, Fishing Creek Township, Greenwood Township, Sugarloaf Township and Hemlock Township. Flash flooding closed numerous roads throughout the County and water surrounded some trailers in the Berwick Area. |
| 7/23/2018 | Countywide. Heavy rainfall caused numerous roads to flood and caused extensive damage to homes. Public assistance was declared. |
| 8/13/2018 | Countywide, primarily northern half of Columbia County. Heavy and fast rainfall caused Fishing Creek to overflow rapidly in the Benton and Central areas, many homes were evacuated and helicopter rescues were executed in the Maple Grove Area. A MARC was organized at the Benton Area School and Public Assistance was declared in response to the damage sustained. |
| 10/11/2018 | Cleveland Township. Run off from the Mountain caused Knoebels Amusement Park to flood. |
| 8/15/2019 | Berwick Borough, South Centre Township, Briar Creek Borough. 2"+ of rain fell within an hour. This caused road closures and power outages in the area. There were multiple flooded basements reported. |
| 10/31/2019 | Sugarloaf Township. Fishing Creek flooded out of its banks in Central but no major problems were reported. |
| 4/30/2020 | Mifflin Township, Hemlock Township, Fishing Creek Township, Benton Township, Briar Creek Township. Heavy rain caused multiple road closures and several roadway collapses. |
| 12/24/2020 | Countywide. Abnormally warm temperatures after heavy snowfall, combined with rain, caused small stream flooding throughout the County. Power outages, road closures and basements were flooded throughout the County. |
| 9/1/2021 | Countywide, primarily southern half. Remnants of Hurricane Ida passed over Columbia County causing heavy rainfall and power outages. Multiple road closures were reported. |
| 9/21/2021 | Orange Township. Rain caused creek flooding and Rohrsburg Rd to close for a short time. |
| 10/4/2021 | Orange Township. Rain caused creek flooding and Rohrsburg Rd to close for a short time. |

| DATE | LOCATION & DESCRIPTION |
|------------|---|
| 10/31/2021 | Bloomsburg. Heavy rain in the northern portion of the Susquehanna River Basin caused the rive to come over Fort McClure Blvd in Bloomsburg. The road was closed for a day before the river receded. |
| 11/12/2021 | Orange Township, Briar Creek Township, Locust Township, Bloomsburg. Heavy rain caused several road closures. |

In addition to the aforementioned past flood events, the National Flood Insurance Program identifies properties that frequently experience flooding. *Repetitive loss properties* are structures insured under the NFIP which have had at least two paid flood losses of more than \$1,000 over any ten-year period since 1978. A property is considered a *severe repetitive loss property* either when there are at least four losses each exceeding \$5,000 or when there are two or more losses where the building payments exceed the property value. As of 2021, there were 97 repetitive loss properties in Columbia County (PEMA, 2021). These repetitive loss properties are located in seventeen of the 33 municipalities in Columbia County: Berwick Borough, Town of Bloomsburg, Briar Creek Borough, Catawissa Borough, Catawissa Township, Cleveland Township, Fishing Creek Township, Franklin Township, Greenwood Township, Hemlock Township, Locust Township, Main Township, Mifflin Township, Montour Township, Mount Pleasant Township; Orangeville Borough; Orange Township, Scott Township. By far the most repetitive loss properties are located in Bloomsburg. Table 4.3.3-2 shows the number of repetitive loss properties by municipality. There are thirty-five severe repetitive loss properties in Columbia County – the majority of which are in Bloomsburg.

| | | Тур | e | SUM OF | SUM OF SEVERE REPETITIVE | |
|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------|------------------|--------|----------------------------------|--------------------|
| MUNICIPALITY | NON- RESIDENTIAL | 2-4 FAMILY | SINGLE FAMILY | CONDOS | REPETITIVE LOSS PROPERTIES | LOSS PROPERTIES |
| Beaver Township | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Benton Borough | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Benton Township | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Berwick Borough | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Bloomsburg, Town of | 2 | 4 | 25 | 1 | 32 | 16 |
| Briar Creek Borough | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Briar Creek Township | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Catawissa Borough | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| Catawissa Township | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Centralia Borough | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 4.3.3-2: Summary of the number and type of Repetitive Loss properties by municipality (PEMA,2021).

| Cleveland Township | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
|----------------------------|---|---|----|---|----|----|
| Conyngham Township | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fishing Creek Township | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 3 |
| Franklin Township | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 1 |
| Greenwood Township | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hemlock Township | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 0 |
| Jackson Township | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Locust Township | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| Madison Township | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Main Township | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Mifflin Township | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 |
| Millville Borough | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Montour Township | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 2 |
| Mount Pleasant Township | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| North Centre Township | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Orange Township | 0 | 0 | 3 | | 3 | 1 |
| Orangeville Borough | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Pine Township | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Roaring Creek Township | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Scott Township | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 4 |
| South Centre Township | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Stillwater Borough | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sugarloaf Township | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 4 | 4 | 88 | 1 | 97 | 35 |

Floods are the most common and costly natural catastrophe in the United States. In terms of economic disruption, property damage, and loss of life, floods are "nature's number-one disaster." For that reason, flood insurance is almost never available under industry-standard homeowner's and renter's policies. The best way for citizens to protect their property against flood losses is to purchase flood insurance through the NFIP.

Congress established the NFIP in 1968 to help control the growing cost of federal disaster relief. The NFIP is administered by FEMA, part of the U.S. Department of Homeland Security. The NFIP offers federally-backed flood insurance in communities that adopt and enforce effective floodplain management ordinances to reduce future flood losses.

Since 1983, the chief means of providing flood insurance coverage has been a cooperative venture of FEMA and the private insurance industry known as the Write Your Own (WYO) Program. This partnership allows qualified property and casualty insurance companies to "write" (that is, issue) and service the NFIP's Standard Flood Insurance Policy (SFIP) under their own names.

Today, nearly 90 WYO insurance companies issue and service the SFIP under their own names. More than 4.4 million federal flood insurance policies are in force. These policies represent \$650 billion in flood insurance coverage for homeowners, renters, and business owners throughout the United States and its territories. As of 2016, the number of WYO insurance companies has decreased to 79.

In 2012, the U.S. Congress passed the Biggert Waters Flood Insurance Reform Act of 2012. This act was intended to change the way that the NFIP is ran including insurance policy rate increases to reflect true risk and changes in how the Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) updates impact policyholders.

On March 21, 2014, President Obama signed the Homeowner Flood Insurance Affordability Act of 2014 into law. This law repealed and modified certain provisions of the Biggert-Waters Flood Insurance Reform Act and makes additional program changes to other aspects of the program not covered by that Act. Many provisions of the Biggert-Waters Flood Insurance Reform Act remain and are still being implemented.

As a result of the changes, in April 1, 2015, every new or renewed NFIP policy includes an annual surcharge required by the Homeowner Flood Insurance Affordability Act of 2014 (HFIAA). The surcharge amount depends on the use of your insured building and the type of policy insuring the building, regardless of its flood zone or date of construction.

The NFIP provides flood insurance to individuals in communities that are members of the program. Membership in the program is contingent on the community adopting and enforcing floodplain management and development regulations. The NFIP is based on the voluntary participation of communities of all sizes. In the context of this program, a "community" is a political entity – whether an incorporated city, town, township, borough, or village, or an unincorporated area of a county or parish – that has legal authority to adopt and enforce floodplain management ordinances for the area under its jurisdiction.

National Flood Insurance is available only in communities that apply for participation in the NFIP and agree to implement prescribed flood mitigation measures. Newly participating communities are admitted to the NFIP's Emergency Program. Most of these communities quickly earn "promotion" to the Regular Program.

The Emergency Program is the initial phase of a community's participation in the NFIP. In return for the local government's agreeing to adopt basic floodplain management standards, the NFIP allows local property owners to buy modest amounts of flood insurance coverage.

In return for agreeing to adopt more comprehensive floodplain management measures, an Emergency Program community can be "promoted" to the Regular Program. Local policyholders immediately become eligible to buy greater amounts of flood insurance coverage. All municipalities in Columbia County are in the Regular Program.

The minimum floodplain management requirements include:

- Review and permit all development in the SFHA;
- Elevate new and substantially improved residential structures above the Base Flood Elevation;
- Elevate or dry flood proof new and substantially improved non-residential structures; Limit development in floodways;
- Locate or construct all public utilities and facilities so as to minimize or eliminate flood damage; and
- Anchor foundation or structure to resist floatation, collapse, or lateral movement.

In addition, Regular Program communities are eligible to participate in the NFIP's Community Rating System (CRS). Under the CRS, policyholders can receive premium discounts of 5 to 45 percent as their cities and towns adopt more comprehensive flood mitigation measures. Currently, the Town of Bloomsburg is the only community in Columbia County participating in CRS. Bloomsburg has been a CRS community since October of 1993; its CRS class is 7 with 1,757 points. For more information on CRS, see Section 5.2.2.

Table 4.3.3-3 lists the Columbia County municipalities participating in the NFIP along with the date of the initial FIRM and the current effective map date. Note that all municipalities in the County participate in the program and have Current Effective DFIRMS as of August 19, 2008. This table was confirmed as the most up to date data as of the time the plan was updated in 2022. Columbia County is in the process of going through a DFIRM map update. New Preliminary mapping was released for commend on May 31, 2019. The Preliminary Maps were anticipated to become Final on June 17, 2022, however, the implementation was delayed due to appeals and public comment on the maps. As of October of 2022, no anticipated final release date has been announced.

| | r og og se | | | |
|------------------------|--|--------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| COMMUNITY | PARTICIPATION STATUS | CID | INITIAL FIRM IDENTIFIE | CURRENT EFFECTIVE MAP DATE |
| Beaver Township | Participating | 421547 | 11/15/85 | 8/19/2008 |
| Benton Borough | Participating | 421543 | 10/18/83 | 8/19/2008 |
| Benton Township | Participating | 421037 | 08/01/78 | 8/19/2008 |
| Berwick Borough | Participating | 420338 | 08/03/89 | 8/19/2008 |
| Bloomsburg, Town of | Participating | 420339 | 05/01/80 | 8/19/2008 |
| Briar Creek Borough | Participating | 420340 | 08/15/79 | 8/19/2008 |
| Briar Creek Township | Participating | 421548 | 06/19/89 | 8/19/2008 |
| Catawissa Borough | Participating | 420341 | 09/14/79 | 8/19/2008 |
| Catawissa Township | Participating | 420342 | 10/05/79 | 8/19/2008 |
| Centralia Borough* | Participating | 421544 | 08/19/08 | NSFHA |
| Cleveland Township | Participating | 421000 | 08/01/79 | 8/19/2008 |
| Conyngham Township | Participating | 421549 | 10/15/85 | 8/19/2008 |
| Fishing Creek Township | Participating | 421550 | 08/03/89 | 8/19/2008 |

Table 4.3.3-3: Columbia County Municipal Participation in the National Flood InsuranceProgram.

| Franklin Township | Participating | 420343 | 08/01/79 | 8/19/2008 |
|----------------------------------|---------------|--------|----------|-----------|
| Greenwood Township | Participating | 421551 | 03/16/89 | 8/19/2008 |
| Hemlock Township | Participating | 420344 | 08/01/79 | 8/19/2008 |
| Jackson Township | Participating | 421552 | 10/15/85 | 8/19/2008 |
| Locust Township | Participating | 421001 | 08/01/79 | 8/19/2008 |
| Madison Township | Participating | 421553 | 08/03/89 | 8/19/2008 |
| Main Township | Participating | 421554 | 10/15/85 | 8/19/2008 |
| Mifflin Township | Participating | 421167 | 08/15/79 | 8/19/2008 |
| Millville Borough | Participating | 421545 | 06/19/89 | 8/19/2008 |
| Montour Township | Participating | 421002 | 08/01/79 | 8/19/2008 |
| Mount Pleasant Township | Participating | 421042 | 02/18/81 | 8/19/2008 |
| North Centre Township | Participating | 421555 | 10/15/85 | 8/19/2008 |
| Orange Township | Participating | 421003 | 08/01/79 | 8/19/2008 |
| Orangeville Borough | Participating | 420345 | 08/01/79 | 8/19/2008 |
| Pine Township | Participating | 421556 | 04/03/89 | 8/19/2008 |
| Roaring Creek Township | Participating | 421557 | 04/30/86 | 8/19/2008 |
| Scott Township | Participating | 421004 | 09/02/81 | 8/19/2008 |
| South Centre Township | Participating | 421137 | 11/19/80 | 8/19/2008 |
| Stillwater Borough | Participating | 421546 | 08/15/89 | 8/19/2008 |
| Sugarloaf Township | Participating | 421558 | 07/04/89 | 8/19/2008 |
| *No Special Flood Hazard Area (I | VSFHA) | | | |

4.3.3.4. Future Occurrence

In Columbia County, flooding occurs commonly and can occur during any season of the year. Therefore, the future occurrence of floods in Columbia County can be considered highly likely as defined by the Risk Factor Methodology probability criteria (see Table 4.4-1). Floods are described in terms of their extent (including the horizontal area affected and the vertical depth of floodwaters) and the related probability of occurrence. The NFIP uses historical records to determine the probability of occurrence for different extents of flooding. The probability of occurrence is expressed in percentages as the chance of a flood of a specific extent occurring in any given year.

The NFIP recognizes the 1%-annual-chance flood, also known as the *base flood*, as the standard for identifying properties subject to federal flood insurance purchase requirements. A 1%-annual-chance flood is a flood which has a 1% chance of occurring over a given year. The DFIRMs are used to identify areas subject to the 1- and 0.2%-annual-chance flooding. Areas subject to 2% and 10% annual chance events are not shown on maps; however, water surface elevations associated with these events are included in the flood source profiles contained in the Flood Insurance Study Report.

Table 4.3.3-4 shows a range of flood recurrence intervals and associated probabilities of occurrence. Although the information is from 2001, it is still considered the best available information on this topic.

| Table 4.3.3-4: Recurrence intervals and associated probabilities of occurrence (FEMA, 2001). | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| RECURRENCE INTERVAL | CHANCE OF OCCURRENCE IN ANY GIVEN YEAR (%) | | | | | | |
| 10 year | 10 | | | | | | |
| 50 year | 2 | | | | | | |
| 100 year | 1 | | | | | | |
| 500 year | 0.2 | | | | | | |

4.3.3.5. Vulnerability Assessment

Columbia County is vulnerable to flooding that causes loss of lives, property damage, and road closures. Flood water damages that occur to agricultural, urban, and other properties such as roads, bridges and utilities are projected to increase in Columbia County due to the development of flood prone lands. For purposes of assessing vulnerability, the County focused on community assets that are located in the 1%-annual-chance floodplain. While greater and smaller floods are possible, information about the extent and depths for this floodplain is available for all municipalities countywide, thus providing a consistent basis for analysis. Flood vulnerability maps for each applicable local municipality, showing the 1%-annual-chance flood hazard area and addressable structures, critical facilities and transportation routes within it, are included in **Appendix D**. These maps were created using FEMA Countywide Effective digital data.

Table 4.3.3-5 displays the number of addressable structures, structures classified as "Trailers," and populations intersecting the SFHA along with the total number of addressable structures, trailers, and population in each municipality. The Trailers category incorporates agricultural trailers, residential trailers, commercials, and leased trailers (with no land). The number of vulnerable addressable structures was calculated by overlaying the addressable structures with the SFHA. Similarly, the estimated population in the SFHA was calculated utilizing data from the United States Census Bureau that found there were an average of 2.39 people per housing unit in Columbia County between 2010 and 2014. In order to estimate the number of trailers in the SFHA, addressable structures with the land uses "agricultural trailer", "residential trailer", "commercial trailer", and "general trailer" structure type were selected; then the structures were intersected with the SFHA.

Overall, only 6.6% of the addressable structures and 6.6% of the population of the County are most at risk to the 1%-annual-chance flood zone. The Town of Bloomsburg has over 400 structures located in the SFHA. However, proportionally, Benton Borough has the highest percentage of structures in the SFHA; 39.7% of all structures in the municipality are located in the SFHA. Other jurisdictions with a comparatively higher proportion of addressable structures in the SFHA include Stillwater Borough and Orange Township each of which has 37.7% and 16.8% of addressable structures in the SFHA respectively. Stillwater Borough and Benton Borough have the highest proportions of populations living in the SFHA with 39.7% and 37.7% of each jurisdiction's population falling within the SFHA. The only other jurisdictions with over 10% of the population at risk to the 1%-annual-chance flood are the town of Bloomsburg, and Briar Creek, Fishing Creek, Franklin, and Orange Township. Of all the flood prone jurisdictions, Conyngham Township, Centralia Borough, Jackson Township, and Millville Borough have comparatively lower structure vulnerability; less than 1% of the total addressable structures in those jurisdictions are located in the SFHA. These are also among the jurisdictions with the lowest proportion of population in the SFHA.

The number and geography of vulnerable trailers is quite different from the overall structure vulnerability in the County. Briar Creek Borough has the highest number and proportion of trailers in the SFHA at 31 while South Centre Township has 27 trailers in the SFHA. Centralia, and Orangeville Boroughs and Beaver, Conyngham, and Main Townships have no trailers in the SFHA.

| Table 4.3.3-5: Structure and population vulnerability to floods in Columbia County (Columbia County GIS, 2021). | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|------------|--|--|-----|---|---|----------------------------|--------|
| Municipality | Total Addressable StructuresTotal Addressable Structures in SFHA% of Total Addressable Structures in SFHA | | Total Assessed Value of Addressable Structures in SFHA | Total Assessed Value of Addressable Structures in SFHA | | Total Population (2.39 ppl per Household) | Estimated Population in SFHA (2.39 ppl per Household) | % Population in SFHA | |
| Beaver | | | | | | | | | |
| Township | 461 | 10 | 2.2% | \$504,381 | 29 | - | 1,102 | 24 | 2.2% |
| Benton Borough | 426 | 169 | 39.7% | \$13.651.637 | 46 | 8 | 1.018 | 404 | 39.7% |
| Benton | | | | <i><i><i>q</i> 10,001,007</i></i> | | _ | _, | | |
| Township | 651 | 63 | 9.7% | \$3,319,742 | 67 | 4 | 1,556 | 151 | 9.7% |
| Berwick | | | | | | | | | |
| Borough | 4,719 | 62 | 1.3% | \$2,701,549 | 205 | 9 | 11,278 | 148 | 1.3% |
| Town of Bloomsburg | 4 016 | 434 | 10.8% | \$40 817 149 | 35 | 6 | 9 598 | 1 037 | 10.8% |
| Briar Creek | 4,010 | | 10.070 | Ψ10,017,117 | 33 | | 5,550 | 1,037 | 10.070 |
| Borough | 326 | 41 | 12.6% | \$20,818,270 | 131 | 31 | 779 | 98 | 12.6% |
| Briar Creek | 1 578 | 122 | 7 7% | \$5 272 281 | 266 | 20 | 3 771 | 202 | 7 7% |
| Catawissa | 1,578 | 122 | 7.770 | \$5,275,501 | 200 | 20 | 3,771 | 252 | 7.770 |
| Borough | 689 | 30 | 4.4% | \$1,064,694 | 28 | 3 | 1,647 | 72 | 4.4% |
| Catawissa Townshin | 477 | 12 | 2 5% | \$640 208 | 23 | 2 | 1 140 | 29 | 2 5% |
| Centralia | | 12 | 2.370 | ψ0τ0,200 | 25 | <u> </u> | 1,170 | 25 | 2.370 |
| Borough | 3 | - | - | _ | - | - | 7 | - | - |
| Cleveland | | | | | | | | | |
| Township | 584 | 49 | 8.4% | \$5,791,411 | 36 | 6 | 1,396 | 117 | 8.4% |
| Conyngham Township | 436 | 1 | 0.2% | \$1,886,854 | 18 | - | 1,042 | 2 | 0.2% |

| Fishing Creek | | | | to 000 5 00 | | | | | |
|---------------|-------|-----|---------------|----------------------------|-----|----|-----------------------|-----|----------------|
| Township | 866 | 97 | 11.2% | \$3,223,733 | 85 | 1 | 2,070 | 232 | 11.2% |
| Franklin | 242 | 20 | 42 50/ | * 0.004 = 40 | 24 | | 740 | 02 | |
| Townsnip | 313 | 39 | 12.5% | \$2,831,748 | 24 | 4 | /48 | 93 | 12.5% |
| Greenwood | 007 | 05 | 0 50/ | | | | ~ | 200 | 0.50/ |
| Township | 897 | 85 | 9.5% | \$3,393,549 | 96 | 8 | 2,144 | 203 | 9.5% |
| Hemlock | | | 0.40/ | | | | a 4 a a | 220 | 0.40/ |
| Iownship | 1,014 | 92 | 9.1% | \$5,054,756 | 37 | 1 | 2,423 | 220 | 9.1% |
| Jackson | | | 0.00/ | | | | - | | 0.00/ |
| Township | 342 | 1 | 0.3% | \$10,852 | 40 | 1 | 817 | 2 | 0.3% |
| Locust | | | a -a (| | | | | | 6 - • (|
| Township | /55 | 49 | 6.5% | \$1,920,787 | 107 | 24 | 1,804 | 11/ | 6.5% |
| Madison | | _ | | | | _ | | | |
| Township | 758 | 8 | 1.1% | \$306,388 | 83 | 2 | 1,812 | 19 | 1.1% |
| Main | | | | | | | | | |
| Township | 563 | 19 | 3.4% | \$282,815 | 77 | - | 1,346 | 45 | 3.4% |
| Mifflin | | | | | | | | | |
| Township | 1,056 | 31 | 2.9% | \$2,275,671 | 107 | 1 | 2,524 | 74 | 2.9% |
| Millville | | | | | | | | | |
| Borough | 442 | 4 | 0.9% | \$170,594 | 9 | 1 | 1,056 | 10 | 0.9% |
| Montour | | | | | | | | | |
| Township | 629 | 17 | 2.7% | \$1,399,765 | 84 | 7 | 1,503 | 41 | 2.7% |
| Mt. Pleasant | | | | | | | | | |
| Township | 652 | 45 | 6.9% | \$1,952,624 | 55 | 22 | 1,558 | 108 | 6.9% |
| North Centre | | | | | | | | | |
| Township | 903 | 16 | 1.8% | \$5,299,906 | 229 | 6 | 2,158 | 38 | 1.8% |
| Orange | | | | | | | | | |
| Township | 631 | 106 | 16.8% | \$3,993,146 | 295 | 19 | 1,508 | 253 | 16.8% |
| Orangeville | | | | | | | | | |
| Borough | 168 | 13 | 7.7% | \$514,904 | 2 | - | 402 | 31 | 7.7% |
| Pine | | | | | | | | | |
| Township | 559 | 14 | 2.5% | \$683,491 | 68 | 2 | 1,336 | 33 | 2.5% |

| Roaring Creek | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|-------|-----|-------|--------------|-----|----|-------|-----|-------|
| Township | 378 | 22 | 5.8% | \$945,199 | 38 | 2 | 903 | 53 | 5.8% |
| Scott | | | | | | | | | |
| Township | 2,601 | 120 | 4.6% | \$10,236,912 | 301 | 3 | 6,216 | 287 | 4.6% |
| South Centre | | | | | | | | | |
| Township | 968 | 41 | 4.2% | \$3,405,510 | 261 | 27 | 2,314 | 98 | 4.2% |
| Stillwater | | | | | | | | | |
| Borough | 122 | 46 | 37.7% | \$1,854,494 | 7 | 3 | 292 | 110 | 37.7% |
| Sugarloaf | | | | | | | | | |
| Township | 732 | 107 | 14.6% | \$7,749,548 | 98 | 7 | 1,749 | 256 | 14.6% |

Table 4.3.3-6 shows the various types of structure land uses throughout the flood prone areas of Columbia County. Residential structures are the most common buildings found in the SFHA throughout the County, whereas Industrial structures are scarcer, with a cluster of five structures in the Town of Bloomsburg, and at least one structure in Benton, Briar Creek, Hemlock, Scott, South Centre, and Sugarloaf Townships and Briar Creek, Catawissa, Stillwater Boroughs. Bloomsburg has the highest concentration of residential structures in the SFHA with over 300, but Benton Borough, Briar Creek Township, and Hemlock Township each have over 70 vulnerable homes. Orangeville Borough and Scott Township are the only jurisdictions with towers in SFHA; Berwick Borough, the Town of Bloomsburg, Mifflin and Orange Township are the only jurisdictions with utility structures within the SFHA. The Exempt column consists of taxexempt entities such as churches, schools and similar institutions. Catawissa Borough has the most exempt structures in the SFHA with 22, the Town of Bloomsburg follows closely with 19, and Briar Creek Borough and Benton Borough are the next highest with 11 and 12. Other jurisdictions with this type of vulnerable structures are Millville, and Orangeville Boroughs, Benton, Briar Creek, Conyngham, Fishing Creek, Franklin, Greenwood, Hemlock, Montour, North Centre, Orange, Scott, and Sugarloaf Townships. Bloomsburg, Beaver, Benton, Briar Creek, Catawissa, Cleveland, Fishing Creek, Franklin, Greenwood, Hemlock, Montour, Mount Pleasant, Orange, Roaring Creek, Scott, and Sugarloaf Townships, and Berwick and Stillwater Boroughs, all have vacant structures that are vulnerable to the 1% annual-chance-flood.

| Table 4.3.3-6: Structure land use in Columbia County within the 1% Annual-Chance Flood area (Columbia County GIS, 2021). | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------|------------|--------|------------|-------------|-------|---------|---------|--------|-------|--|
| MUNICIPALITY | AGRICULTURAL | COMMERCIAL | EXEMPT | INDUSTRIAL | RESIDENTIAL | TOWER | TRAILER | UTILITY | VACANT | TOTAL | |
| Beaver Township | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 11 | |
| Benton Borough | 3 | 32 | 12 | 0 | 123 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 177 | |
| Benton Township | 9 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 62 | |
| Berwick Borough | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 64 | |
| Bloomsburg, Town of | 1 | 59 | 19 | 5 | 334 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 425 | |
| Briar Creek Borough | 0 | 32 | 11 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 81 | |
| Briar Creek Township | 6 | 23 | 1 | 1 | 86 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 4 | 132 | |
| Catawissa Borough | 0 | 3 | 22 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | |
| Catawissa Township | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 11 | |
| Cleveland Township | 9 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 43 | |
| Conyngham Township | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | |
| Fishing Creek Township | 8 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 43 | |
| Franklin Township | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 21 | |
| Greenwood Township | 6 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 86 | |
| Hemlock Township | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 92 | |

| Locust Township | 7 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 32 |
|---------------------------|-----|-----|----|----|------|---|-----|---|----|------|
| Madison Township | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Main Township | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| Mifflin Township | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 28 |
| Millville Borough | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Montour Township | 0 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 23 |
| Mt Pleasant Township | 6 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 46 |
| North Centre Township | 2 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| Orange Township | 28 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 16 | 1 | 4 | 115 |
| Orangeville Borough | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Pine Township | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| Roaring Creek Township | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 21 |
| Scott Township | 0 | 55 | 4 | 1 | 56 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 121 |
| South Centre Township | 1 | 30 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 68 |
| Stillwater Borough | 6 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 33 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 46 |
| Sugarloaf Township | 21 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 33 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 65 |
| Total | 141 | 344 | 99 | 18 | 1135 | 2 | 127 | 7 | 46 | 1919 |

Table 4.3.3-7 displays the number of critical facilities that are located in the SFHA by jurisdiction. There are 47 critical facilities that are located in the SFHA, representing about 13% of the County's total critical facilities. Berwick Borough has the highest number of flood prone critical facilities with 10; Scott and Sugarloaf Townships follow closely with 6 each. Other jurisdictions with critical facilities located in the SFHA include Benton, Catawissa and Millville Boroughs, Benton, Fishing Creek, Franklin, Greenwood, Locust, Montour, Mount Pleasant, Orange, South Centre, and Stillwater Townships, and Bloomsburg.

| Table 4.3.3-7: Critical fac | llitles vulnerable to flood by mu | nicipality (Columbia County GIS, |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| MUNICIPALITY | TOTAL CRITICAL FACILITIES IN SFHA | TOTAL CRITICAL FACILITIES PER MUNICIPALITY |
| Beaver Township | 0 | 5 |
| Benton Borough | 5 | 10 |
| Benton Township | 3 | 9 |
| Berwick Borough | 10 | 52 |
| Bloomsburg, Town of | 4 | 39 |
| Briar Creek Borough | 0 | 6 |
| Briar Creek Township | 0 | 13 |
| Catawissa Borough | 1 | 10 |
| Catawissa Township | 0 | 5 |
| Centralia Borough | 0 | 3 |
| Cleveland Township | 0 | 7 |
| Conyngham Township | 0 | 8 |
| Fishing Creek Township | 3 | 12 |
| Franklin Township | 1 | 8 |
| Greenwood Township | 2 | 14 |
| Hemlock Township | 0 | 11 |
| Jackson Township | 0 | 5 |
| Locust Township | 2 | 12 |
| Madison Township | 0 | 6 |
| Main Township | 0 | 6 |
| Mifflin Township | 0 | 13 |
| Millville Borough | 5 | 13 |
| Montour Township | 1 | 12 |
| Mt Pleasant Township | 1 | 5 |
| North Centre Township | 0 | 9 |
| Orange Township | 4 | 7 |
| Orangeville Borough | 0 | 7 |
| Pine Township | 0 | 4 |
| Roaring Creek | 0 | 1 |
| Scott Township | 6 | 27 |
| South Centre Township | 1 | 18 |
| Stillwater Borough | 1 | 3 |
| Sugarloaf Township | 6 | 11 |
| TOTAL | 47 | 371 |

88 | Page

The specific flood vulnerability for Bloomsburg University's main campus is generally low as there are no structures, infrastructure, or critical facilities located in the SFHA. The Magee Conference Center, located one mile from the main campus, was the only University asset in a floodplain, and was located in a Zone X (shaded), also known as the 0.2 percent annual chance (or 500 year) flood. This structure was in the floodplain of Fishing Creek; however, it had been modified for wet flood proofing, so its overall vulnerability was lower. Additionally, no new structures are planned for University property located in the SFHA near Fishing Creek. Since the completion of the 2017 HMPU the Magee Conference Center was demolished due the cost involved in renovations resulting directly from the Tropical Storm Lee flood event in 2011.

Additional information on flood vulnerability and losses in Columbia County, including the 1%- annual-chance flood event results derived from data provide by the Columbia County GIS Department, the number of parcels vulnerable to flood hazards and the assessed value of vulnerable parcels, is provided in Section 4.4.3: Potential Loss Estimates.

4.3.4 H u r r i c a n e , Tropical Storm, Nor'easter

4.3.4.1 Location and Extent

Tropical storms impacting Columbia County develop in tropical or sub-tropical waters found in the Atlantic Ocean, Gulf of Mexico, or Caribbean Sea. Cyclones with maximum sustained winds of less than 39 miles per hour (mph) are called tropical depressions. A tropical storm is a cyclone with maximum sustained winds between 39-74 mph. These storms sometimes develop into hurricanes with wind speeds in excess of 74 mph.

While Columbia County is located about 120 miles from the Atlantic Coast, tropical storms can track inland causing heavy rainfall and strong winds. These storms are regional events that can impact very large areas hundreds to thousands of miles across over the life of the storm. Therefore, all communities within Columbia County are equally subject to the impacts of hurricanes, tropical storms, and Nor'easters that track through or near the County. Areas in Columbia County which are subject to flooding, wind, and winter storm damage are particularly vulnerable.

Figure 4.3.4-1 shows wind speed zones developed by the American Society of Civil Engineers based on information including 40 years of tornado history and over 100 years of hurricane history. It identifies wind speeds that could occur across the United States to be used as the basis for design and evaluation of the structural integrity of shelters and critical facilities.

Columbia County falls within Zone III, meaning design wind speeds for shelters and critical facilities should be able to withstand a 3-second gust of up to 200 mph, regardless of whether the gust is the result of a tornado, hurricane, tropical storm, or windstorm event. Columbia County also falls on the edge of the identified Hurricane Susceptibility Region, meaning that while hurricane-force winds may be possible, it is uncommon that the County would suffer from the impacts of severe hurricane winds.



Figure 4.3.4-1: Wind Zones in Pennsylvania and Columbia County (Columbia County GIS, 2021)

4.3.4.2 Range of Magnitude

Tropical cyclones with maximum sustained winds of less than 39 miles per hour (mph) are called *tropical depressions*. A *tropical storm* is a cyclone with maximum sustained winds between 39-74 mph. These storms sometimes develop into *hurricanes* with wind speeds in excess of 74 mph. *Extra-tropical* is a term used to describe a hurricane or tropical storm whose cyclone has lost its "tropical" characteristics and has cold air at its core, rather than warm air. While an extra-tropical storm denotes a change in weather pattern and how a coastal storm is gathering energy, it may still have winds that are tropical storm or hurricane force. The impacts associated with hurricanes and tropical storms are primarily wind damage and flooding. It is not uncommon for tornadoes to develop during these events. Historical tropical storm and hurricane events have brought intense rainfall, sometimes leading to damaging floods, northeast winds, which, combined with waterlogged soils, caused trees and utility poles to fall.

The impact tropical storm or hurricane events have on an area is typically measured in terms of wind speed. Expected damage from hurricane force winds is measured using the Saffir-Simpson Scale. The Saffir-Simpson Scale categorizes hurricane intensity linearly based upon maximum sustained winds, barometric pressure, and storm surge potential (characteristic of tropical storms and hurricanes, but not a threat to inland locations like Columbia County), which are combined to estimate potential damage. Table 4.3.4-1 lists the Saffir-Simpson Scale categories with associated wind speeds and expected damages. Categories 3, 4, and 5 are classified as "major" hurricanes. While major hurricanes comprise only 20 of all tropical cyclones making landfall, they account for over 70 percent of the damage in the United States. The likelihood of these damages occurring in Columbia County is assessed in Section 4.3.4.4, *Future Occurrence*.

| Table 4.3.4-1: Sa | affir-Simpsor | n Scale categories with associated wind speeds and damages |
|-------------------|------------------------|--|
| STORM CATEGORY | WIND SPEED (mph) | DESCRIPTION OF DAMAGES |
| 1 | 74-95 | MINIMAL: Damage is limited primarily to shrubbery and trees, unanchored mobile homes, and signs. No significant structural damage. |
| 2 | 96-110 | MODERATE: Some trees are toppled, some roof coverings are damaged, and major damage occurs to mobile homes. Some roofing material, door, and window damage. |
| 3 | 111- 129 | EXTENSIVE: Some structural damage to small residences and utility buildings, with a minor amount of curtain wall failures. Mobile homes are destroyed. Large trees are toppled. Terrain may be flooded well inland. |
| 4 | 130- 156 | EXTREME: Extensive damage to roofs, windows, and doors; roof systems on small buildings completely fail. More extensive curtain wall failures. Terrain may be flooded well inland. |
| 5 | >157 | CATASTROPHIC: Complete roof failure on many residences and industrial buildings. Some complete building failures with small utility buildings blown over or away. Massive evacuation of residential areas may be required. |

It is important to recognize the potential for flooding events during hurricanes and tropical storms; the risk assessment and associated impact for these events is included Section 4.2.3. Wind impacts in Columbia County generally include downed trees and utility poles, which can spark widespread utility interruptions. Wind impacts are particularly an issue for mobile homes and other manufactured housing; these structures are often not well-anchored and are highly susceptible to wind damage in a hurricane, tropical storm, or Nor'easter.

The worst case hurricane, tropical storm, or Nor'easter event in Columbia County was Tropical Storm Lee, which was also the worst-case flood event for Columbia County. Details on this event can be found in Section 4.3.3.2.

4.3.4.3 Past Occurrence

The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's Coastal Services Center maintains records of all coastal storms occurring in the United States since the 1850s. Table 4.3.4-2 lists all coastal storms having centers of circulation to pass through or within 20 nautical miles of Columbia County's borders. As of the 2021 update this is the most recent data on coastal storms that tracked through or near Columbia County.

Table 4.3.4-2: Previous coastal storms tracking through or near Columbia County (coast.noaa.gov).

| YEAR | EVENT | STRENGTH IN/NEAR COLUMBIA COUNTY |
|------|-----------|----------------------------------|
| 1999 | Dennis | Tropical Depression |
| 1994 | Beryl | Tropical Depression |
| 1992 | Danielle | Tropical Storm |
| 1979 | David | Tropical Storm |
| 1949 | Not Named | Tropical Storm |
| 1943 | Not Named | Tropical Depression |
| 1933 | Not Named | Tropical Storm |
| 1929 | Not named | Tropical Storm |
| 1903 | Not Named | Tropical Storm |

It is important to note that a number of hurricane, tropical storm, and nor'easter events have impacted the County without tracking through or near it; these storm events include Hurricanes Agnes (1972), Eloise (1975), Floyd (1999), Isabel/Henri (2003), Tropical Depression Ivan (2004), and Tropical Storm Lee (2011). Each of these storm events resulted in a Presidential Disaster Declaration.

4.3.4.4 Future Occurrence

Although hurricanes and tropical storms can cause flood events consistent with 1 percent- and 2 percent- level frequency, their probability of occurrence is measured relative to wind speed. The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Hurricane Research Division published the map included as Figure 4.3.4-2 showing the chance that a tropical storm or hurricane will affect a given area of Pennsylvania during the entire Atlantic hurricane season spanning from June to November. Note that this figure does not provide information on the probability of various storm intensities. However, based on historical data between 1944 and 1999, this map reveals there is approximately a 6 percent chance of experiencing a tropical storm or hurricane event between June and November of any given year in the County. This translates to a future occurrence of *possible*, as defined by the Risk Factor Methodology probability criteria (see Table 4.4-1).

Figure 4.3.4-2: Seasonal Probability of Hurricanes or Tropical Storms Striking Columbia County (Columbia County GIS, 2021)



Seasonal Probability of Hurricanes or Tropical Storms

е

4.3.4.5 Vulnerability Assessment

A vulnerability assessment for hurricanes and tropical storms focuses on the impacts of flooding and severe wind. Therefore, the assessment for flood-related vulnerability is addressed in Section 4.3.3.5., and vulnerability to wind damage is addressed in Section 4.3.8.5. The County is also vulnerable to severe winter weather impacts caused by Nor'easters which are evaluated in 4.3.10.5.

4.3.5 Landslide

4.3.5.1 Location and Extent

A rock fall occurs when a smaller rock-mass breaks free and disintegrates into blocks that bounce and roll down steep slopes. Rock falls and other slope failures often occur in areas with moderate to steep slopes, conducive geology and high precipitation. With the appropriate geology and topography, most slope failures are associated with precipitation events – periods of sustained above-average precipitation, specific rainstorms or snowmelt events. Other elements that determine slope stability are vegetative cover and slope. Contributing causes of landslides include erosion, removal of vegetation cover and earthquakes. Human activities that can contribute to slope failure include altering the slope gradient, increasing the soil water content and removing vegetation cover. The DCNR describes landslide susceptibility in Columbia County as "generally low" but includes local areas of high to moderate. Areas of higher risk would tend to be where there are steeper slopes, such as in the upper region of Columbia County.

As seen in Figure 4.3.5-1, the upper part of the County falls into mostly the low and partially moderate landslide incidence zone. The lower portion of Columbia County falls entirely under the combination high landslide susceptibility/incidence zone. Thus, landslides are deemed to be a fairly minor hazard in Columbia County.

Figure 4.3.5-1: Landslide susceptibility and incidents for Pennsylvania and Columbia County (Columbia County GIS, 2021)



4.3.5.2 Range of Magnitude

Landslides cause damage to transportation routes, utilities, and buildings and can create travel delays and other side effects. Fortunately, deaths and injuries due to landslides are rare in Pennsylvania. Almost all of the known deaths due to landslides have occurred when rock falls or other slides along highways have involved vehicles. Storm-induced debris flows are the only other type of landslide likely to cause death and injury. However, as residential and recreational development increases on and/or near steep slopes, the hazard from these rapid events will also rise. Most Pennsylvania landslides are moderate to slow moving and damage property rather than people.

The Pennsylvania Department of Transportation (PennDOT) and large municipalities incur substantial costs due to landslide damage and to extra construction costs for new roads in known landslide-prone areas. A 1991 estimate showed an average of \$10 million per year is spent on landslide repair contracts across the Commonwealth and a similar amount is spent on mitigation costs for grading projects (DCNR, 2010). Columbia County does not have or maintain information on the cost of recovering from and mitigating landslides.

The worst case scenario that could be caused by landslides in the County would involve a large landslide on I-80. As the major east-west connector road, a rock fall or debris flow along this road would not only snarl traffic and cause injuries or death but also could have a significant economic impact because the road connects many of Columbia County's major industries with the Interstate highway system.

4.3.5.3 Past Occurrence

There have been several land failures reported in Pennsylvania and within Columbia County. PEIRS data indicates that there has been one landslide in Columbia County from 2002-2009. On March 26, 2004, a landslide in Main Township severely damaged a home at the Wonderview Development. No injuries were reported from this incident. Also, the Columbia County EMA gives record of the March 2004 landslide and a mudslide that occurred on May 31, 2006 in Franklin Township. The mudslide caused State Route 487 to close near Knoebels Amusement Resort (Columbia County HVA, 2007). Finally, a landslide occurred on Route 42 in the winter of 2010-2011, causing significant road damage. Wet conditions caused a second landslide to occur in the same location in the late spring of 2011, closing the road for several days.

4.3.5.4 Future Occurrence

Based on historical events, landslide events resulting in loss of life and property damage are unlikely in Columbia County. However, with history of events occurring in the County and the mixed susceptibility to landslides, especially in the southern portions of the County, the probability of landslides occurring in the County is considered *possible* as defined by the Risk Factor ranking probability criteria (see Table 4.4-1). Mismanaged intensive development in steeply sloped areas could increase the frequency of occurrence.

4.3.5.5 Vulnerability Assessment

Communities in Columbia County have not been historically highly vulnerable to landslides. However, transportation roads flanked by high terrain and buildings constructed at the top or bottom of steep slopes should be considered vulnerable to this hazard. An inventory of these areas is not currently available. Table 4.3.5-1 illustrates the vulnerability of structures and critical facilities in Columbia County located in the high landslide susceptibility areas regardless of the landslide incidence. The table indicates that Berwick Borough has the highest number of structures located within the combo-high landslide susceptibility area. Bloomsburg and Briar Creek, Scott, and Mifflin Townships all have over 1,000 of their addressable structures located within combo-high landslide susceptibility areas. The only jurisdictions without addressable structures in the combo-high susceptibility areas include Benton, Centralia, Millville, and Stillwater Boroughs and Benton, Fishing Creek, Greenwood, Jackson, Madison, Pine, and Sugarloaf Townships.

Table 4.3.5-1 also shows the number of critical facilities located in the landslide combohigh zones by jurisdiction. A total of 218 critical facilities are located in the combo-high landslide susceptibility areas. Critical facilities vulnerable to landslide events are concentrated in Berwick Borough, Bloomsburg, Scott Township and South Centre Township. For a complete list of critical facilities, a map of these facilities, and their vulnerability to landslide hazards, please see **Appendix E**. It is important to note that the vulnerability of each individual structure and critical facility will depend on a number of factors including slope, topography, and underlying geology and soil.

Table 4.3.5-1: Number of addressable structures and critical facilities located in areas with high susceptibility to landslide. (Columbia County GIS, 2021)

| Municipality | Total Addressable Structures | Number of Addressable Structures in Combo-High Landslide Susceptibility Areas | Total Assessed Value of Structures in Combo-High Landslide Susceptibility Areas | Number of Critical Facilities in Combo-High Landslide Susceptibility Areas |
|------------------------|------------------------------------|---|---|---|
| Beaver Township | 461 | 461 | \$28,943,901 | 5 |
| Benton Borough | 426 | - | \$0 | - |
| Benton Township | 651 | - | \$0 | - |
| Berwick Borough | 4,719 | 4,719 | \$266,408,847 | 57 |
| Town of Bloomsburg | 4,016 | 4,016 | \$351,555,235 | 138 |
| Briar Creek Borough | 326 | 326 | \$41,715,513 | 12 |
| Briar Creek Township | 1,578 | 1,558 | \$62,569,013 | 14 |
| Catawissa Borough | 689 | 689 | \$31,059,896 | 14 |
| Catawissa Township | 477 | 477 | \$32,097,520 | 10 |
| Centralia Borough | 3 | 3 | \$0 | 3 |
| Cleveland Township | 584 | 584 | \$46,239,536 | 7 |
| Conyngham Township | 436 | 436 | \$20,437,445 | 10 |
| Fishing Creek Township | 866 | - | \$0 | - |
| Franklin Township | 313 | 313 | \$43,295,200 | 10 |

| Greenwood Township | 897 | - | \$0 | - |
|------------------------|--------|--------|-----------------|-----|
| Hemlock Township | 1,014 | 893 | \$112,739,671 | 16 |
| Jackson Township | 342 | - | \$0 | - |
| Locust Township | 755 | 755 | \$47,184,150 | 14 |
| Madison Township | 758 | - | \$0 | - |
| Main Township | 563 | 563 | \$36,813,840 | 7 |
| Mifflin Township | 1,056 | 1,056 | \$73,277,369 | 19 |
| Millville Borough | 442 | - | \$0 | - |
| Montour Township | 629 | 629 | \$45,586,099 | 16 |
| Mt. Pleasant Township | 652 | 274 | \$21,016,533 | 2 |
| North Centre Township | 903 | 903 | \$62,569,013 | 11 |
| Orange Township | 631 | 287 | \$23,196,085 | 3 |
| Orangeville Borough | 168 | 109 | \$7,206,005 | 9 |
| Pine Township | 559 | - | \$0 | - |
| Roaring Creek Township | 378 | 378 | \$20,394,307 | 2 |
| Scott Township | 2,601 | 2,601 | \$238,094,859 | 40 |
| South Centre Township | 968 | 968 | \$133,863,492 | 25 |
| Stillwater Borough | 122 | - | \$0 | - |
| Sugarloaf Township | 732 | - | \$0 | - |
| Total | 29,715 | 22,998 | \$1,746,263,530 | 444 |

Table 4.3.5-2 shows the various types of structure land uses throughout the landslideprone areas of Columbia County. Residential structures are the most common buildings in the County in general, so it is unsurprising that the type of structure geographically at risk to a landslide is a residential one. Berwick Borough and Bloomsburg have the most at-risk residential, vacant, exempt, and commercial structures located in the landslide combo-high zone.

Table 4.3.5-3 shows the various types of parcel land uses throughout the landslide-prone areas of Columbia County. Like the structure land use, residential is the most common type of parcel. However, the next highest parcel land use in the landslide-prone area are vacant parcels, followed by agricultural and then trailers.

| Table 4.3.5-2: Land-Use | of Structures w | ithin areas of Hi | igh Suscept | ibility to Lan | dslide (Columb | ia County G | SIS, 2021). | | | |
|-------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|---------|--------|-------|
| Municipality | Agricultural | Commercial | Exempt | Industrial | Residential | Tower | Trailer | Utility | Vacant | Total |
| Beaver Township | 146 | 1 | 7 | - | 254 | - | 29 | 1 | 10 | 448 |
| Benton Borough | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Benton Township | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Berwick Borough | 2 | 611 | 108 | 69 | 3,707 | 13 | 205 | 18 | 51 | 4,784 |
| Town of Bloomsburg | 3 | 947 | 209 | 12 | 2,738 | 3 | 35 | 12 | 70 | 4,029 |
| Briar Creek Borough | 5 | 50 | 50 | 1 | 140 | - | 129 | 2 | 4 | 381 |
| Briar Creek Township | 150 | 20 | 31 | 5 | 1,048 | 1 | 248 | - | 34 | 1,537 |
| Catawissa Borough | 1 | 99 | 36 | 6 | 513 | 2 | 28 | 3 | 6 | 694 |
| Catawissa Township | 84 | 6 | 15 | - | 338 | 10 | 21 | - | 18 | 492 |
| Centralia Borough | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 |
| Cleveland Township | 189 | 24 | 4 | - | 324 | - | 28 | 2 | 12 | 583 |
| Conyngham Township | 2 | 5 | 21 | - | 375 | 2 | 18 | 1 | 10 | 434 |
| Fishing Creek Township | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 |
| Franklin Township | 109 | 5 | 9 | - | 147 | 2 | 24 | - | 11 | 307 |
| Greenwood Township | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Hemlock Township | 100 | 52 | 16 | 2 | 680 | 4 | 24 | 1 | 22 | 901 |
| Jackson Township | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Locust Township | 194 | 16 | 20 | 1 | 474 | - | 99 | 4 | 13 | 821 |
| Madison Township | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Main Township | 93 | 14 | 64 | - | 363 | - | 77 | 1 | 13 | 625 |
| Mifflin Township | 129 | 36 | 14 | 3 | 747 | 2 | 107 | 3 | 18 | 1,059 |
| Millville Borough | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Montour Township | 53 | 43 | 12 | - | 430 | 5 | 84 | 3 | 10 | 640 |
| Mt. Pleasant Township | 63 | 17 | 2 | - | 184 | - | 13 | - | 3 | 282 |
| North Centre Township | 108 | 14 | 13 | - | 538 | 3 | 229 | 2 | 8 | 915 |
| Orange Township | 39 | 5 | 3 | - | 180 | - | 56 | 3 | 5 | 291 |
| Orangeville Borough | 1 | 5 | 5 | - | 96 | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | 111 |

| Pine Township | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|------------------------|-------|-------|-----|-----|--------|----|-------|-----|-----|--------|
| Roaring Creek Township | 195 | - | 2 | - | 128 | 1 | 37 | - | 20 | 383 |
| Scott Township | 23 | 326 | 40 | 1 | 1,801 | 2 | 254 | 9 | 151 | 2,607 |
| South Centre Township | 16 | 63 | 27 | 18 | 596 | 5 | 261 | 102 | 13 | 1,101 |
| Stillwater Borough | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sugarloaf Township | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Totals | 1,705 | 2,359 | 711 | 118 | 15,801 | 55 | 2,007 | 169 | 503 | 23,428 |

| Table 4.3.5-3: Land-Use o | of Parcels within | areas of High . | Susceptibi | lity to Landsl | ide (Columbia | County G | IS, 2021) | | | |
|---------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------|----------------|-----------------|----------|-------------|---------|------------|-------|
| Municipality | Agricultural | Commercial | Exempt | Industrial | Residentia l | Tower | Traile r | Utility | Vacan t | Total |
| Beaver Township | 343 | 2 | 26 | - | 275 | - | 46 | 1 | 620 | 1,313 |
| Benton Borough | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Benton Township | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Berwick Borough | 6 | 378 | 142 | 70 | 3,483 | 1 | 221 | 20 | 350 | 4,671 |
| Town of Bloomsburg | 7 | 406 | 217 | 14 | 2,447 | 7 | 31 | 21 | 240 | 3,390 |
| Briar Creek Borough | 10 | 38 | 11 | 1 | 143 | - | 127 | 2 | 46 | 378 |
| Briar Creek Township | 195 | 19 | 56 | 6 | 1,064 | 1 | 221 | 4 | 386 | 1,952 |
| Catawissa Borough | 1 | 58 | 61 | 5 | 493 | 1 | 30 | 5 | 40 | 694 |
| Catawissa Township | 137 | 8 | 37 | - | 347 | 10 | 20 | - | 178 | 737 |
| Centralia Borough | - | - | 171 | - | - | - | - | - | 63 | 234 |
| Cleveland Township | 276 | 58 | 7 | - | 350 | - | 33 | 6 | 255 | 985 |
| Conyngham Township | 3 | 15 | 126 | 5 | 398 | 1 | 18 | 5 | 251 | 822 |
| Fishing Creek Township | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 6 |
| Franklin Township | 168 | 8 | 15 | - | 142 | 6 | 136 | - | 124 | 599 |
| Greenwood Township | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Hemlock Township | 159 | 45 | 65 | 1 | 724 | 4 | 24 | 2 | 199 | 1,223 |
| Jackson Township | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Locust Township | 261 | 56 | 30 | 1 | 452 | - | 361 | 3 | 211 | 1,375 |

| Madison Township | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-----|--------|----|-------|----|-------|--------|
| Main Township | 133 | 10 | 20 | - | 382 | - | 61 | 1 | 135 | 742 |
| Mifflin Township | 202 | 30 | 45 | 3 | 749 | 3 | 178 | 6 | 250 | 1,466 |
| Millville Borough | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Montour Township | 76 | 48 | 41 | - | 450 | 9 | 151 | 3 | 165 | 943 |
| Mt. Pleasant Township | 86 | 5 | 5 | - | 192 | - | 33 | - | 66 | 387 |
| North Centre Township | 171 | 6 | 19 | - | 551 | 5 | 207 | 2 | 200 | 1,161 |
| Orange Township | 54 | 5 | 9 | - | 188 | - | 43 | 2 | 45 | 346 |
| Orangeville Borough | 3 | 6 | 9 | - | 95 | - | 1 | 1 | 15 | 130 |
| Pine Township | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Roaring Creek Township | 268 | - | 6 | - | 143 | 3 | 45 | - | 161 | 626 |
| Scott Township | 46 | 150 | 104 | 1 | 1,801 | 2 | 235 | 10 | 291 | 2,640 |
| South Centre Township | 28 | 53 | 24 | 19 | 608 | 3 | 230 | 5 | 134 | 1,104 |
| Stillwater Borough | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sugarloaf Township | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | 2,633 | 1,404 | 1,248 | 126 | 15,477 | 56 | 2,452 | 99 | 4,429 | 27,924 |

4.3.6 P a n d e m i c

4.3.6.1 Location and Extent

A pandemic is the sudden outbreak of a new flu virus that spreads easily from one person to another and attacks the population of an extensive region, including several countries and/or continents. There have been four flu pandemics during the last century; the Spanish Flu, the Asian Flu, the Hong Kong Flu, and most recently, the Swine Flu.

Generally, pandemic diseases cause sudden, pervasive illness in all age groups on a global scale. Pandemic events cover a wide geographic area and can affect large populations, including the entire population of Columbia County, depending on the disease. In any pandemic outbreak, two of the most vulnerable populations are those under 5 years of age, and those over 65 years of age. According to the 2021 Census American Community Survey, The percentage of Columbia County residents under 5 years old is 4.2%. The number of residents over 65 years old is 20.1%. The exact size and extent of an infected population is depending upon how easily the illness is spread, the mode of transmission, and the amount of contact between infected and non-infected persons.

Columbia County is primarily concerned with the possibility of pandemic outbreaks of various forms of influenza, West Nile Virus, or the Zika virus. Pandemic influenza planning began in response to the H5N1 (avian) flu outbreak in Asia, Africa, Europe, the Pacific, and the Near East in the late 1990s and early 2000s. H5N1 did not reach pandemic proportions in the United States, but the County began actively planning for an occurrence of an influenza pandemic Response Plan, "an influenza pandemic is inevitable and will probably give little warning" (PA DOH, 2005). Influenza, also known as "the flu", is a contagious disease that is caused by the influenza virus and most commonly attacks the respiratory tract in humans. Influenza is considered to have pandemic potential if it is novel, meaning that people have no immunity to it, virulent, meaning that it causes deaths in normally healthy individuals, and easily transmittable from person-to-person.

Listed below are basic descriptions of identified diseases with identified pandemic potential and their expected impact:

- The Bird Flu is a disease of wild, domesticated and farm birds. The newer type of bird flu referred to as highly pathogenic avian influenza (HPAI) H5N1 is of concern. HPAI has the potential to spread to humans who have had direct or close contact with sick or dead poultry that were infected with the virus. Human infections are considered to be rare, but 60% of those infected have died. Most cases of human transmission have occurred in other countries; however, the first case of human infection in the Americas was reported in Canada in January 2014.
- The West Nile Virus is carried by mosquitoes and can infect birds, animals and people. Most species of mosquitoes found in Pennsylvania do not carry the virus. In some cases, the virus could cause encephalitis in humans, which is an infection of the brain. The peak season is usually April through October.
- Influenza continues to remain a concern in Pennsylvania due to the potential to spread quickly. During the 2015/2016 flu season, there were 162 confirmed cases of influenza. It is estimated that the numbers are much higher because most do not seek treatment for this virus. According to the Pennsylvania Department of Health, it is

estimated that 5 to 20 percent of Pennsylvanians contract the flu each year, and 120 to 2,000 die from complications associated with influenza.

• The Zika virus is a mosquito-borne flavivirus that is transmitted primarily by Aedes mosquitoes. According to the World Health Organization, it is of particular concern because it is believed to cause microcephaly and Guillain-Barre syndrome. It has also been linked to other neurological complications.

4.3.6.2 Range of Magnitude

The magnitude of a pandemic in Columbia County will range significantly depending on the aggressiveness of the virus in question and the ease of transmission. Pandemic influenza is fairly easily transmitted from person-to-person compared to West Nile, but advances in medical technologies have greatly reduced the number of deaths caused by influenza over time. In terms of lives lost, the impact various pandemic influenza outbreaks have had globally over the last century has declined (see Table 4.3.5-1). The 1918 Spanish flu pandemic remains the worst-case pandemic event on record. While mortality figures were probably under-reported, in the first month of the pandemic alone, 8,000 Pennsylvanians died from the flu or its complications (US Department of Health and Human Services, 2010).

In contrast, the severity of illness from recent influenza viruses has varied, with the gravest cases occurring mainly among those considered at high risk. High risk populations considered more vulnerable include children, the elderly, pregnant women, and chronic disease patients with reduced immune system capacity. Most people infected with H1N1 (swine flu) in 2009 and 2010 outbreak recovered without needing medical treatment. This strain of the flu has continued to circulate in the United States. The 2014 season is the first since 2009 that H1N1 has been so predominant in the United States.

The magnitude of a pandemic may be exacerbated by the fact that pandemics occur over large areas and will cause outbreaks across the United States, thus limiting the ability to transfer assistance from one jurisdiction to another. Additionally, effective preventative and therapeutic measures, including vaccines and other medications, will likely be in short supply or will not be available.

There are no true environmental impacts in pandemic disease outbreaks, but there may be significant economic and social costs beyond the possibility of deaths. Widespread illness may increase the likelihood of shortages of personnel to perform essential community services. In addition, high rates of illness and worker absenteeism occur within the business community, and these contribute to social and economic disruption. Social and economic disruptions could be temporary but may be amplified in today's closely interrelated and interdependent systems of trade and commerce. Social disruption may be greatest when rates of absenteeism impair essential services, such as power, transportation, and communications.

4.3.6.3 Past Occurrence

The West Nile Virus has been found in Columbia County; however, it hasn't yet affected any humans. The first case of the virus in humans in Pennsylvania occurred in York County in 2006 (PA DOH, 2006). There have been 10 positive mosquito samples in Columbia County between 2013 and 2016. Three of the positive samples were found in 2015 and seven in 2013. There has been one positive case of West Nile Virus in Humans confirmed in Columbia County since the last update. This case occurred in 2018. in 2017 and 2018, the County reported positive mosquito samples, however no positive samples were reported from 2019 to 2022.

As of September 2016, there were 95 CDC confirmed cases of Zika virus in Pennsylvania. It is important to note, however, the cases of Zika documented in Pennsylvania were associated with travel and were not locally acquired cases with the exception of one case which was found to be due to laboratory transmission. As of the 2022 plan update, Florida was the only state in the United States with locally acquired cases. No new cases have been reported in Pennsylvania since the 2016 update. Figure 4.3.6-1 below illustrates the distribution of Zika cases throughout the United States.



Figure 4.3.6-1: Laboratory-Confirmed Zika Cases in the United States as of September 2016 (CDC, 2016)

There have been several pandemic influenza outbreaks which have occurred over the past 100 years. A list of events worldwide is shown in Table 4.3.6-1. According to the World Health Organization site Flunet, this information on Pandemic influenza is still the most current available as of the 2021 plan update.

Table 4.3.6-1: List of previous significant outbreaks of influenza over the past century (Global Security, 2009; World Health Organization, 2021).

| DATE | PANDEMIC NAME/SUBTYPE | WORLDWIDE DEATHS (APPROXIMATE) |
|-----------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|
| 1918-1920 | Spanish Flu / H1N1 | 50 million |
| 1957-1958 | Asian Flu / H2N2 | 1.5-2 million |
| 1968-1969 | Hong Kong Flu / H3N2 | 1 million |

| 2009-2010 Swine Flu / 2009 H1N1 17,700 |
|--|
|--|

Deaths occurred in the United States as a result of the Spanish Flu, Asian flu, and Hong Kong Flu outbreaks. The Spanish Flu claimed 500,000 lives in the United States, and there were 350,000 cases in Pennsylvania. This outbreak affected healthy adults between 20-50 years old. Most deaths resulting from the Asian flu occurred between September, 1957 and March, 1958; there were about 70,000 deaths in the United States and approximately 15% of the population of Pennsylvania was affected. The Asian flu affected both the very young and the very old. The first cases of the Hong Kong Flu in the U.S. were detected in September of 1968 with deaths peaking between December, 1968 and January, 1969 (Global Security, 2009). Those most affected by this flu were the very old and those with underlying medical conditions. More recently, 41 cases of 2009 H1N1 were confirmed in Columbia County, and one death occurred (PA DOH, 2010). Again, the very young and persons with other medical conditions were the most vulnerable to Swine flu (PA DOH, 2010).

In late 2019 the Coronavirus (COVID-19) was detected in Wuhan, China and then in the United States in January 2020. Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) is an infectious disease caused by a newly discovered coronavirus.

Most people infected with the COVID-19 virus will experience mild to moderate respiratory illness and recover without requiring special treatment. Older people, and those with underlying medical problems like cardiovascular disease, diabetes, chronic respiratory disease, and cancer are more likely to develop serious illness.

The best way to prevent and slow down transmission is to be well informed about the COVID-19 virus, the disease it causes and how it spreads. Protect yourself and others from infection by washing your hands or using an alcohol based rub frequently and not touching your face.

The COVID-19 virus spreads primarily through droplets of saliva or discharge from the nose when an infected person coughs or sneezes, so it's important that you also practice respiratory etiquette (for example, by coughing into a flexed elbow).

COVID-19 is a highly contagious virus. Human coronaviruses spread just like the flu or a cold:

- Through the air by coughing or sneezing
- Close personal contact, such as touching or shaking hands
- Touching an object or surface with the virus on it
- Occasionally, fecal contamination

Symptoms of COVID-19 can include:

- Fever
- Cough
- Shortness of breath
- Diarrhea
- Chills
- Repeated shaking with chills
- Muscle pain
- Headache
- Sore throat
- Loss of taste or smell

The symptoms may appear in as few as two days or as long as 14 days after exposure. Reported illnesses have ranged from people with little to no symptoms to people being severely ill and dying. (PA DOH, 2021)

This should now be listed as a High Hazard in Columbia County as it affected the entire County just like flooding with many more fatalities. It is also considered a World Wide Hazard now and the most deadly. Per PEMA

The residents/groups that were affected the greatest in Columbia County were:

- The Elderly-Long Term Care Facilities
- Individuals with Underlying Medical Conditions- Cardiovascular Disease, Diabetes, Chronic Respiratory Disease, and Cancer, to name a few
- Children Under 18 (Multisystem Inflammatory Syndrome in Children, or MIS-C, is a condition where different body parts like the heart, lungs, kidneys, brain, skin, eyes or gastrointestinal organs, can become inflamed. We do not know what causes MIS-C, but we do know that many children with MIS-C had the virus that causes COVID-19 or had been around someone with COVID-19. MIS-C can be serious and even deadly, however, most children who were diagnosed with this condition have gotten better with medical care.)(PA DOH, 2021) Symptoms of MIS-C include fever, abdominal pain, vomiting, diarrhea, neck pain, rash, bloodshot eyes, and feeling extra tired. It is important to remember that not all children will have the same symptoms.(PA DOH, 2021)

PPE Shortage at the beginning of the Pandemic. Hospitals/Medical Facilities should have stock to last a year, if possible, in storage in case another Pandemic arrives. Per PEMA

CDC Information

There are currently three (3) Vaccines available in the United States. They are the Pfizer-BioNTech, Moderna and Johnson & Johnson/Janssen vaccines. The Pfizer and Moderna vaccines are 2-doses and the J&J is a single dose.

What we are still learning about the vaccines:

- How well the vaccines protect people with weakened immune systems, including people who take medicines that suppress the immune system
- How long COVID-19 vaccines protect people
- How many people have to be vaccinated against COVID-19 before the population can be considered protected (population immunity)
- How effective the vaccines are against new variants of the virus that causes COVID-19

COVID-19 vaccines are safe and effective at preventing COVID-19 disease, especially severe illness and death.

COVID-19 vaccines reduce the risk of people spreading the virus that causes COVID-19. You may have side effects after vaccination. These are normal and should go away in a few days.

It typically takes 2 weeks after vaccination for the body to build protection (immunity) against the virus that causes COVID-19. You are not fully vaccinated until 2 weeks after the second dose of a 2-dose vaccine or 2 weeks after a single-dose vaccine. After you are fully vaccinated, you can resume activities that you did before the pandemic.

Vaccines are widely accessible in the United States. Everyone aged 12 years and older should get a COVID-19 vaccination as soon as possible.

Vaccines are widely accessible in the United States and are available for everyone at no cost. Learn more about how COVID-19 vaccines get to you.

Many doctors' offices, retail pharmacies, hospitals, and clinics offer COVID-19 vaccinations. Parents, check with your child's healthcare provider about whether they offer COVID-19 vaccination.

COVID-19 vaccines are effective at keeping you from getting COVID-19, especially severe illness and death. COVID-19 vaccines reduce the risk of people spreading the virus that causes COVID-19. If you are fully vaccinated, you can resume activities that you did before the pandemic.

Studies show that COVID-19 vaccines are effective at keeping you from getting COVID-19. Getting a COVID-19 vaccine will also help keep you from getting seriously ill even if you do get COVID-19.

COVID-19 vaccines teach our immune systems how to recognize and fight the virus that causes COVID-19. It typically takes 2 weeks after vaccination for the body to build protection (immunity) against the virus that causes COVID-19. That means it is possible a person could still get COVID-19 before or just after vaccination and then get sick because the vaccine did not have enough time to build protection. People are considered fully vaccinated 2 weeks after their second dose of the Pfizer-BioNTech or Moderna COVID-19 vaccines, or 2 weeks after the single-dose Johnson & Johnson's Janssen COVID-19 vaccine.

We are still learning how well COVID-19 vaccines protect people with weakened immune systems, including people who take medicines that suppress the immune system. We're also still learning how long COVID-19 vaccines protect people.

Millions of people in the United States have received COVID-19 vaccines, and these vaccines have undergone the most intensive safety monitoring in U.S. history. This monitoring includes using both established and new safety monitoring systems to make sure that COVID-19 vaccines are safe. COVID-19 vaccines cannot give you COVID-19.

After COVID-19 vaccination, you may have some side effects. These are normal signs that your body is building protection. The side effects from COVID-19 vaccination, such as tiredness, headache, or chills, may affect your ability to do daily activities, but they should go away in a few days.

Population immunity means that enough people in a community are protected from getting a disease because they've already had the disease or because they've been vaccinated.

Population immunity makes it hard for a disease to spread from person to person. It even protects those who cannot be vaccinated, like newborns or people who are allergic to a vaccine. The percentage of people who need to have protection to achieve population immunity varies by disease.

New variants of the virus that causes COVID-19 are spreading in the United States. Current information suggests that COVID-19 vaccines authorized for use in the United States offer protection against most variants. However, some variants might cause illness in some people after they are fully vaccinated if the variants are circulating in the community. (CDC, 2021)

Teleworking is second nature now as well as cyber learning. The Country "Shut Down" in the beginning of the Pandemic to protect everyone and contain the spread. Schools, Universities and Businesses learned to work/learn remotely. Masks were the normal attire. Families were separated from loved ones for over 18 months until individuals could get vaccinated. No one was permitted into Nursing Homes/Long Term Care Facilities either. CARES Funding and the American Rescue Plan.

4.3.6.4 Future Occurrence

Based on historical events, Columbia County is expected to experience pandemic influenza outbreaks approximately every 11 to 41 years. The precise timing of pandemic influenza is uncertain, but occurrences are most likely when the Influenza Type A virus makes a dramatic change, or antigenic shift, that results in a new or "novel" virus to which the population has no immunity. This emergence of a novel virus is the first step toward a pandemic (US Health and Human Services, 2009).

West Nile Virus could potentially impact Columbia County in the future as it is carried and spread by mosquitoes. The probability of the virus infecting animals or humans in the County is low, because most species of mosquitoes found in Pennsylvania don't carry the virus, and the state as a whole has taken precautions to avoid the spread of the virus such as killing mosquito larvae and by trapping and monitoring birds, mosquitoes, people, and horses. Evidence of the success is shown by samples taken of mosquitoes and birds in 2011, all of which tested negative for West Nile.

On the whole, the future probability of a pandemic event in Columbia County can be considered likely as defined by the Risk Factor ranking probability criteria (see Table 4.4-1).

4.3.6.5 Vulnerability Assessment

Certain population groups are at higher risk of pandemic flu infection. This population group includes people 65 years and older, children younger than 5 years old, pregnant women and people of any age with certain chronic medical conditions. Such conditions include but are not limited to diabetes, heart disease, asthma and kidney disease (CDC, 2009). Schools, convalescent centers, and other institutions serving those younger than 5 years old and older than 65 years old, are locations conducive to faster transmission of pandemic influences since populations identified as being at high risk are concentrated at these facilities. The highest concentration of these institutions is found in the Town of Bloomsburg. For the general public, health care professionals advise that a good diet, proper exercise and good sanitary habits help to lessen a person's chance of ever being a victim of a pandemic. Standing water is one of the main sources of mosquito problems on private property, so residents and their livestock will be less vulnerable if they eliminate any standing water or any old tires or water containers

where mosquitoes could breed (PA West Nile Control Project, 2011). The most vulnerable time of year for this disease is between April and October and the most vulnerable population for complications with the disease includes people over 50 years of age and persons with weakened immune systems.

Bloomsburg University indicates that the students and staff would be vulnerable to a pandemic if it were to occur locally. With a high concentration of young people living in close quarters, a virulent disease could cause major damage. Continuity of operations would be a key issue for them during a pandemic event. To reduce their vulnerability, the University has developed and is implementing a pandemic plan to prepare for this hazard.

4.3.7 Radon

4.3.7.1 Location and Extent

Radioactivity caused by airborne radon has been recognized for many years as an important component in the natural background radioactivity exposure of humans, but it was not until the 1980s that the wide geographic distribution of elevated values in houses and the possibility of extremely high radon values in houses were recognized. In 1984, routine monitoring of employees leaving the Limerick nuclear power plant near Reading, PA while it was still under construction and not yet functional, showed that readings on a construction worker at the plant frequently exceeded expected radiation levels. However, only natural, nonfission-product radioactivity was detected on him.

Subsequent testing of the employee's home in the Reading Prong section of Pennsylvania showed extremely high radon levels around 2,500 pCi/L (pico Curies per Liter). To put this amount in perspective, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) guidelines state that actions should be taken if radon levels exceed 4 pCi/L in a home, and uranium miners have a maximum exposure of 67 pCi/L. As a result of this event, the Reading Prong became the focus of the first large-scale radon scare in the world.

Radon is a gas that cannot be seen or smelled. It is a noble gas that originates by the natural radioactive decay of uranium and thorium. Like other noble gases (e.g., helium, neon, and argon), radon forms essentially no chemical compounds and tends to exist as a gas or as a dissolved atomic constituent in groundwater. Two isotopes of radon are significant in nature, 222Rn and 220Rn, formed in the radioactive decay series of 238U and 232Th, respectively. The isotope thoron (i.e. 220Rn) has a half-life (time for decay of half of a given group of atoms) of 55 seconds, barely long enough for it to migrate from its source to the air inside a house and pose a health risk. However, radon (i.e. 222Rn), which has a half-life of 3.8 days, is a widespread hazard. The distribution of radon is correlated with the distribution of radium (i.e. 226Ra), its immediate radioactive parent, and with uranium, its original ancestor. Due to the short half-life of radon, the distance that radon atoms can travel from their parent before decay is generally limited to distances of feet or tens of feet. Each county in Pennsylvania is classified as having a *low, moderate*, or *high* radon hazard potential. Columbia County is classified as having a high hazard, meaning there is a predicted indoor radon level of greater than 4 pCi/L (see Figure 4.3.7-1).



Figure 4.3.7-1: Columbia County Radon Hazard Zone (Columbia County GIS, 2021)

113 | Page

- Radon in soil air that flows into the house
- Radon dissolved in water from private wells and exsolved during water usage; this is rarely a problem in Pennsylvania; and
- Radon emanating from uranium-rich building materials (e.g. concrete blocks or gypsum wallboard); this is not known to be a problem in Pennsylvania.



High radon levels were initially thought to be exacerbated in houses that are tightly sealed, but it is now recognized that rates of air flow into and out of houses, plus the location of air inflow and the radon content of air in the surrounding soil, are key factors in radon concentrations. Outflows of air from a house, caused by a furnace, fan, thermal "chimney" effect, or wind effects, require that air be drawn into the house to compensate. If the upper part of the house is tight enough to impede influx of outdoor air (radon concentration generally <0.1 pCi/L), then an appreciable fraction of the air may be drawn in from the soil or fractured bedrock through the foundation and slab beneath the house, or through cracks and openings for pipes, sumps, and similar features (see Figure 4.3.7-2). Soil gas typically contains from a few hundred to a few thousand pCi/L of radon; therefore, even a small rate of soil gas inflow can lead to elevated radon concentrations in a house.

The radon concentration of soil gas depends upon a number of soil properties, the importance of which is still being evaluated. In general, ten to fifty percent of newly formed radon atoms escape the host mineral of their parent radium and gain access to the air-filled pore space. The radon content of soil gas clearly tends to be higher in soils containing higher levels of radium and uranium, especially if the radium occupies a site on or near the surface of a grain from which the radon can easily escape. The amount of pore space in the soil and its permeability for air flow, including cracks and channels, are important factors determining radon concentration in soil gas and its rate of flow into a house. Soil depth and moisture content, mineral host and form for radium, and other soil properties may also be important. For houses built on bedrock, fractured zones may supply air having radon concentrations similar to those in deep soil.

Areas where houses have high levels of radon can be divided into three groups in terms of uranium content in rock and soil:

- Areas of very elevated uranium content (>50 ppm) around uranium deposits and prospects. Although very high levels of radon can occur in such areas, the hazard normally is restricted to within a few hundred feet of the deposit. In Pennsylvania, such localities occupy an insignificant area.
- Areas of common rocks having higher than average uranium content (5 to 50 ppm). In Pennsylvania, such rock types include granitic and felsic alkali igneous rocks and black shales. In the Reading Prong, high uranium values in rock or soil and high radon levels in houses are associated with Precambrian granitic gneisses commonly containing 10 to 20 ppm uranium, but locally containing more than 500 ppm uranium. In Pennsylvania, elevated uranium occurs in black shales of the Devonian Marcellus Formation, the primary geologic formation in Columbia County (PA DCNR, 2007). High radon values are locally present in areas underlain by these formations. This is most likely the cause of high radon levels in Columbia County.
- Areas of soil or bedrock that have normal uranium content but properties that promote high radon levels in houses. This group is incompletely understood at present. Relatively high soil permeability can lead to high radon, the clearest example being houses built on glacial eskers. Limestone-dolomite soils also appear to be predisposed for high radon levels in houses, perhaps because of the deep clay-rich residuum in which radium is concentrated by weathering on iron oxide or clay surfaces, coupled with moderate porosity and permeability. This is unlikely to be the culprit of high radon readings in Columbia County, as there is little-to-no limestone-dolomite soil.

4.3.7.2 Range of Magnitude

Exposure to radon is the second leading cause of lung cancer after smoking. It is the number one cause of lung cancer among non-smokers. Radon is responsible for about 21,000 lung cancer deaths every year; approximately 2,900 of which occur among people who have never smoked. Lung cancer is the only known effect on human health from exposure to radon in air and thus far, there is no evidence that children are at greater risk of lung cancer than are adults (EPA, March 2010). The main hazard is actually from the radon daughter products (218Po, 214Pb, 214Bi), which may become attached to lung tissue and induce lung cancer by their radioactive decay.

| Table 4.3.7-1: Radon risk for smokers and non-smokers (EPA, 2016). | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|--|
| RADON LEVEL (cCi/L) | IF 1,000 PEOPLE WERERISK OF CANCER FROMEXPOSED TO THIS LEVELRADON EXPOSUREOVER A LIFETIME*COMPARES TO** | | ACTION THRESHOLD | |
| | S | SMOKERS | | |
| 20 | About 260 people could get lung cancer | 250 times the risk of drowning | | |
| 10 | About 150 people could get lung cancer | 200 times the risk of dying in a home fire | Eix Structuro | |
| 8 | About 120 people could get lung cancer | 30 times the risk of dying in a fall | Fix Structure | |
| 4 | About 62 people could get lung cancer | 5 times the risk of dying in a car crash | | |
| 2 | About 32 people could get lung cancer | 6 times the risk of dying from poison | Consider fixing structure between 2 and 4 pCi/L | |
| 1.3 | About 20 people could get lung cancer | (Average indoor radon level) | Reducing radon levels | |
| 0.4 | About 3 people could get lung cancer | (Average outdoor radon level) | below 2pCi/L is difficult | |
| | NO | N-SMOKERS | | |
| 20 | About 36 people could get lung cancer | 35 times the risk of drowning | | |
| 10 | About 18 people could get lung cancer | 20 times the risk of dying in a home fire | Fig Structure | |
| 8 | About 15 people could get lung cancer | 4 times the risk of dying in a fall | Fix Structure | |
| 4 | About 7 people could get lung cancer | The risk of dying in a car crash | | |
| 2 | About 4 people could get lung cancer | The risk of dying from poison | Consider fixing structure between 2 and 4 pCi/L | |
| 1.3 | About 2 people could get lung cancer | (Average indoor radon level) | Reducing radon levels | |
| 0.4 | | (Average outdoor radon level) | below 2pCi/L is difficult | |
| NOTE: Risk may be lower for former smokers. * Lifetime risk of lung cancer deaths from EPA Assessment of Risks from Radon in Homes (EPA 402-R-03-003). ** Comparison data calculated using the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's 1999-2001 National Center for Injury Prevention and Control Reports. | | | | |

According to the EPA, the average radon concentration in the indoor air of homes nationwide is about 1.3 pCi/L. The EPA recommends homes be fixed if the radon level is 4 pCi/L or more. However, because there is no known safe level of exposure to radon, the EPA also recommends that Americans consider fixing their home for radon levels between 2 pCi/L and 4 pCi/L. Table

4.3.7-1 shows the relationship between various radon levels, probability of lung cancer, comparable risks from other hazards, and action thresholds. As is shown in Table 4.3.4-1, a smoker exposed to radon has a much higher risk of lung cancer.

The worst-case scenario for radon exposure in Columbia County would be that a large area of tightly sealed homes provided residents high levels of exposure over a prolonged period of time without the resident being aware. This worst-case scenario exposure then could lead to a large number of people with cancer attributed to the radon exposure.

4.3.7.3 Past Occurrence

The EPA has estimated that the national average indoor radon concentration is 1.3 pCi/L and the level for action is 4.0 pCi/L; however, they have estimated that the average indoor concentration in Pennsylvania basements is about 7.1 pCi/L and 3.6 pCi/L on the first floor (PADEP, 2011). In Columbia County, PA DEP Bureau of Radiation Protection test results of nearly 5,000 samples, shown in Table 4.3.7-2, indicate that the County has higher radon levels than the state-wide comparison data. This is particularly evident at the higher-end concentrations from 20 pCi/L and above. The County average reading of 14.4 pCi/L is also well above the statewide average of approximately 7 pCi/L.

| Table 4.3.7-2: Countywide radon test results (Lewis, 2016). | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------------|--|------------------------------|--|--|
| RANGE | SAMPLE SIZE FOR COLUMBIA COUNTY | % OF TESTS IN COLUMBIA COUNTY IN RANGE | % OF TESTS IN PA IN RANGE | | |
| < 4 pCi/L | 4437 | 52.7% | 61% | | |
| 4 – 9.99 pCi/L | 1828 | 21.7% | 23% | | |
| 10-19.9 pCi/L | 914 | 10.8% | 9.2% | | |
| 20-49.9 pCi/L | 705 | 8.4% | 5.3% | | |
| 50-99.9 pCi/L | 313 | 3.7% | 1.3% | | |
| >100 pCi/L | 216 | 2.6% | 0.4% | | |

On a municipal level, the Bureau of Radiation Protection provides information for homeowners on how to test for radon in their houses. If a test is reported to the Bureau over 4 pCi/L, then the Bureau works to help the homeowners make repairs to their houses to mitigate against high radon levels. The total number of tests reported to the Bureau since 1990 and their results are provided by zip code on the Bureau's website; it is important to note that the zip codes do not perfectly align with municipal boundaries. However, this information is only provided if over 30 tests total were reported in order to best approximate the average for the area. In Columbia County, ten of the fifteen zip codes had sufficient tests reported to the Bureau to report their findings, which are shown in Table 4.3.7-3. The highest average radon levels occur in zip codes 17814, the northern portion of the County, 17820, 17859, and 18635. This corresponds with a number of documented uranium occurrences in the Devonian period rocks in this area (McCauley, 1961).

Eric Stahley

| <i>Table 4.3.</i> | 7-3: Radon le | evel tests and results in Colum | bia County zip | codes (PADEF | P, 2021). |
|-------------------|---------------|---|----------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| ZIP CODE | Location | AREA OF COLUMBIA COUNTY | NUMBER OF TESTS | MAXIMUM RESULT (pCi/L) | AVERAGE RESULT (pCi/L) |
| 17814 | Basement | Sugarloaf Township, Jackson Township, Benton Township, Benton Borough | 253 | 370.0 | 17.4 |
| 17814 | First Floor | Sugarloaf Township, Jackson Township, Benton Township, Benton Borough | 66 | 55.5 | 5.7 |
| 17815 | Basement | Part of Hemlock Township, Part of Montour Township, Part of Orange Township, Part of N. Centre Township, South Centre Township, Scott Township, Mount Pleasant Township, Main Township, | 2976 | 627.5 | 13.1 |
| 17815 | First Floor | Part of Hemlock Township, Part of Montour Township, Part of Orange Township, Part of N. Centre Township, South Centre Township, Scott Township, Mount Pleasant Township, Main Township, Town of Bloomsburg | 480 | 501.0 | 6.6 |
| 17820 | Basement | Catawissa Township, Catawissa Borough, Franklin Township, Cleveland Township, Locust Township, | 435 | 269.3 | 21.4 |
| 17820 | First Floor | Catawissa Township, Catawissa Borough, Franklin Township, Cleveland Township, Locust Township, Roaring Creek Township | 95 | 79.1 | 6.8 |
| 17839 | Basement | Lightstreet | Insufficient Data | Insufficient Data | Insufficient Data |
| 17839 | First Floor | Lightstreet | Insufficient Data | Insufficient Data | Insufficient Data |
| 17846 | Basement | Madison Township, Millville Borough, Pine Township, Greenwood Township | 225 | 215.0 | 16.4 |

| 17846 | First Floor | Madison Township, Millville Borough, Pine Township, Greenwood Township | 55 | 22.7 | 2.9 |
|-------|-------------|---|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| 17858 | Basement | Numidia | Insufficient Data | Insufficient Data | Insufficient Data |
| 17858 | First Floor | Numidia | Insufficient Data | Insufficient Data | Insufficient Data |
| 17859 | Basement | Part of Fishing Creek Township, Part of Orange Township, Orangeville | 172 | 372.0 | 19.7 |
| 17859 | First Floor | Part of Fishing Creek Township, Part of Orange Township, Orangeville Borough | 38 | 140.6 | 11.4 |
| 17878 | Basement | Stillwater Borough, Part of Fishing Creek Twp | 89 | 130.0 | 12.9 |
| 17878 | First Floor | Stillwater Borough, Part of Fishing Creek Twp | Insufficient Data | Insufficient Data | Insufficient Data |
| 17888 | Basement | Part of Conyngham Township, Wilburton | Insufficient data | Insufficient data | Insufficient data |
| 17888 | First Floor | Part of Conyngham Township, Wilburton | Insufficient data | Insufficient data | Insufficient data |
| 17920 | Basement | Part of Conyngham Township, Aristes | Insufficient data | Insufficient data | Insufficient data |
| 17920 | First Floor | Part of Conyngham Township, Aristes | Insufficient data | Insufficient data | Insufficient data |
| 17927 | Basement | Centralia Borough | Insufficient data | Insufficient data | Insufficient data |
| 17985 | Basement | Part of Beaver Township, Schuylkill County | 55 | 100.3 | 12.1 |
| 17985 | First Floor | Part of Beaver Township, Schuylkill County | Insufficient data | Insufficient data | Insufficient data |
| 18603 | Basement | Briar Creek Borough, Briar Creek Township, Part of N Centre Township, Berwick Borough, Part of Luzerne | 1252 | 433.7 | 10.8 |
| 18603 | First Floor | Briar Creek Borough, Briar Creek Township, Part of N Centre Township, Berwick Borough, Part of Luzerne County | 270 | 74.7 | 4.6 |
| 18631 | Basement | Part of Mifflin Township | 90 | 150.5 | 11.9 |

| 18631 | First Floor | Part of Mifflin Township | Insufficient data | Insufficient data | Insufficient data |
|-------|-------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| 18635 | Basement | Mifflin Township, Luzerne County | 260 | 276.9 | 23.7 |
| 18635 | First Floor | Mifflin Township, Luzerne County | Insufficient data | Insufficient data | Insufficient data |

4.3.7.4 Future Occurrence

Radon exposure is inevitable given present soil, geologic, and geomorphic factors in Columbia County. However, future occurrence of high radon level hazards can be considered *possible* as defined by the Risk Factor Methodology probability criteria (see Table 4.4-1).

Development in areas where previous radon levels have been significantly high will continue to be more susceptible to exposure. Exposure can be limited with proper testing for both past and future development.

4.3.7.5 Vulnerability Assessment

As Table 4.3.7-3 shows, houses in Columbia County, especially in the northern and southern area of the County, could be susceptible to high levels of radon. Smokers can be up to ten times more vulnerable to lung cancer from high levels of radon depending on the level of radon they are exposed to (see Table 4.3.7-1). Older houses that have crawl spaces or unfinished basements are more vulnerable as well because of the increased exposure to soils which could be releasing higher levels of radon gas. Additionally, houses that rely on wells for their water may face an additional risk, although this type of exposure is low and rare in Pennsylvania. While specific building types and exact locations of the structures listed in Table 4.3.7-3 were not available, a majority of the types of homes that are located in the areas outlined in the table are of an older construction type, and use private wells for their water service.

Proper testing for radon levels will determine the level of vulnerability that residents face in their homes, as well as in their businesses and schools. The Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Radiation Protection provides short and long term tests to determine radon levels as well as information on how to mitigate high levels of radon in a building. A radon mitigation system costs approximately \$1,200, according to the EPA.

4.3.8 Tornado, Windstorm

4.3.8.1 Location and Extent

A tornado is a rapidly rotating column of air extending from a thunderstorm to the ground that has the potential to cause significant damage to anything in its path. Although tornadoes occur in many parts of the world, these destructive forces of nature are found most frequently in the United States east of the Rocky Mountains during the spring and summer months. In an average year, 1,000 tornadoes are reported nationwide, according to NOAA. This results in 80 deaths and over 1,500 injuries, according to the NWS. With wind speeds in excess of 250 mph, tornadoes are considered nature's most violent storms. Damage paths can be as wide as 1 mile and over 50 miles long.

Tornadoes are related to larger vortex formations and often form in convective cells such as thunderstorms or in the right forward quadrant of a hurricane, far from the hurricane eye.

Tornadoes in the winter and early spring are often associated with strong frontal systems that form in the central states and move east. Occasionally, large outbreaks of tornadoes occur with this type of weather pattern. Several states may be affected by numerous severe thunderstorms and tornadoes. It is interesting to note that tornadoes may appear nearly transparent until dust and debris are picked up or a cloud forms in the funnel. Tornadoes and wind storms can occur throughout Columbia County though events are usually localized. However, severe thunderstorms may result in conditions favorable to the formation of numerous or long-lived tornadoes. Tornadoes can occur at any time during the day or night, but are most frequent during late afternoon into early evening, the warmest hours of the day, and most likely to occur during the spring and early summer months of March through June.

Straight-line winds and windstorms are experienced on a more region-wide scale. While such winds usually accompany tornadoes, straight-lined winds are caused by the movement of air from areas of higher pressure to areas of lower pressure. Stronger winds are the result of greater differences in pressure. Windstorms are generally defined with sustained wind speeds of 40 mph or greater lasting for one hour or longer, or winds of 58 mph or greater for any duration.

4.3.8.2 Range of Magnitude

According to catastrophe modeling company RMS, insured losses in the United States from these storms average about \$17 billion each year, nearly equal to the losses incurred by hurricanes. While scientists cannot say that these storms are increasing, given the relatively short periods of reliable, post-Doppler radar data, it is clear that the losses are increasing, as a result of population growth and economic development. In addition, the geographic span of these storms appear to be changing from what previously was called the "tornado alley" to the southern United States with tornado formation tending to develop further east. (III, 2022). While the extent of tornado damage is usually localized, the vortex of extreme wind associated with a tornado can result in some of the most destructive forces on Earth. Rotational wind speeds can range from 100 mph to more than 250 mph. In addition, the speed of forward motion can range from 0 to 50 mph. Therefore, some estimates place the maximum velocity (combination of ground speed, wind speed, and upper winds) of tornadoes at about 300 mph. The damage caused by a tornado is a result of the high wind velocity and wind-blown debris, also accompanied by lightning or large hail. The most violent tornadoes have rotating winds of 250 miles per hour or more and are capable of causing extreme destruction and turning normally harmless objects into deadly missiles. The width of tornadoes can vary greatly, but generally range in size from less than 100 feet to over a mile in width. Some tornadoes never touch the ground and are short-lived and are called funnel clouds, while others may touch the ground several times. Tornado movement is characterized in two ways: direction and speed of spinning winds, and forward movement of the tornado, also known as the storm track. The forward motion of the tornado path can be a few hundred yards or several hundred miles in length.

Damages and deaths can be especially significant when tornadoes move through populated, developed areas. Typically, tornadoes cause the greatest damages to structures of light construction such as mobile homes. The destruction caused by tornadoes ranges from minor to extreme depending on the intensity, size and duration of the storm as defined by the Enhanced Fujita Scale. The Enhanced Fujita Scale, also known as the "EF-Scale," measures tornado strength and associated damages. The EF-Scale is an update to the earlier Fujita Scale, also known as the "F-Scale," that was published in 1971. It classifies United States tornadoes into six intensity categories, as shown in Table 4.3.8-1, based upon the estimated

maximum winds occurring within the wind vortex. Since its implementation by the National Weather Service in 2007, the EF-Scale has become the definitive metric for estimating wind speeds within tornadoes based upon damage to buildings and structures. F-Scale categories with corresponding EF-Scale wind speeds are provided in Table 4.3.6-1 since the magnitude of previous tornado occurrences is based on the F-Scale.

Table 4.3.8-1: Enhanced Fujita Scale (EF-Scale) categories with associated wind speeds and descriptionof damages.

| EF- SCALE NUMBER | WIND SPEED (mph) | F-SCALE NUMBER | TYPE OF DAMAGE POSSIBLE |
|---------------------|------------------------|-------------------|---|
| EF0 | 65–85 | F0-F1 | Minor damage : Peels surface off some roofs; some damage to gutters or siding; branches broken off trees; shallow-rooted trees pushed over. Confirmed tornadoes with no reported damage (i.e., those that remain in open fields) are always rated EF0. |
| EF1 | 86-110 | F1 | Moderate damage : Roofs severely stripped; mobile homes overturned or badly damaged; loss of exterior doors; windows and other glass broken. |
| EF2 | 111–135 | F1-F2 | Considerable damage : Roofs torn off well-constructed houses; foundations of frame homes shifted; mobile homes completely destroyed; large trees snapped or uprooted; light-object missiles generated; cars lifted off ground. |
| EF3 | 136–165 | F2-F3 | Severe damage : Entire stories of well-constructed houses destroyed; severe damage to large buildings such as shopping malls; trains overturned; trees debarked; heavy cars lifted off the ground and thrown; structures with weak foundations blown away some distance. |
| EF4 | 166–200 | F3 | Devastating damage : Well-constructed houses and whole frame houses completely leveled; cars thrown and small missiles generated. |
| EF5 | >200 | F3-F6 | Extreme damage : Strong frame houses leveled off foundations and swept away; automobile-sized missiles fly through the air in excess of 100 m (300 ft.); steel reinforced concrete structure badly damaged; high-rise buildings have significant structural deformation. |

Section 4.3.4.1 described the wind speed zones developed by the American Society of Civil Engineers based on tornado and hurricane historical events. These wind speed zones are intended to guide the design and evaluation of the structural integrity of shelters and critical facilities. Since Columbia County falls within Zone III, design wind speeds for shelters and critical facilities should be able to withstand a 3-second gust of up to 200 mph, regardless of whether the gust is the result of a tornado, coastal storm, or windstorm event. Therefore, these structures should be able to withstand the wind speeds experienced in an EF4 tornado event.

The worst tornado on record in Columbia County occurred in April, 1982. This F2 event was 30 yards wide and 4 miles long. In the course of its track it caused an estimated \$25,000 in damage and one injury (NCDC 2011).

Since tornado events are typically localized, environmental impacts are rarely widespread. However, where these events occur, severe damage to plant species is likely. This includes loss of trees and an increased threat of wildfire in areas where dead trees are not removed. Hazardous material facilities should meet design requirements for the wind zones identified in Figure 4.3.4-1 in order to prevent release of hazardous materials into the environment.

4.3.8.3 Past Occurrence

Tornadoes have occurred in all seasons and all regions of Pennsylvania, but the northern, western, and southeastern portions of the Commonwealth have been struck more frequently. A list of tornado events that have occurred in Columbia County between 1950 and 2021 is shown in Table 4.3.8-2 with an associated Fujita Tornado Scale magnitude. Few injuries have occurred in Columbia County's tornado events; the only reported injuries occurred during the 1982 event, when one person was injured. The largest tornado ever recorded in Columbia County occurred on April 15, 2019. An EF2 magnitude tornado touched down just south west of Benton Borough, near Distillery Hill Rd in Benton Township. It traveled for over three and a half miles north east and ended near Raski Rd. In it's wake it caused damage to numerous homes, a golf course, farms, and an airport. According to the NWS winds reached 115 mph and the funnel spanned close to 300 vards across.

(The information included in table 4.3.8-2 is the most current information available according to the NCDC website as of the 2021 update. The last tornado to affect Columbia County was in 2019.)

| Table 4.3.8-2: Previous tornado events between 1950 and 2021 in Columbia County (NCDC, | | | | | |
|--|------------|---------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------------------------------------|
| LOCATION | DATE | ESTIMATED LENGTH | ESTIMATED WIDTH | MAGNITUDE | ESTIMATED PROPERTY DAMAGE (\$) |
| Columbia County | 03/26/1964 | 0.10 mi | 13 yards | F1 | 2,500 |
| Columbia County | 04/17/1982 | 4.00 mi | 30 yards | F2 | 25,000 |
| Columbia County | 07/26/1989 | 4.00 mi | 50 yards | F1 | 25,000 |
| Columbia County | 07/15/1992 | 0.10 mi | 10 yards | F1 | 0 |
| Bloomsburg | 06/27/1994 | 0.25 mi | 75 yards | F1 | 500,000 |
| Catawissa | 05/27/2001 | 0.10 mi | 35 yards | FO | 0 |
| Jerseytown | 04/28/2002 | 6.00 mi | 30 yards | F1 | 90,000 |
| Millville | 06/17/2004 | 1.00 mi | 20 yards | F1 | 0 |
| Benton | 04/15/2019 | 3.69 mi | 300 yards | EF2 | 0 |





Windstorm events may be the result of thunderstorms, hurricanes, tropical storms, winter storms, or nor'easters. There have been 135 events with wind speeds of greater than 50 knots. In 2003 the County experienced high winds during a strong cold front in excess of 71 knots, or 81.7 miles per hour. While its damaging effects were limited to downed trees and utility outages in Columbia County, this storm caused a barn to blow over in Cambria County, a vacant building to collapse in Bedford County, roofs to rip off of homes in Franklin County and Johnstown, Pennsylvania, and three fatalities in south central Pennsylvania. The total property damage was reported to be \$50,000 (NCDC, 2011). The most damaging thunderstorm Columbia County has ever experienced occurred in April 1982, which resulted in excessive wind gusts and approximately \$266,000 in damages. This complex of severe thunderstorms caused considerable wind damage from fallen trees, blown off roofs, damaging mobile homes and downing power lines. A list of events greater than 50 knots that have occurred since 1950 is shown in Table 4.3.8-3.

Table 4.3.8-3: Previous windstorm events greater than 50 knots in Columbia County between 1950 and 2021 (NCDC, 2021). "Multiple Counties" indicates a regional event that impacted Columbia County.

| LOCATION | DATE | ESTIMATED WIND SPEED (knots) | ESTIMATED PROPERTY DAMAGE (\$) |
|-------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Columbia | 4/17/1982 | 60 | 0 |
| Columbia | 9/23/1986 | 52 | 0 |
| Millville | 4/23/1996 | 52 | 0 |
| Briar Creek | 5/3/1997 | 51 | 0 |
| Millville | 5/6/1997 | 51 | 0 |
| Bloomsburg | 5/19/1997 | 51 | 0 |
| Millville | 7/18/1997 | 51 | 0 |
| Berwick | 7/18/1997 | 51 | 0 |
| Millville | 8/16/1997 | 51 | 0 |
| Bloomsburg | 5/29/1998 | 51 | 0 |
| Millville | 5/31/1998 | 51 | 0 |
| Lime Ridge | 6/2/1998 | 51 | 0 |
| Benton | 6/16/1998 | 51 | 0 |
| Benton | 6/16/1998 | 51 | 0 |
| Benton | 6/16/1998 | 51 | 0 |
| Bloomsburg | 6/30/1998 | 51 | 0 |
| Catawissa | 7/17/1998 | 51 | 0 |
| Catawissa | 8/25/1998 | 51 | 0 |
| Multiple Counties | 9/16/1999 | 60 | 100,000 |
| Multiple Counties | 9/29/1999 | 60 | 100,000 |
| Multiple Counties | 4/9/2000 | 58 | 15,000 |
| Catawissa | 6/30/2001 | 50 | 0 |
| Catawissa | 7/1/2001 | 50 | 0 |
| Bloomsburg | 7/17/2001 | 50 | 3,000 |

| LOCATION | DATE | ESTIMATED WIND SPEED (knots) | ESTIMATED PROPERTY DAMAGE (\$) |
|-------------------------|------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Bloomsburg | 8/28/2001 | 50 | 0 |
| Berwick | 10/16/2001 | 50 | 0 |
| Bloomsburg | 3/9/2002 | 50 | 0 |
| Multiple Counties | 3/9/2002 | 50 | 50,000 |
| Catawissa | 7/18/2003 | 50 | 0 |
| Bloomsburg | 7/21/2003 | 50 | 0 |
| Multiple Counties | 11/13/2003 | 71 | 50,000 |
| Catawissa | 5/26/2004 | 50 | 0 |
| Benton | 6/17/2004 | 50 | 0 |
| Numidia | 11/25/2004 | 50 | 0 |
| Millville | 6/6/2005 | 60 | 0 |
| Bloomsburg | 6/6/2005 | 50 | 0 |
| Benton | 6/6/2005 | 50 | 0 |
| Stillwater | 6/6/2005 | 50 | 0 |
| Benton | 7/13/2005 | 75 | 0 |
| Almedia | 7/13/2005 | 50 | 0 |
| Catawissa | 7/13/2005 | 50 | 0 |
| Bloomsburg | 7/26/2005 | 50 | 0 |
| Bloomsburg | 7/27/2005 | 50 | 0 |
| Bloomsburg | 11/6/2005 | 50 | 10,000 |
| Bloomsburg | 5/30/2006 | 50 | 0 |
| Bloomsburg | 6/22/2006 | 50 | 0 |
| Berwick | 8/26/2006 | 50 | 0 |
| Numidia | 12/1/2006 | 50 | 10,000 |
| Benton | 6/8/2007 | 50 | 0 |
| Benton | 6/12/2007 | 50 | 0 |
| Light Street | 6/12/2007 | 50 | 0 |
| Dennis Mills | 6/27/2007 | 50 | 0 |
| Buckhorn | 6/27/2007 | 50 | 0 |
| Millville | 8/17/2007 | 50 | 0 |
| Bloomsburg Muni Airport | 8/25/2007 | 50 | 0 |
| Catawissa | 8/25/2007 | 50 | 0 |
| Bloomsburg | 6/10/2008 | 50 | 0 |
| East Bloomsburg | 6/10/2008 | 50 | 0 |
| Multiple Counties | 2/12/2009 | 50 | 50,000 |
| Bloomsburg | 7/11/2009 | 50 | 5,000 |
| Buckhorn | 7/11/2009 | 50 | 5,000 |
| Catawissa | 8/18/2009 | 50 | 5,000 |
| Berwick | 6/6/2010 | 50 | 5,000 |

| LOCATION | DATE | ESTIMATED WIND SPEED (knots) | ESTIMATED PROPERTY DAMAGE (\$) |
|--------------------|------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Bloomsburg | 7/24/2010 | 50 | 5,000 |
| Fernville | 7/25/2010 | 50 | 10,000 |
| Jerseytown | 9/22/2010 | 50 | 5,000 |
| Orangeville | 9/22/2010 | 50 | 5,000 |
| Berwick | 9/22/2010 | 50 | 10,000 |
| Bloomsburg | 9/30/2010 | 50 | 5,000 |
| Catawissa | 4/28/2011 | 50 | 5,000 |
| Numidia | 6/9/2011 | 50 | 5,000 |
| Buckhorn | 7/19/2011 | 50 | 5,000 |
| Lightstreet | 7/25/2011 | 50 | 5,000 |
| Bloomsburg | 5/29/2012 | 50 | 5,000 |
| Benton | 7/7/2012 | 50 | 5,000 |
| Berwick | 7/15/2012 | 50 | 5,000 |
| Berwick | 7/26/2012 | 50 | 5,000 |
| Columbia County | 10/29/2012 | 50 | 0 |
| Forks | 4/19/2013 | 50 | 0 |
| Millville | 6/24/2013 | 52 | 0 |
| Bloomsburg | 6/27/2013 | 50 | 2,500 |
| Forks/Eyersgrove | 7/20/2013 | 50 | 4,000 |
| Berwick | 9/12/2013 | 50 | 2,000 |
| Almedia | 10/7/2013 | 50 | 2,000 |
| Catawissa | 11/1/2013 | 50 | 0 |
| Coles Creek | 7/2/2014 | 50 | 1,000 |
| Slabtown | 7/3/2014 | 50 | 2,000 |
| Forks | 7/8/2014 | 50 | 1,000 |
| Fowlersville | 5/31/2015 | 50 | 500 |
| Jamison City | 6/8/2015 | 50 | 500 |
| Wilburton/Numidia | 6/11/2015 | 50 | 1,500 |
| Lightstreet/Rupert | 6/30/2015 | 50 | 2,000 |
| Almedia | 7/18/2016 | 52 | 2,000 |
| Buckhorn | 7/18/2016 | 52 | 8,000 |
| Bloomsburg | 7/25/2016 | 52 | 4,000 |
| Almedia | 7/25/2016 | 52 | 3,000 |
| Roaring Creek | 8/16/2016 | 52 | 6,000 |
| Rupert | 7/24/2017 | 52 | 4,000 |
| Numidia | 7/24/2018 | 52 | 4,000 |
| Espy | 8/4/2017 | 52 | 3,000 |
| Stillwater | 8/22/2017 | 52 | 0 |

| LOCATION | DATE | ESTIMATED WIND SPEED (knots) | ESTIMATED PROPERTY DAMAGE (\$) |
|--------------|-----------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Bloomsburg | 5/15/2018 | 70 | 10,000 |
| Forks | 5/15/2018 | 74 | 0 |
| Creasy | 5/15/2018 | 74 | 0 |
| Berwick | 5/15/2018 | 61 | 15,000 |
| Almedia | 5/15/2018 | 52 | 15,000 |
| Almedia | 5/15/2018 | 52 | 0 |
| Jonestown | 7/4/2018 | 52 | 3,000 |
| Dennis Mills | 7/4/2018 | 52 | 3,000 |
| Iola | 4/14/2019 | 83 | 15,000 |
| Fernville | 5/29/2019 | 52 | 4,000 |
| Grovania | 5/29/2020 | 52 | 7,000 |
| Forks | 7/3/2020 | 52 | 12,000 |
| Grovania | 8/7/2020 | 52 | 3,000 |
| Slabtown | 8/7/2020 | 52 | 3,000 |
| Mill Grove | 8/7/2020 | 52 | 3,000 |
| Forks | 8/29/2020 | 52 | 3,000 |
| Fowlersville | 6/14/2021 | 52 | 8,000 |
| Newlin | 6/20/2021 | 52 | 5,000 |
| Central | 7/6/2021 | 52 | 4,000 |
| Jamison City | 7/6/2021 | 52 | 1,000 |
| Coles Creek | 7/7/2021 | 52 | 2,000 |
| Catawissa | 7/11/2021 | 52 | 4,000 |
| Fernville | 7/11/2021 | 52 | 4,000 |
| Shumans | 7/17/2021 | 52 | 0 |
| Pine Summit | 7/17/2021 | 52 | 2,000 |
| Fernville | 8/1/2021 | 52 | 1,000 |
| Eyersgrove | 8/11/2021 | 52 | 3,000 |
| Bloomsburg | 8/11/2021 | 52 | 15,000 |
| Benton | 8/12/2021 | 52 | 4,000 |
| Pine Summit | 9/13/2021 | 52 | 14,000 |
| Orangeville | 9/13/2021 | 52 | 15,000 |
| Martzville | 9/13/2021 | 52 | 6,000 |
| Creasy | 9/13/2021 | 52 | 8,000 |
| Hetlerville | 9/13/2021 | 70 | 0 |

4.3.8.4 Future Occurrence

According to the National Weather Service, the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania has an annual average of ten tornadoes with two related deaths. While the chance of being hit by a tornado is small, the damage that results when the tornado arrives is devastating. An F4 tornado can have wind velocities of 200 mph, resulting in a force of more than 100 pounds per square foot of surface area. This is a "wind load" that exceeds the design limits of most buildings. Unlike some hazards, tornadoes are not specific to select parts of the County. Rather, a tornado could strike in any part of the County, and at any time, and could cause as much or as little damage as possible for the given magnitude event.

Based on tornado activity information for Pennsylvania between 1950 and 1998, most of Columbia County lies within an area that has experienced six to fifteen F1 or F2 tornadoes (see Figure 4.3.8-2). The future occurrence of tornadoes and windstorms should be considered *possible* as defined by the Risk Factor ranking probability criteria (see Table 4.4-1). Wind storms and tornadoes have become more frequent and are expected to continue this trend causing more extensive damage to structures due to the increased development near and within forested areas.



Figure 4.3.8-2: Tornado Activity in Columbia County (Columbia County GIS, 2021)

132 | Page

4.3.8.5 Vulnerability Assessment

Based on historical events, tornado and windstorm events are likely to occur across the County. While the frequency of windstorms and minor tornadoes is expected to remain relatively constant or grow slightly, vulnerability increases in more densely developed areas. Since high wind events may affect the entire County, it is important to identify specific critical facilities and assets that are most vulnerable to the hazard. For most assets, this would require site-specific analysis. However, due to their lightweight and often unanchored design, manufactured homes are most often extremely vulnerable to high winds. Table 4.3.8-4 lists the number of each of these trailer structures in each municipality. Countywide there are approximately 3,000 trailers. Centralia Borough does not have any trailer type homes, so it is expected that they will not be as vulnerable to wind impacts. At the other end of the spectrum, Berwick Borough, Briar Creek, North Centre, Orange, Scott, and South Centre Townships each have over 200 addressable trailers, indicating that they may be more vulnerable to tornado and windstorm events.

| MUNICIPALITY | # OF TRAILERS | PERCENT OF ADDRESSABLE STRUCTURES THAT ARE TRAILERS |
|------------------------|---------------|--|
| Beaver Township | 31 | 6% |
| Benton Borough | 56 | 13% |
| Benton Township | 58 | 9% |
| Berwick Borough | 209 | 4% |
| Bloomsburg, Town of | 31 | 1% |
| Briar Creek Borough | 132 | 39% |
| Briar Creek Township | 224 | 14% |
| Catawissa Borough | 7 | 1% |
| Catawissa Township | 22 | 4% |
| Centralia Borough | - | - |
| Cleveland Township | 27 | 5% |
| Conyngham Township | 18 | 4% |
| Fishing Creek Township | 67 | 8% |
| Franklin Township | 18 | 6% |
| Greenwood Township | 86 | 10% |
| Hemlock Township | 39 | 4% |
| Jackson Township | 36 | 10% |
| Locust Township | 105 | 14% |
| Madison Township | 71 | 9% |
| Main Township | 61 | 11% |
| Mifflin Township | 105 | 21% |
| Millville Borough | 8 | 2% |
| Montour Township | 79 | 12% |
| Mt Pleasant Township | 42 | 6% |
| North Centre Township | 229 | 24% |
| Orange Township | 199 | 28% |

Table 4.3.8-4: Trailers in Columbia County (Columbia County GIS, 2021).

| Orangeville Borough | 1 | 1% |
|------------------------|-------|-----|
| Pine Township | 58 | 10% |
| Roaring Creek Township | 41 | 11% |
| Scott Township | 244 | 9% |
| South Centre Township | 269 | 27% |
| Stillwater Borough | 6 | 5% |
| Sugarloaf Township | 90 | 13% |
| TOTAL | 2,669 | |

Bloomsburg University is no more or less vulnerable to a windstorm than the rest of the County. The vulnerability of individual structures will depend on building age, type of construction, and condition/maintenance of structures. At this time no such inventory of structures exists. However, the University does have wind load criteria for new construction in its building standards. This should reduce vulnerability to windstorms over time.

4.3.9 Wildfire

4.3.9.1 Location and Extent

Wildfires take place in less developed or completely undeveloped areas, spreading rapidly through vegetative fuels. They can occur any time of the year, but mostly occur during long, dry, hot spells. Any small fire, if not quickly detected and suppressed, can get out of control. Most wildfires are caused by human carelessness, negligence, and ignorance. However, some are precipitated by lightning strikes and in rare instances, spontaneous combustion. Wildfires in Pennsylvania can occur in open fields, grass, dense brush, and forests.

Because a majority- about 54 percent - of Columbia County's land cover is forestland, the potential geographic extent of wildfires is quite large (Columbia County GIS. 2021). Under dry conditions or droughts, wildfires have the potential to burn forests as well as croplands. The greatest potential for wildfires is in the spring months of March, April, and May, and the autumn months of October and November; 83% of all Pennsylvania wildfires occur in these two time periods. In the spring, bare trees allow sunlight to reach the forest floor, drying fallen leaves and other ground debris. In the fall, dried leaves are also fuel for fires.

4.3.9.2 Range of Magnitude

Wildfire events can range from small fires that can be managed by local firefighters to large fires impacting many acres of land. Large events may require evacuation from one or more communities and necessitate regional or national firefighting support. The impact of a severe wildfire can be devastating.

In addition to the risk wildfires pose to the general public and property owners, the safety of firefighters is also a concern. Although loss of life among firefighters does not occur often in

Pennsylvania, it is always a risk. More common firefighting injuries include falls, sprains, abrasions or heat-related injuries such as dehydration. Response to wildfires also exposes emergency responders to the risk of motor vehicle accidents and can place them in remote areas away from the communities that they are chartered to protect.

While some fires are not human-caused and are part of natural succession processes, a wildfire can kill people, livestock, fish and wildlife. They often destroy property, valuable timber, forage and recreational and scenic values. The most significant environmental impact is the potential for severe erosion, silting of stream beds and reservoirs, and flooding due to ground-cover loss following a fire event. Wildfire can also have a positive environmental impact in that they burn dead trees, leaves, and grasses to allow more open spaces for new vegetation to grow and receive sunlight. Another positive effect is that it stimulates the growth of new shoots on trees and shrubs and its heat can open pine cones and other seed pods.

4.3.9.3 Past Occurrence

While wildfires are a constant threat in Columbia County, their impact has not been as severe or as extensive as in other areas of Pennsylvania. There have been 619 wildfire events reported to the Pennsylvania Department of Conservation and Natural Resources Bureau of Forestry from 2017-2021 for the Weiser Forest District. This number does not include wildfires that were not reported to DCNR or that were controlled solely by the volunteer fire departments in the County, this is the most comprehensive list of wildfire occurrences available for Columbia County. Table 4.3.9-1 shows the list of wildfire events reported to the DCNR from 2017-2021.

(Columbia County is located in the Weiser Forest District. This district includes Dauphin, Carbon, Columbia, Lebanon, Montour, Northumberland, and Schuylkill Counties. The Weiser district encompasses 28,000 acres. Forest fire statistics are collected by forestry district and are not broken down by individual counties. As of the 2017 HMP update the data included in table 4.3.9-1 was the most current data available through the Pennsylvania Department of Conservation and Natural Resources)

| ava 1) | | | | | | | |
|---------------|--------------|--------------------------|------------|--------------------------|------------|--------------------------|--|
| | Spring Fires | | Fall Fires | | Totals | | |
| Year | # of Fires | Total Acres Burned | # of fires | Total Acres Burned | # of Fires | Total Acres Burned | |
| 2017 | 64 | 334 | 11 | 10.6 | 75 | 354.6 | |
| 2018 | 86 | 111.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 86 | 111.7 | |
| 2019 | 43 | 29.5 | 30 | 21.8 | 73 | 51.3 | |
| 2020 | 200 | 429.8 | 44 | 171.9 | 244 | 601.7 | |
| 2021 | 128 | 142.3 | 13 | 87.8 | 141 | 230.1 | |
| Totals | 521 | 1,047.3 | 98 | 292.1 | 619 | 1,349.4 | |

Table 4.3.9-1: Forest Fire Statistics from 2020– for District 18, Weiser Forest. (PA DCNR, 2021)

4.3.9.4 Future Occurrence

Over the five-year period between 2017 and 2021, 10,199 acres of state forest have burned in Pennsylvania and 4,638 fires were reported to PA DCNR. Previous events indicate that wildfire events will continue to occur annually. Weather conditions like drought can increase the likelihood of wildfires occurring. Any fire, without the quick response or attention of firefighters, forestry personnel, or visitors to the forest, has the potential to become a wildfire.

The probability of a wildfire occurring in Columbia County is *possible* in any given year, according to the Risk Factor Methodology probability category (see Table 4.4-1). However, the likelihood of one of those fires attaining significant size and intensity is unpredictable and highly dependent on environmental conditions and firefighting response.

4.3.9.5 Vulnerability Assessment

The Pennsylvania Bureau of Forestry has conducted an independent wildfire hazard risk assessment for the various municipalities across Columbia County. Results of that assessment are shown in Figure 4.3.9-2. *Wildfire hazard* is defined based on conditions that affect wildfire ignition and/or behavior such as fuel, topography and local weather. Based on this assessment, fourteen jurisdictions in Columbia County have a *high* wildfire rating: Beaver, Briar Creek, Catawissa, Conyngham, Jackson, Locust, Main, Mifflin, North Centre, Orange, Roaring Creek, South Centre, and Sugarloaf Townships and Benton Borough.

Eleven municipalities within Columbia County have a *medium* wildfire hazard potential: Cleveland, Fishing Creek, Franklin, Greenwood, Hemlock, Madison, Montour, Mount Pleasant, Pine, and Scott Townships and Berwick Borough. Briar Creek, Catawissa, Centralia, Millville, Orangeville, and Stillwater Boroughs and Benton Township are considered to have *low* wildfire hazard potential. The individual vulnerability of communities will differ based on the design of the urban/wildland interface, the number of ingress and egress points into a community, and the availability of water to fight fires. However, as this assessment suggests, Columbia County's boroughs are relatively less vulnerable to wildfire events than its townships. Table 4.3.9-2 shows the total addressable structures and critical facilities in the high wildfire hazard areas.

| MUNICIPALITY | TOTAL ADDRESSABLE STRUCTURES IN HIGH WILDFIRE HAZARD AREAS | TOTAL CRITICAL FACILITIES IN HIGH WILDFIRE HAZARD AREAS |
|----------------------|---|---|
| Beaver Township | 481 | 5 |
| Benton Borough | 444 | 10 |
| Benton Township | - | - |
| Berwick Borough | - | - |
| Town of Bloomsburg | - | - |
| Briar Creek Borough | - | - |
| Briar Creek Township | 1,594 | 15 |

Table 4.3.9-2: Structures and critical facilities within high wildfire hazard areas in Columbia County (Columbia County GIS, 2021)

| Catawissa Borough | - | - |
|------------------------|--------|-----|
| Catawissa Township | 494 | 3 |
| Centralia Borough | - | - |
| Cleveland Township | - | - |
| Conyngham Township | 453 | 9 |
| Fishing Creek Township | - | - |
| Franklin Township | - | - |
| Greenwood Township | - | - |
| Hemlock Township | - | - |
| Jackson Township | 364 | 5 |
| Locust Township | 769 | 12 |
| Madison Township | - | - |
| Main Township | 560 | 6 |
| Mifflin Township | 1,193 | 12 |
| Millville Borough | - | - |
| Montour Township | - | - |
| Mt. Pleasant Township | - | - |
| North Centre Township | 940 | 10 |
| Orange Township | 721 | 7 |
| Orangeville Borough | - | - |
| Pine Township | - | - |
| Roaring Creek Township | 375 | 1 |
| Scott Township | - | - |
| South Centre Township | 979 | 17 |
| Stillwater Borough | - | - |
| Sugarloaf Township | 717 | 6 |
| TOTAL | 10,084 | 118 |

When looking at the types of at-risk structures, over 6,000 of the structures vulnerable to wildfires are residential structures, with the highest number of at-risk residential structures in Briar Creek Township. Also, over 16% of all the addressable structures vulnerable to wildfire are agricultural. Table 4.3.9-3 shows the number of vulnerable structures in each municipality by structure type.

Eric Stahley

| Table 4.3.9-3: Type of structure by municipality located in wildfire high-hazard areas.(Columbia County GIS, 2021) | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------|------------|--------|------------|-------------|-------|---------|---------|--------|--------|
| Municipality | Agricultural | Commercial | Exempt | Industrial | Residential | Tower | Trailer | Utility | Vacant | Total |
| Beaver Township | 148 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 265 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 12 | 465 |
| Benton Borough | 7 | 64 | 18 | 11 | 289 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 2 | 447 |
| Briar Creek Township | 150 | 18 | 51 | 9 | 1,081 | 2 | 224 | 0 | 54 | 1,589 |
| Catawissa Township | 80 | 7 | 15 | 0 | 350 | 9 | 22 | 0 | 18 | 501 |
| Conyngham Township | 180 | 8 | 27 | 0 | 371 | 0 | 27 | 1 | 13 | 627 |
| Jackson Township | 156 | 2 | 14 | 2 | 151 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 14 | 375 |
| Locust Township | 176 | 15 | 17 | 2 | 514 | 0 | 105 | 1 | 11 | 841 |
| Main Township | 94 | 15 | 8 | 0 | 367 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 10 | 555 |
| Mifflin Township | 127 | 38 | 22 | 4 | 800 | 2 | 105 | 1 | 98 | 1,197 |
| North Centre Township | 106 | 5 | 191 | 9 | 564 | 3 | 229 | 0 | 15 | 1,122 |
| Orange Township | 88 | 88 | 10 | 3 | 361 | 0 | 199 | 1 | 21 | 771 |
| Roaring Creek Township | 190 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 130 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 20 | 384 |
| South Centre Township | 15 | 47 | 11 | 38 | 593 | 3 | 269 | 1 | 13 | 990 |
| Sugarloaf Township | 190 | 12 | 38 | 3 | 247 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 16 | 596 |
| Total | 1,707 | 320 | 433 | 81 | 6,083 | 19 | 1,495 | 5 | 317 | 10,460 |

Figure 4.3.9-1: Wildfire hazard potential per municipality in Columbia County (Columbia County GIS, 2021)



4.3.10 Winter Storm

4.3.10.1 Location and Extent

Winter storms are regional events. Every county in the Commonwealth, including Columbia, is subject to severe winter storms.

Within Columbia County there are variations in the average amount of snowfall that is received throughout different parts of the County because of terrain differences; higher elevations experience greater snowfalls than lower-lying areas. Generally, the average annual snowfall in the County is 40 to 50 inches, as shown in Figure 4.3.10-1.

Figure 4.3.10-1: Average Annual Snowfall for Pennsylvania and Columbia County (Columbia County GIS, 2021)



4.3.10.2 Range of Magnitude

Winter storms consist of cold temperatures, heavy snow or ice and sometimes strong winds. They begin as low-pressure systems that move through Pennsylvania either following the jet stream or developing as extra-tropical cyclonic weather systems over the Atlantic Ocean called Nor'easters. Due to their regular occurrence, these storms are considered hazards only when they result in damage to specific structures or cause disruption to traffic, communications, electric power, or other utilities.

A winter storm can adversely affect roadways, utilities, business activities, and can cause frostbite or loss of life. These storms may include one or more of the following weather events:

- <u>Heavy Snowstorm</u>: Accumulations of four inches or more in a six-hour period, or six inches or more in a twelve-hour period.
- <u>Sleet Storm</u>: Significant accumulations of solid pellets which form from the freezing of raindrops or partially melted snowflakes causing slippery surfaces posing hazards to pedestrians and motorists.
- <u>Ice Storm:</u> Significant accumulations of rain or drizzle freezing on objects (trees, power lines, roadways, etc.) as it strikes them, causing slippery surfaces and damage from the sheer weight of ice accumulation.
- <u>Blizzard:</u> Wind velocity of 35 miles per hour or more, temperatures below freezing, considerable blowing snow with visibility frequently below one-quarter mile prevailing over an extended period of time.
- <u>Severe Blizzard:</u> Wind velocity of 45 miles per hour, temperatures of 10 degrees Fahrenheit or lower, a high density of blowing snow with visibility frequently measured in feet prevailing over an extended period time.

Any of the above events can result in the closing of major or secondary roads, particularly in rural locations, stranded motorists, transportation accidents, loss of utility services, and depletion of oil heating supplies. Environmental impacts often include damage to shrubbery and trees due to heavy snow loading, ice build-up and/or high winds which can break limbs or even bring down large trees. Gradual melting of snow and ice provides excellent groundwater recharge. However, high temperatures following a heavy snowfall can cause rapid surface water runoff and severe flooding.

Figure 4.3.10-1 shows mean annual snowfall in Columbia County to be between 40 and 50 inches. Four of the thirteen Presidential Disaster and Emergency Declarations affecting Columbia have been in response to hazard events related to winter storms (see Table 4.2-1). Other reported winter storm events, including those associated with Disaster Declarations, are listed in Table 4.3.10-1.

Columbia County experienced major winter storms in 1972, two storms in 1978, 1993, 1994, and 1996. There have been numerous other winter storms recorded every year, those that occurred after 1993 are listed in Table 4.3.8-1. These storms have caused power failures and communications outages of four hours or more, road closings, stranded motorists requiring emergency transportation or temporary shelter, as well as county residents requiring provisions.

The worst-case winter storm event for Columbia County happened in the winter of 2005. In January, snow and sleet began precipitating across much of central Pennsylvania. Freezing

rain started to fall and accumulated on power lines and tree limbs, causing both power outages and tree damage. A severe ice storm followed a few days later, greatly impacting the higher ridge tops of Columbia County and neighboring Schuylkill and Sullivan Counties. More ice accumulated on trees and power lines, leading to downed trees and further power outages (NCDC, 2011). The County does not have local information on losses for this storm.

Throughout the region over 238,000 customers lost power across the region, over 30,000 of these still did not have power when the second ice storm struck the area on January 8th. Over \$25 million of repairs were needed to restore power lines and clear roads.

4.3.10.3 Past Occurrence

Columbia County and the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania have a long history of severe winter weather. There have been 70 winter storm events that have affected Columbia County since 1993, according to the NCDC. The NCDC data on past occurrence for winter storm events since 1993 is the most comprehensive list of data available for the County. The County does not currently have or maintain data on the damage caused by winter storms on the local level.

There have been a number of key past winter storm events. In the winter of 1993-1994, Pennsylvania was hit by a series of protracted winter storms. The severity and nature of these storms combined with accompanying record-breaking frigid temperatures posed a major threat to the lives, safety and well-being of Commonwealth residents and caused major disruptions to the activities of schools, businesses, hospitals and nursing homes.

Another devastating winter storm occurred that winter in early January with record snowfall depths in many areas of the Commonwealth, strong winds, and sleet/freezing rain. Numerous storm-related power outages were reported and as many as 600,000 residents were without electricity, in some cases for several days at a time. A ravaging ice storm followed which closed major arterial roads and downed trees and power lines. Utility crews from a five-state area were called to assist in power restoration repairs. Officials from PPL Corporation stated that this was the worst winter storm in the history of the company; related damage-repair costs exceeded \$5,000,000.

Serious power supply shortages continued through mid-January because of record cold temperatures at many places, causing sporadic power generation outages across the Commonwealth. The entire Pennsylvania-New Jersey-Maryland grid and its partners in the District of Columbia, New York and Virginia experienced 15-30-minute rolling blackouts, threatening the lives of people and the safety of the facilities in which they resided. Power and fuel shortages affecting Pennsylvania and the East Coast power grid system required the Governor to recommend power conservation measures be taken by all commercial, residential and industrial power consumers.

The record cold conditions resulted in numerous water-main breaks and interruptions of service to thousands of municipal and city water customers throughout the Commonwealth. Additionally, the extreme cold in conjunction with accumulations of frozen precipitation resulted in acute shortages of road salt. As a result, trucks were dispatched to haul salt from New York to expedite deliveries to Pennsylvania Department of Transportation storage sites.

Most recently, Columbia County experienced a severe winter storm in February 2003 that

resulted in almost 16-21 inches of accumulated snowfall county-wide and a disaster declaration by the Governor. Snow removal costs exceeded \$100,000.00, closed schools for 2 days and impeded emergency and home heating fuel delivery while virtual white-out conditions stalled travel. However, the blizzard and heavy snow storms of January 1996 followed immediately by the flood from the sudden meltdown of the snow pack in that same month resulted in structural and infrastructure damages that exceeded \$470,000.00. Schools, government buildings, industry and businesses were closed for several days (CCPC, 2006).

In addition to the events described above, other winter storm events are listed in Table 4.3.10-1.

| 2021). Events with the location "Multiple Counties" include Columbia County. | | | | | |
|--|------------|-------------------------------|--|--|--|
| LOCATION | DATE | ТҮРЕ | | | |
| Multiple Counties | 11/27/1994 | Freezing Rain And Sleet | | | |
| Multiple Counties | 12/09/1994 | Freezing Rain | | | |
| Multiple Counties | 12/31/1994 | Freezing Rain | | | |
| Multiple Counties | 01/06/1995 | Winter Storm | | | |
| Multiple Counties | 01/11/1995 | Freezing Rain | | | |
| Multiple Counties | 01/31/1995 | Freezing Rain | | | |
| Multiple Counties | 02/03/1995 | Heavy Snow | | | |
| Multiple Counties | 02/15/1995 | Freezing Rain | | | |
| Multiple Counties | 02/26/1995 | Freezing Rain Sleet And Light | | | |
| Multiple Counties | 02/27/1995 | Freezing Rain | | | |
| Multiple Counties | 03/08/1995 | Snow | | | |
| Columbia, Sullivan, York Counties | 11/11/1995 | Snow | | | |
| Multiple Counties | 11/14/1995 | Winter Storm | | | |
| Multiple Counties | 12/19/1995 | Winter Storm | | | |
| Multiple Counties | 01/02/1996 | Heavy Snow | | | |
| Multiple Counties | 01/12/1996 | Heavy Snow | | | |
| Multiple Counties | 03/07/1996 | Heavy Snow | | | |
| Multiple Counties | 02/13/1997 | Winter Storm | | | |
| Multiple Counties | 12/29/1997 | Heavy Snow | | | |
| Multiple Counties | 01/15/1998 | Ice Storm | | | |
| Multiple Counties | 01/22/1998 | Ice Storm | | | |
| Multiple Counties | 02/23/1998 | Heavy Snow | | | |
| Multiple Counties | 01/02/1999 | Winter Storm | | | |
| Multiple Counties | 01/08/1999 | Winter Storm | | | |
| Multiple Counties | 01/14/1999 | Winter Storm | | | |
| Columbia, Northern Lycoming, | 02/07/1999 | Heavy Snow | | | |
| Southern Lycoming, Sullivan Counties | | | | | |
| Multiple Counties | 03/14/1999 | Heavy Snow | | | |
| Multiple Counties | 01/25/2000 | Heavy Snow | | | |
| Multiple Counties | 01/30/2000 | Heavy Snow | | | |
| Multiple Counties | 02/13/2000 | Ice Storm | | | |
| Multiple Counties | 02/18/2000 | Winter Storm | | | |

Table 4.3.10-1: Previous winter storm events impacting Columbia County since 1994 (NCDC.
| Multiple Counties | 12/12/2000 | Winter Storm |
|--|------------|--------------|
| Multiple Counties | 01/20/2001 | |
| Multiple Counties | 03/04/2001 | Heavy Show |
| Multiple Counties | 01/06/2002 | Heavy Show |
| Multiple Counties | 12/05/2002 | Heavy Show |
| Multiple Counties | 12/10/2002 | Ice Storm |
| Multiple Counties | 12/10/2002 | |
| Multiple Counties | 01/01/2002 | Ico Storm |
| Multiple Counties | 01/01/2003 | |
| Multiple Counties | 02/16/2002 | Hoovy Show |
| Multiple Counties | 12/05/2003 | Heavy Show |
| Multiple Counties | 12/03/2003 | Heavy Show |
| Multiple Counties | 01/27/2004 | Heavy Show |
| Multiple Counties | 02/06/2004 | |
| Multiple Counties | 03/10/2004 | Heavy Show |
| Multiple Counties | 03/19/2004 | Heavy Show |
| Multiple Counties | 01/05/2005 | |
| Multiple Counties | 01/08/2005 | ICE Storm |
| Multiple Counties | 01/22/2005 | Winter Storm |
| Multiple Counties | 03/01/2005 | Heavy Show |
| Multiple Counties | 12/09/2005 | Heavy Show |
| Multiple Counties | 12/16/2005 | Winter Storm |
| Coumbia, Southern Lycoming Counties | 02/13/2007 | winter Storm |
| Multiple Counties | 03/16/2007 | Heavy Snow |
| Multiple Counties | 02/01/2008 | Winter Storm |
| Multiple Counties | 02/12/2008 | Ice Storm |
| Multiple Counties | 12/19/2008 | Winter Storm |
| Multiple Counties | 01/06/2009 | Ice Storm |
| Multiple Counties | 01/27/2009 | Winter Storm |
| Columbia, Sullivan | 02/09/2010 | Winter Storm |
| Columbia, Montour, Northern | 02/25/2010 | Winter Storm |
| Lycoming, Schuylkill, Tioga Counties | | |
| Multiple Counties | 02/01/2011 | Winter Storm |
| Multiple Counties | 03/23/2011 | Winter Storm |
| Multiple Counties | 10/29/2011 | Heavy Snow |
| Multiple Counties | 12/26/2012 | Winter Storm |
| Multiple Counties | 12/14/2013 | Winter Storm |
| Multiple Counties | 02/04/2014 | Winter Storm |
| Multiple Counties | 02/13/2014 | Heavy Snow |
| Multiple Counties | 11/25/2014 | Heavy Snow |
| Multiple Counties | 01/22/2016 | Winter Storm |
| Multiple Counties | 02/08/2017 | Winter Storm |
| Multiple Counties | 03/13/2017 | Winter Storm |
| Multiple Counties | 11/15/2018 | Winter Storm |
| Multiple Counties | 02/20/2019 | Winter Storm |
| which he counties | 02/20/2013 | |

| Multiple Counties | 12/16/2020 | Winter Storm |
|-------------------|------------|--------------|

4.3.10.4 Future Occurrence

Winter storms are a regular, annual occurrence in Columbia County and should be considered *highly likely*, based on the Risk Factor probability criteria (see Table 4.4-1). The probability of an increase in winter storm-related problems appears to be significant. Approximately thirty-five winter storm events occur across Pennsylvania and about two to three in Columbia County annually (NCDC, 2011). Table 4.3.10-2 shows the probability of receiving measureable snowfall by month in Columbia County. These probabilities are based on data collected over a minimum of 24 years. There is slight variation in the probabilities of snowfall, especially in April, May, and October, in different locations in Columbia County. The probability of an increase in winter storm-related problems appears to be significant. Lengthy power outages and increased traffic accidents have resulted and will continue to increase as we experience more frequent winter storms.

| молтн | PROBABILITY (%) | | |
|-----------|-----------------|----------------|--|
| MONTI | BERWICK | MILLVILLE 2 SW | |
| January | 100.00% | 97.60% | |
| February | 96.60% | 95.00% | |
| March | 86.70% | 84.20% | |
| April | 16.70% | 21.40% | |
| May | 0.00% | 0.00% | |
| June | 0.00% | 0.00% | |
| July | 0.00% | 0.00% | |
| August | 0.00% | 0.00% | |
| September | 0.00% | 0.00% | |
| October | 3.20% | 2.50% | |
| November | 46.90% | 56.10% | |
| December | 90.60% | 87.50% | |

Table 4.3.10-2: Probability of Measurable Snowfall in Columbia County by Snow Station Location (NCDC, 2011).

4.3.10.5 Vulnerability Assessment

Based on the information available, all communities in Columbia County are essentially equally vulnerable to the direct impacts of winter storms. However, residents of the mountainous areas of the County may be more susceptible during severe storms, especially when emergency medical assistance is required. There are rural areas which are susceptible to isolation due to winter storms, however these are decreasing as new roads and development continues. Vulnerability to the effects of winter storms on buildings is also dependent on the age of the building type, construction material used and condition of the structure. Table 4.3.10-3 below shows that a large portion of housing units in Columbia County were built before 1940 (US

Census ACS, 2010-2014). Over 10,000 housing units, or 36% of the total housing units, are 60 or more years old. This does not, however, account for non-residential building occupancies; this information is not collected by the County or federal governments. Additional information on construction type and building codes enforced at time of construction would allow a more thorough assessment of the vulnerability of structures to winter storm impacts such as severe wind and heavy snow loading. However, based on the available information Catawissa Borough, Orangeville Borough, Millville Borough, Berwick Borough, Conyngham Township, and Benton Borough face the largest risk to their housing structures, as each jurisdiction has over fifty percent of their housing units built before 1940. Centralia Borough has 100 percent of their housing built prior to 1940, but there are only two units, so the risk is contained to a very small population.

| MUNICIPALITY | NUMBER OF HOUSING UNITS BUILT PRIOR TO 1940 | PERCENT OF TOTAL HOUSING UNITS |
|-------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|
| Beaver Township | 139 | 32% |
| Benton Borough | 301 | 66% |
| Benton Township | 151 | 28% |
| Berwick Borough | 2440 | 52% |
| Bloomsburg, Town of | 2503 | 46% |
| Briar Creek Borough | 68 | 19% |
| Briar Creek Township | 244 | 17% |
| Catawissa Borough | 413 | 56% |
| Catawissa Township | 125 | 27% |
| Centralia Borough | 2 | 100% |
| Cleveland Township | 203 | 36% |
| Conyngham Township | 204 | 56% |
| Fishing Creek Township | 238 | 35% |
| Franklin Township | 111 | 44% |
| Greenwood Township | 361 | 41% |
| Hemlock Township | 203 | 21% |
| Jackson Township | 83 | 27% |
| Locust Township | 332 | 45% |
| Madison Township | 135 | 20% |
| Main Township | 121 | 23% |
| Mifflin Township | 243 | 25% |
| Millville Borough | 273 | 60% |
| Montour Township | 141 | 23% |
| Mount Pleasant Township | 105 | 16% |
| North Centre Township | 57 | 6.5% |
| Orange Township | 86 | 17% |

Table 4.3.10-3: Age of Housing Units in Columbia County (US Census, ACS, 2010-2014).

| Orangeville Borough | 133 | 82% |
|------------------------|--------|-------------|
| Pine Township | 142 | 30% |
| Roaring Creek Township | 130 | 41% |
| Scott Township | 408 | 17% |
| South Centre Township | 160 | 18% |
| Stillwater Borough | 39 | 42% |
| Sugarloaf Township | 243 | 32% |
| Total | 10,537 | Average 36% |

Because of the frequency of winter storms, strategies have been developed to respond to these events. Snow removal and utility repair equipment is present to respond to typical events. The use of auxiliary heat and electricity supplies such as wood burning stoves, kerosene heaters and gasoline power generators reduces the vulnerability of humans to extreme cold temperatures commonly associated with winter storms. People residing in structures lacking adequate equipment to protect against cold temperatures or significant snow and ice are more vulnerable to winter storm events. Even for communities that are prepared to respond to winter storms, severe events involving snow accumulations that exceed six or more inches in a twelve-hour period can cause a large number of traffic accidents, strand motorists due to snow drifts, interrupt power supply and communications, and cause the failure of inadequately designed and/or maintained roof systems.

Additional vulnerability exists due to icy and snow-covered roads. This is a potential risk on all roads, even the most commonly used interstates in the County. However, most problems from ice and snow occur along I-80 as it is the main thoroughfare through the County.

Bloomsburg University is no more or less vulnerable to winter storms than the rest of the County. The vulnerability of individual structures will depend on building age, type of construction, and condition/maintenance of structures. At this time no such inventory of structures exists. The University's building code should also assist with ensuring new construction can withstand snow loads of more than six inches.

HUMAN-MADE HAZARDS

4.3.11 Dam Failure

Due to the sensitive nature of dam information in Pennsylvania, the Dam Failure Profile can be found in **Appendix G**.

4.3.12 Environmental Hazards

Columbia County has the potential to experience three kinds of environmental hazards: hazardous material releases, oil and gas well incidents, and coal mining incidents. Hazardous material releases can occur at facilities or along transportation routes. These releases can result in injury and death and contaminate air, water and soils. Activities associated with coal mines and oil and gas wells can cause fire and pollute streams and drinking water.

4.3.12.1 Location and Extent A. HAZARDOUS MATERIALS RELEASES

Hazardous materials fall into several categories such as flammable and combustible materials, compressed gases, explosive and blasting agents, radioactive materials, oxidizing materials, poisons, and corrosive liquids. Hazardous materials incidents are generally unintentional, and associated with transportation accidents or accidents at fixed facilities. However, hazardous materials can be released as a criminal or terrorist act. Any release can result in injury and death and may contaminate air, water and/or soils.

Facilities that use, manufacture, or store hazardous materials in Pennsylvania must comply with both Title III of the federal Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act (SARA), also known as the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act (EPCRA), and the Commonwealth's reporting requirements under the Hazardous Materials Emergency Planning and Response Act (1990-165), as amended. The community right-to-know reporting requirements keep communities abreast of the presence and release of chemicals at individual facilities. EPCRA was designed to ensure that state and local communities are prepared to respond to potential chemical accidents through Local Emergency Planning Committees (LEPCs). LEPCs are charged with developing emergency response plans for SARA Title III facilities; these plans cover the location and extent of hazardous materials, establish evacuation plans, response procedures, methods to reduce the magnitude of a materials release, and establish methods and schedules for training and exercises.

The principal classes of hazardous materials reported to the Columbia County LEPC are flammable liquids, corrosives, and flammable gases. The most common substances appear to be diesel fuel, propane, and fuel oils. Chlorine and sulfuric acid remain the most common EHS reported. Since 1999 no fixed facility has reported the release of hazardous materials exceeding federal standards, according to the Columbia County LEPC.

There are twenty-one facilities classified as using or storing extremely hazardous substances as defined by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (SARA Title III). Because SARA Title III facilities are covered under their own unique planning process and are continually evaluated through the LEPC, they will not be discussed in depth in this plan.

For a complete listing of SARA Title III facilities, please see Appendix H.

Transportation of hazardous materials on highways involves tanker trucks or trailers. Unsurprisingly, large trucks are responsible for the greatest number of hazardous material release incidents. Hazardous material releases from rail transport are also of concern due to collisions and derailments that result in large spills.

Columbia County has a road and railway network that may pose a risk for hazardous material incidents. These networks transport hazardous materials daily on Interstate 80, US Route 11, PA 93, PA 42, and PA 487. These major roads pass through the more populous areas. Similarly, rail lines pass through cities and boroughs where larger numbers of people could be vulnerable should a serious accident occur in these places.

The Atlantic Sunrise Expansion Project brought a natural gas pipeline through nearly the entire length of Columbia County. This proposed pipeline began in Jackson Township where is connects with the existing TransContinental Pipeline. It exits Columbia County in Cleveland

Township and continues down through to Lancaster County. Compressor Station 610 is a new 40,000 horsepower facility in Orange Township (Columbia County, Pa.) being constructed by LMC Industrial Contractors, Inc. Construction began last spring on the mainline portion of the Atlantic Sunrise project designed to accommodate bi-directional flow on the existing Transco pipeline system. A portion of the capacity created by these mainline modifications was recently placed into service. Construction on Compressor Station 610 was started in the spring 2018, with construction being completed in October of 2018.





B. OIL AND GAS WELLS

Columbia County has three plugged traditional oil and gas wells, all located in the northern portion of the County. Figure 4.3.12-2 shows the location of the plugged gas wells in Columbia County. These traditional oil and gas wells have not had great impact on the county in the past, but there is growing concern in the County about the Marcellus Shale. While more heavily drilled in neighboring counties, it has now spread to Columbia County. The Marcellus Shale is rich, organic, black shale formation that lies below Columbia County. In the past, the formation was not thought of as a key resource, but now that the technology for extracting natural gas from shale has been proven, drilling companies are flocking to the region. As of now, there are no active Marcellus Shale wells. In the future, though, Marcellus Shale wells can be drilled anywhere the formation exists.

The Marcellus Shale formation covers about two-thirds of northern and western Pennsylvania. Within Columbia County, there is an estimated one trillion cubic feet of natural gas in the shale deposits (CCEMA, 2007). Figure 4.3.12-2 also shows the extent of the Marcellus Shale Formation. Columbia County lies almost completely within the shale formation, so the region is vulnerable to shale drilling.

C. COAL MINING

Coal mining has historically been and continues to be a major industry in Pennsylvania. Columbia County has a small cluster of coal mining industry in the Western Middle Anthracite Field found at the southern tip of the County.

Figure 4.3.12-3 shows the location of the 34 coal mines in the County. Both Conyngham Township and Centralia Borough are at risk for environmental hazards resulting from coal mining activities as they are underlain by coal deposits. These hazards include mine-related subsidence, groundwater and surface water contamination, coal slurry impoundments, and waste piles.









4.3.12.2 Range of Magnitude A. HAZARDOUS MATERIALS RELEASE

Hazardous material releases can contaminate air, water and soils, possibly resulting in death and/or injuries. Dispersion can take place rapidly when transported by water and wind. While often accidental, releases can occur as a result of human carelessness, intentional acts, or natural hazards. When caused by natural hazards, these incidents are known as secondary events. Hazardous materials can include toxic chemicals, radioactive materials, infectious substances and hazardous wastes. Such releases can affect nearby populations and contaminate critical or sensitive environmental areas.

With a hazardous material release, whether accidental or intentional, there are several potentially exacerbating or mitigating circumstances that will affect its severity or impact. Mitigating conditions are precautionary measures taken in advance to reduce the impact of a release on the surrounding environment. Primary and secondary containment or shielding by sheltering-in-place protects people and property from the harmful effects of a hazardous material release. Exacerbating conditions, or characteristics that can enhance or magnify the effects of a hazardous material release, include:

Weather conditions: affects how the hazard occurs and develops

<u>Micro-meteorological effects of buildings and terrain</u>: alters dispersion of hazardous materials

Non-compliance with applicable codes (e.g. building or fire codes) and maintenance failures (e.g. fire protection and containment features): can substantially increase the damage to the facility itself and to surrounding buildings.

Whether or not a hazardous materials site is contained in the SFHA is also a concern, as there could be larger-scale water contamination during a flood event should the flood compromise the production or storage of hazardous chemicals. Such a situation could swiftly move toxic chemicals throughout a water supply and across great distances.

The severity of a given incident is dependent not only on the circumstances described above, but also with the type of material released and the distance and related response time for emergency response teams. The areas within closest proximity to the releases are generally at greatest risk, yet depending on the agent, a release can travel great distances or remain present in the environment for a long period of time (e.g. centuries to millennia for radioactive materials), resulting in extensive impacts on people and the environment.

The worst case scenario for a hazardous material release occurred in February 2004 when several thousand gallons of acid leaked from a tractor-trailer stopped at a rest area in Mifflin Township. The incident took place off of I-80 and resulted in I-80 closing for a minimum of ten hours and the evacuation of 1,000 residents (CCEMA, 2007).

B. OIL AND GAS WELLS

Oil and gas well drilling, including Marcellus Shale wells, can have a variety of effects on the environment. Abandoned oil and gas wells which are not properly plugged can contaminate groundwater and consequently drinking water wells. Surface waters and soil are sometimes polluted by brine, a salty wastewater product of oil and gas well drilling, and from oil spills occurring at the drilling site or from a pipeline breach. This can spoil public drinking water

supplies and be particularly detrimental to vegetation and aquatic animals. Natural gas well fires, blowouts, and explosions are also a major concern; they occur when natural gas is ignited at the well site. Often, these fires erupt during drilling when a spark from machinery or equipment ignites the gas. The initial explosion and resulting flames have the potential to seriously injure or kill individuals in the immediate area. These fires are often difficult to extinguish due to the intensity of the flame and the abundant fuel source.

Marcellus Shale drilling has introduced a new set of hazards to the oil and gas industry in addition to the normal risks associated with the industry. The Marcellus Shale formation exists at a depth normally between 5,000 and 8,000 feet and holds trillions of cubic feet of natural gas. Extraction from this depth was previously not feasible but as drilling technology has improved over the years, recovering natural gas from Marcellus Shale is now possible (PADEP-BOGM, 2010a).

This extraction process is different from traditional natural gas extraction in that it often requires horizontal drilling. Horizontal drilling is accomplished by hydraulic fracturing which involves pumping one to eight million gallons of water, mixed with sand and other additives including hydrochloric or muriatic acid, into the shale formation. The fluid or "frac fluid" that is recovered from this process must be properly treated as the water quality is very poor.

Frac fluid is extremely saline and can be three to six times as salty as sea water. Other contaminants can include barium, bromine, lithium strontium, sulfate, ammonium and very high concentrations of total dissolved solids. There is also some concern about normally occurring radioactive materials present in shale and potentially present in recovered drilling fluid but there is very little data available on the radioactivity of frac fluid in Pennsylvania (Kirby, 2010).

Currently there is no known technology to treat water with this level of salinity (Vidic, 2010). High levels of total dissolved solids, though not harmful to humans, can be extremely harmful to aquatic life and can damage industrial equipment. Often recovered frac fluid is stored in earthen impoundments and after treatment is taken to a sewage treatment facility. There is concern surrounding the toxic solid waste that remains after frac fluid is treated.

In addition to the traditional hazards associated with oil and gas well drilling, potential impacts from Marcellus Shale gas well drilling include:

- Surface water depletion from high consumptive use with low return rates affecting drinking water supplies, and aquatic ecosystems and organisms.
- Contaminated surface and groundwater resulting from hydraulic fracturing and the recovery of contaminated hydraulic fracturing fluid.
- Mishandling of solid toxic waste.

A possible worst-case scenario for oil and gas well incidents in Columbia County would be if one of the Marcellus Shale wells in the County were to experience a blowout. This would potentially cause an explosion coupled with the release of many gallons of contaminated frac fluid into nearby land and streams. This scenario would lead to a contamination of water supplies for nearby well-dependent populations.

C. COAL MINING

Coal mining is limited to the southern portion of the county. In the past, coal mining was the leading industry in both Centralia Borough and Conyngham Township. The primary environmental impacts of coal mining include mine-related subsidence, underground mine fire, stream contamination from mine drainage, modification of vegetation, and elevation

changes. Beyond the environmental impacts, there are occupational hazards associated with coal mining, including loss of life from mine collapse, entrapment, gases, inundation, explosion, fire, equipment malfunction, or drowning.

The worst case scenario for coal mining occurred in May 1962 in Centralia Borough. In an abandoned strip mine pit, a trash fire initiated a fire that continues to burn to this day. Though great effort was expended to extinguish the fire, it spread to active coal mining operations, and then began emitting harmful carbon monoxide (CCEMA, 2007). As sinkholes threatened residential and community structures and the toxic gases continued to leak from the ground, residents began to leave. Trees began dying in the area and people fainted in their homes from the fumes. The U.S. government decided to step in and buy up the property and demolish the homes resting on unsteady ground (O'Caroll, 2010). Additionally, the fire caused the buckling of pavement of PA 54/PA 61; the road had to be rerouted to bypass the Borough entirely. A few residents refused to give up their homes and continue to live in the Borough.

4.3.12.3 Past Occurrence A. HAZARDOUS MATERIALS RELEASE

With some exceptions, the majority of incidents over the years has involved petroleum product spills along the highways or has involved leaks from a fixed source. Most of these are the result of collisions or leaks and have limited impact on people and the environment. The number and quantity of hazardous materials being produced, stored and transported continue to increase each year in Pennsylvania.

In Table 4.3.12-1, some of the past instances of vehicle accidents or fixed source leaks involving hazardous materials are listed.

Table 4.3.12-1: Previous hazardous materials incidents in Columbia County between 2001 and 2021(CCEMA, 2021).

| DATE | LOCATION | MATERIAL INVOLVED | TYPE OF INCIDENT/DETAILSa |
|-----------|-----------------------|----------------------|---|
| 7/5/2021 | Orange Township | Carbon Monoxide | Individual burned his house to the ground on purpose |
| 6/30/2021 | Locust Township | Motor Oil | 1 to 1.5 quarts of oil on the roadway for approximately 500-600 feet |
| 6/30/2021 | Scott Township | Kerosene | 5 gallons of Kerosene spilled at the PSP barracks |
| 6/29/2021 | Town of Bloomsburg | Home Heating Fuel | 80 gallons of Home Heating Fuel on the ground and in the basement of the residence from the fuel tank |
| 6/21/2021 | Mifflin Township | Diesel Fuel | 60 gallons of Diesel Fuel on the pavement at the truck stop |
| 5/24/2021 | Madison Township | Motor Oil | Farmer sprayed Motor Oil on the dusty roadway and it went into the nearby stream, one dead fish |

| 5/19/2021 | Mifflin Township | Diesel Fuel | 20 gallons of Diesel Fuel spilled on the parking lot at the rest area |
|--------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|---|
| 5/19/2021 | Town of Bloomsburg | 100LL AVGAS | 5 gallons of 100LL AVGAS leaked from a plane after it crashed |
| 3/21-22/2021 | Montour Township | Carbon Monoxide | Carbon Monoxide coming from the hot water tap in the kitchen. EPA conducted the investigation. Faulty Hot Water Heater. |
| 3/8/2021 | North Centre Township | Gasoline/Class A Foam | 10 gallons of Gasoline and some Class A Foam into a stream after the vehicle fire was extinguished |
| 2/24/2021 | Hemlock Township | Food Grease | Food grade grease left a purple sheen on the creek |
| 12/23/2020 | Orange Township | Home Heating Fuel | 200 gallons of Home Heating Fuel on the ground under the trailer. County HazMat Team mitigated the situation and conducted cleanup. |
| 12/17/2020 | Scott Township | Hydraulic Fluid | 4 gallons of Hydraulic Fluid spilled on a parking lot |
| 11/29/2020 | Scott Township | Home Heating Fuel | Unknown amount of home heating fuel spilled in the basement of a residence that burned to the ground |
| 10/26/2020 | Hemlock Township | Diesel Fuel | Diesel Fuel Spill of unknown amount into a storm drain |
| 10/18/2020 | Town of Bloomsburg | Gasoline | Jeep in Creek leaking gasoline and other fluids |
| 9/25/2020 | Catawissa Borough | Home Heating Fuel | Home Heating Fuel Tank Spill of 30 gallons at a residence after being struck by a vehicle |
| 9/16-21/2020 | Hemlock Township | Organic Peroxide | Several prescription type vials containing Organic Peroxide were found on the side of the roadway, orphan incident. HazMat Company disposed of them. |
| 9/11/2020 | Catawissa Borough | Gasoline | 10-15 gallons of gasoline spilled onto the pavement |
| 9/4/2020 | Hemlock Township | Diesel Fuel and Hydraulic Fluid | 20 gallons of Diesel Fuel and 10 gallons of Hydraulic Fluid into a stream |
| 7/12/2020 | Hemlock Township | Propane | 1000lb propane tank blowing off |
| 5/13/2020 | Montour Township | Paint | 30 gallons of polyurethane paint spilled on a bridge |
| 5/12/2020 | Scott Township | Phosgene | Two small tubes of Phosgene found in a safe in a residence |
| 3/3/2020 | Hemlock Township | Diesel Fuel | Diesel Fuel Spill of 60-100 gallons on the pavement |

| 2/26/2020 | Cleveland Township | Vehicle Fluids | Report from NRC of 80 vehicles leaking oil and fuel onto the ground and contaminating local crops |
|-------------------|--|------------------------------|---|
| 2/15/2020 | Mifflin Township | Diesel Fuel | Diesel Fuel leaked from a tractor trailer on the interstate and followed as it exited into a parking lot, grassy surfaces also affected |
| 2/13/2020 | Berwick Borough | Gasoline | Gasoline Spill of 100 gallons on the pavement and into the storm drain |
| 10/18/2019 | Berwick Borough | Sunflower Oil | Sunflower Oil Spill of an unknown amount into a storm drain (Advised by NRC) |
| 8/26/2019 | Berwick Borough | Hydraulic Oil | Hydraulic Oil Spill of 5-7 gallons on the parking lot at Weis Markets |
| 7/26-27/2019 | Briar Creek Borough | Transmission Fluid | Transmission Fluid Leak of a small amount in the McDonald's Parking lot |
| 6/10/2019 | Montour Township | Hydraulic Fuel | Hydraulic Fuel Leak of 8-10 gallons from a logging truck |
| 4/18/2019 | Catawissa Township and Town of Bloomsburg | Raw Eggs | Tractor Trail Leaking Raw Eggs leaking onto the roadway in two Municipalities |
| 4/2/2019 | Catawissa Borough | Driveway Sealer | Unknown amount of Driveway Sealer into Storm Drain |
| 2/24/2019 | Briar Creek Township | Home Heating Fuel | Home Heating Fuel Tank Spill of 250-275 gallons at the residence |
| 2/7/2019 | Briar Creek Borough | Gasoline | Gasoline Spill of 20 gallons at the gas pump at Sheetz |
| 12/18/2018 | Mifflin Township | Diesel Fuel | Diesel Fuel Leak/Spill of 100 gallons into the median |
| 11/27/2018 | Berwick Borough | Fuel Oil | Fuel Oil Leak/Spill of 100 gallons onto the ground |
| 11/19- 20/2018 | Hemlock Township | Diesel Fuel | Diesel Fuel Leak/Spill of a large amount in the parking lot and into the storm drain |
| 10/19/2018 | Catawissa Township | Motor Oil and Antifreeze | Vehicle Accident with 9 gallons of motor oil and 25-30 gallons of antifreeze on the roadway |
| 10/16/2018 | Town of Bloomsburg | Diesel Fuel | Vehicle Accident with 50 gallons of diesel fuel spilled |
| 9/25/2018 | Catawissa Borough | Gasoline | Minor Gasoline Spill of an unknown amount |
| 9/7/2018 | Berwick Borough | Unknown Petroleum Product | Unknown Petroleum Product Leak, unknown amount |

| 7/31/2018 | Hemlock Township | Unknown Fluids | Vehicle Accident/Unknown amount of unknown fluids from the vehicle on the roadway |
|--------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| 7/27/2018 | Mifflin Township | Diesel Fuel | Tractor Trailer Fire/Diesel Fuel Spill of 20-25 gallons with some entering a storm drain |
| 7/12/2018 | Town of Bloomsburg | Diesel Fuel | Tractor Trailer Fire/Diesel Fuel Spill of 150 gallons in the median |
| 7/12-13/2018 | Montour Township | Diesel Fuel | Pig Truck Accident/Diesel Fuel into Waterway |
| 6/5/2018 | Hemlock Township | Hydraulic Oil | Hydraulic Oil Spill of a very minor amount |
| 6/3/2018 | Hemlock Township | Resin | Resin Leak of an unknown amount onto the parking lot at the TA Truckstop |
| 2/1/2018 | Hemlock Township | Diesel Fuel | Vehicle Accident/Diesel Fuel Spill of 5 gallons |
| 11/17/2017 | Scott Township | Diesel Fuel | Vehicle Accident/Diesel Fuel Spill of at least 125 gallons on the roadway and into the grass |
| 9/12/2017 | South Centre Township | Diesel Fuel | Fuel Spill of 50 gallons from a tractor trailer |
| 8/26/2017 | Locust and Conyngham Townships | Diesel Fuel | Diesel Fuel Spill onto Roadway, unknown amount from a tractor trailer |
| 8/15/2017 | Town of Bloomsburg | Oil | Oil Leak into Susquehanna River of unknown amount |
| 7/20/2017 | Hemlock Township | Asphalt Emulsion | Asphalt Emulsion Spill of 100 gallons at TIER II Facility |
| 6/28/2017 | Town of Bloomsburg | Antifreeze/Diesel Fuel | Antifreeze/Diesel Fuel Leaking from Bus onto the parking lot, unknown amount |
| 6/6/2017 | Hemlock Township | Gasoline | Vehicle Accident/Fuel Spill of an unknown amount onto the roadway |
| 5/17/2017 | Scott Township | Gasoline | Vehicle Fire/Fuel Spill of an unknown amount into a storm drain |
| 4/21/2017 | Mifflin Township | Diesel Fuel | Vehicle Accident/Diesel Fuel Spill of at least 50 gallons threatening a storm drain |
| 4/8/2017 | Benton Borough | Home Heating Fuel | Fuel Tank Leaked approximately 100-125 gallons of home heating fuel |
| 2/8/2017 | Berwick Borough | Home Heating Fuel | Fuel Tank Leaked approximately 75-100 gallons of home heating fuel |
| 9/28/2016 | Town of Bloomsburg | Diesel Fuel | Odor of Diesel Fuel and a Oil Like Sheen on Fishing Creek |

| 8/23/2016 | Berwick Borough | Gasoline | Minor Fuel Spill of approximately 3 gallons of gasoline |
|------------|--------------------------|-------------------|---|
| 8/23/2016 | Berwick Borough | Gasoline | Minor Fuel Spill of approximately 3 gallons of gasoline |
| 6/16/2016 | Mifflin Township | Diesel Fuel | Approximately 7-8 gallons of Diesel Fuel was hosed into a storm drain |
| 6/6/2016 | Town of Bloomsburg | Gasoline | Gas pump leaking fuel |
| 5/27/2016 | Town of Bloomsburg | Natural Gas | Report of natural gas odor in Bloomsburg Hospital stairwell |
| 3/29/2016 | Briar Creek Township | Liquid Fertilizer | Liquid fertilizer truck leaking into a stream |
| 3/23/2016 | South Centre Township | Natural Gas | Gas leak outside of Central Columbia Middle School requiring evacuation |
| 12/29/2015 | Town of Bloomsburg | Diesel Fuel | Tractor trailer lost fuel tank spilling 20 – 25 gallons of diesel fuel |
| 7/10/2015 | Montour Township | Gasoline | Vehicle accident caused fuel to leak into the canal along the Susquehanna River |
| 7/7/2015 | Town of Bloomsburg | Gasoline | Tanker spilled approximately 30 gallons of gasoline at a gas station |
| 06/28/2015 | Scott Township | Gasoline | Vehicle fuel tank pierced and leaking fuel onto the roadway |
| 06/23/2015 | Mifflin Township | Diesel Fuel | Tractor trailer struck debris puncturing the fuel tank and leaking approximately 200 gallons of fuel on interstate 80 |
| 06/22/2015 | Locust Township | Explosives | Blasting caps found in old farm equipment shed |
| 05/13/2015 | Scott Township | Diesel Fuel | Tractor trailer leaked approximately 50 gallons into a ditch/dry creek bed |
| 05/01/2015 | Briar Creek Township | Home Heating Oil | Resident spilled approximately 1 – 2 gallons of home heating fuel in grass |
| 04/27/2015 | Mifflin Township | Diesel Fuel | Approximately 60 – 70- gallons of fuel spilled in parking lot at Exxon Station |
| 04/07/2015 | Benton Borough | Home Heating Oil | A 375 gallon residential tank tipped & spilled most of its contents into a run which lead to West Creek |
| 04/02/2015 | Orangeville Borough | Home Heating Oil | A 275 gallon residential tank leaked spilling fuel on the ground and seeping into a nearby basement |

| 01/19/2015 | Millville Borough | Home Heating Oil | 100 – 200 gallons of home heating fuel spilled and leaked into a storm drain |
|------------|---------------------------|----------------------|--|
| 11/28/2014 | Briar Creek Borough | Home Heating Oil | Residential tank tipped spilling 1000 – 150 gallons of home heating fuel into the grass |
| 06/25/2014 | Catawissa Township | Motor Oil | 1 – 2 quarts of motor oil leaked into a small creek after a vehicle accident |
| 04/01/2014 | Madison Township | Unknown Fuel | Small fuel spill from unknown source into a small stream |
| 01/25/2014 | Mifflin Township | Oil Tank | Oil tank fire near a structure |
| 01/05/2014 | Town of Bloomsburg | Gasoline | Tractor trailer rollover accident leaking fuel into Fishing Creek |
| 10/12/2013 | Scott Township | Gasoline | Tractor trailer accident with approximately 50 gallons of fuel spilled |
| 07/01/2013 | Fishing Creek Township | Home Heating Oil | A 275 gallon residential home heating fuel tank leaked approximately half its contents into the ground |
| 06/14/2013 | Town of Bloomsburg | Home Heating Oil | A home heating fuel tank was removed spilling oil onto the ground |
| 05/16/2013 | Orange Township | Unknown | A hazardous materials dumping complaint was entered by the state with no further details |
| 03/18/2013 | Scott Township | Gasoline/Diesel Fuel | Tanker truck accident resulted in diesel fuel and gasoline leaking from truck |
| 03/13/2013 | Mifflin Township | Diesel Fuel | Approximately 150 gallons of diesel fuel was spilled on the roadway from a leaking tractor trailer |
| 01/29/2013 | Berwick Borough | Natural Gas | Natural gas leak in a home |
| 01/10/2013 | Montour Township | Home Heating Oil | Approximately 150 gallons of home heating fuel leaked from an overturned residential tank |
| 12/21/2012 | Locust Twp | Heating Oil | Home heating oil tank collapsed spilling 200 gallons of kerosene onto the ground, into a storm drain and neighbors basement, DEP was advised |

| 11/13/2012 | Bloomsburg | Fuel Oil | Fuel tank knocked over by heavy winds causing a release of fuel onto the ground |
|------------|-----------------|--|---|
| 11/06/2012 | Hemlock Twp | Diesel Fuel | Diesel fuel leaking from a tractor trailer, cause unknown |
| 10/02/2012 | N Centre Twp | Heating Oil | Home heating oil spilled and soaked into the soil, 60-70 gallons |
| 06/27/2012 | Bloomsburg | Sodium Hydroxide | Water contaminated with sodium hydroxide accidentally entered the water treatment plant and then 20 gallons spilled onto the soil outside |
| 04/25/2012 | Bloomsburg | Kerosene | Kerosene onto soil from storage tank |
| 03/30/2012 | S Centre Twp | Hydraulic Oil | Hydraulic Oil spill of 25-50 gallons from a truck's broken hydraulic line |
| 03/26/2012 | Main Twp | Propane | A tire suspected of being filled with propane exploded killing one individual |
| 03/12/2012 | Catawissa Twp | Military Bomb | Individuals found a 3-4 foot long military bomb, PSP bomb squad was advised |
| 02/03/2012 | Bloomsburg | Natural Gas | Odor of natural gas outside Bloomsburg Hospital and Professional Building |
| 02/01/2012 | Berwick Borough | Mercury | Homeowner found a bottle of mercury, unopened, DEP was advised |
| 02/01/2012 | Catawissa Twp | Coal Ash | Individual burying coal ash and storing it in containers that aren't sealed properly, fly ash issues |
| 09/12/2011 | Bloomsburg | Diesel Fuel, Hydraulic Oil, and Fulpour Thermal Brake Part B (Polyol) | Release of spent hydraulic oil from storage/containment areas inside/outside, diesel fuel from storage tanks, polyol from 300 gallon chemical tote that floated inside with flood waters |
| 09/12/2011 | Berwick Borough | Carbon Dioxide | CO2 Leak from a 500 lb. tank in the BIDA Complex, 60 homes evacuated, relief valve fixed, all returned home |
| 09/09/2011 | Bloomsburg | Ammonia | Ammonia leak from a frozen food facility outside storage tank, building totally surrounded by flood waters, air monitoring was ongoing |

| 08/30/2011 | Mifflin Twp | Diesel Fuel | Ruptured fuel tank on a tractor trailer, lost 30 gallons |
|------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| 08/24/2011 | Bloomsburg | Anhydrous Ammonia | Possibly 100 plus pounds of anhydrous ammonia was released from a split in a condenser coil, no injuries or evacuations |
| 06/01/2011 | Mifflin Twp | Liquid Nitrogen Fertilizer | 50 gallons of liquid nitrogen leaked from its container, spill was secured, no waterways impacted |
| 05/22/2011 | N Centre Twp | Heating Oil | 50 gallons of home heating oil leaked from its tank into the soil, no waterways impacted |
| 02/22/2011 | Bloomsburg | Radiological materials | A student brought radiological materials to Bloomsburg High School; they were removed from the property |
| 01/07/2011 | Hemlock Twp | Liquid Nitrogen | Tractor Trailer hauling liquid nitrogen venting product from rear of trailer |
| 07/14/2010 | South Centre Township | Diesel fuel | 250 gallons of diesel fuel spilled due to a tractor trailer accident |
| 06/08/2010 | Hemlock Township | Chemical spill | A truck leaked a chemical from its cargo area |
| 03/25/2010 | Beaver Township | Heating oil | Heating oil leaked from a residential tankinto Scotch Valley Lake |
| 07/31/2009 | Bloomsburg | Chemical spill | Sulfuric acid leaked from a tanker onto the driveway at Kawneer |
| 07/13/2009 | Bloomsburg | Natural gas | A natural gas line was damaged by an excavator; a nearby student housing building on the Bloomsburg University campus was evacuated |
| 03/12/2009 | Bloomsburg | Chemical spill | Acid spilled at the Bloomsburg Hospital Medical Building |
| 08/11/2008 | North Centre Township | Dynamite | A PA State Police Bomb Team removed crystallized dynamite from a structure |
| 01/10/2008 | Unknown | Heating oil | 275 gallons of oil leaked from a residential tank into a floor drain and out into a nearby stream |

| 12/24/2007 | Briar Creek Township | Heating oil | A residential tank leaked 100 gallons of oil |
|------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| 12/22/2007 | Madison Township | Heating oil | An unknown quantity of heating oil spilled into Hunlock Creek from an overturned residentialtank |
| 12/02/2007 | Berwick Borough | Dynamite | A residential structure contained two boxes of unstable, old dynamite; an EOD unit removed the materials |
| 02/27/2007 | Unknown | Heating oil | At least 75 gallons of heating oil leaked from a basement tank into a small stream |
| 12/07/2006 | Catawissa Township | Chemical spill | 14,000 gallons of sodium hydroxide leaked from a twenty-one car derailment; regional rail traffic was shut down and SR 487 was closed for two |
| 03/01/2006 | Hemlock Township | Chemical spill | A chemical tanker overturned at Buckhorn Plaza |
| 7/05 | South Centre Township | Hazardous & Radioactive materials | Clean-up began at a EPA superfund site, Safety Light Corporation |
| 07/21/2004 | Bloomsburg | Chemical spill | At the Kawneer Plant, hydrogen peroxide leaked from several drums; the facility was evacuated |
| 07/06/2004 | Fishing Creek Township | Fuel oil | Fuel oil leaked from an overturned Montour oil delivery truck |
| 02/02/2004 | Mifflin Township | Chemical spill | Several thousand gallons of acid spilled at an I-80 rest area; I-80 closed for ten or more hours and about 1,000 local residents were evacuated |
| 10/21/2002 | Bloomsburg | Chemical spill | Spill of chemicals occurred in the basement of the Columbia County Court House; evacuation of the building followed |
| 08/23/2002 | South Centre Township | Chemical spill | Leak of chemicals occurred at Haddon Craftsman Company |
| 09/24/2010 | South Centre Township | Diesel fuel | 8,000 gallons of diesel fuel spilled from an overturned tank truck on US 11 |
| 11/13/2001 | Scott Township | Fuel oil | Oil leaked from an underground tank into a stream |

| 03/20/2001 | Bloomsburg | Chemicals | Sewer system was leaking chemical fumes; three homes evacuated |
|------------|------------|-----------|--|
|------------|------------|-----------|--|

B. OIL AND GAS WELLS

Environmental incidents including water contamination and fire spurring from oil and gas well drilling have occurred numerous times in Pennsylvania over the past century. Recently, there have been many natural gas incidents occurring in nearby counties as gas companies rush to develop the natural gas deposits from Marcellus Shale. In 1980 two people were killed in an explosion and subsequent fire during a salvage operation in Custer City in Bradford Township. The damage amounted to approximately \$700,000. Another accident near West Virginia's northern panhandle occurred in June 2010. Drillers were working on an inactive mine, and hit a deposit of methane gas, resulting in an explosion and fire. The fire rose 50 feet in the air and burned for four days, injuring seven workers with burns and damaging the drilling rig (Hopey, 2010).

In Clearfield County, high gas pressure during the fracking process caused a rupture that discharged polluted water and explosive gas for sixteen hours. Though the drilling took place in a remote area at least a mile from any homes and no one was injured, it was still a major accident where the drilling process went out of control (The Pittsburgh Channel, 2010). More recently, in April 2011, a large spill occurred in Bradford County during fracking operations, and seven families were asked to evacuate their homes. An unknown amount of contaminated fluids spilled from the well, and reportedly contaminated a local creek that runs into the Susquehanna River (Gilliland, 2011). At present, because only a few wells have been drilled in the northern regions of the County in Benton and Sugarloaf Townships, there have not been documented oil and gas well incidents, but the potential is there (CCEMA, 2011).

C. COAL MINING

While coal mining incidents were more significant in the 1800s when coal mining was the primary economic generator in southern Columbia County, there have been few recent coal mining incidents. The most recent incident that was the by-product of a coal mine was the mine fire incident occurring in 1993. Due to signs of potential collapse of the roadway, PennDOT decided to permanently close part of State Route 61 to the south of Centralia Borough as shown in Figure 4.3.12-4. As mentioned above, the Centralia Mine Fire was the worst incident to occur in the history of the County. The effects of the Centralia Mine Fire continue to impact Columbia County; in 2005, a mine-related subsidence event caused damage to Byrnesville Road, also known as Route 2002. In this event, a hole 20-25 feet deep opened in the road; the hole was filled with rock and capped with concrete and the repair closed the road for approximately three days. In total, the cost to the government for buying up the property and relocating residents and businesses was about \$40 million.



Figure 4.3.12-4: : Centralia Mine Fire damage to PA Rt. 61 (Encyclopedia of Earth, 2006)

4.3.12.4 Future Occurrence

Overall, for all three types of environmental hazards, the probability of future occurrence is *likely*; according to the Risk Factor Methodology probability criteria (see Table 4.4-1). The following sections discuss any unique factors that may impact the future occurrence of each type of environmental hazard.

A. HAZARDOUS MATERIALS RELEASE

While many incidents involving hazardous materials releases have occurred in Columbia County in the past, they are generally difficult to predict. Any occurrence is largely dependent upon the accidental or intentional actions of a person or group. Population growth, especially in areas close to transportation routes, can expose more people to these hazards if a release incident occurs. The transport, storage, and handling of hazardous materials are on the increase nationwide and with this is the potential for an increase in accidents.

B. OIL AND GAS WELLS

It is difficult to predict when and where environmental hazards will arise. Stringent monitoring through the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection will reduce the likelihood of potential impacts to the community and the environment. Incidents involving oil and gas well drilling are expected to remain relatively low, but may increase if development of Marcellus Shale continues to progress in Columbia County. Two Oil & Gas and 16 Gas well permits have been issued but only three wells have been drilled as of June 2016 (see Figure 4.3.12-5). Though Columbia County has been minimally affected so far, development in the County is likely to increase.



Figure 4.3.12-5: Map of Oil and Gas wells drilled in Columbia County (Pennsylvania



C. COAL MINING

While many incidents involving coal mining have occurred in Columbia County in the past, the mining industry is less prominent than in former days, so the chance of incidents occurring has mostly decreased. However, the risk of mine-related subsidence remains as there are still a number of coal mining operations in southern Columbia County

4.3.12.5 Vulnerability Assessment A. HAZARDOUS MATERIALS RELEASE

There is approximately 20 miles of Interstate Route 80 that crosses east to west through Columbia County. This road is a major route that traverses the state of Pennsylvania and crosses into New Jersey on the east and Ohio on the west side. Various materials and substances are transported over this road, including high level radioactive waste so it is a vulnerable corridor for hazardous waste accidents. Other vulnerable sources include three natural gas transmission lines that cross the county and travel through highly populated areas such as Bloomsburg or Berwick Borough.

Jurisdictions that are home to one or more of the SARA Title III facilities should be considered vulnerable to hazardous materials releases from fixed facilities. Table 4.3.12-2 illustrates the number of SARA Title III sites by municipality in Columbia County. Berwick Borough has the most hazardous materials facilities with six; Bloomsburg has three. Briar Creek Township and South Centre Township each have two. Benton Borough, Cleveland Township, Jackson Township, Milflin Township, Millville Borough, Orange Township, Pine Township, and Sugarloaf Township all have one. The remainder of the jurisdictions that don't have a hazardous materials facility within their borders have lower relative vulnerability to hazardous materials incidents.

Populations in and around the communities that are home to SARA Title III sites are more vulnerable to facility releases, particularly those within the evacuation/protection zone of the facility. There are 21 planning facilities within the county as of June 2016. Planning facilities are those that store, manufacture, or use extremely hazardous substances or store, manufacture, or use hazardous materials above the Threshold Planning Quantity (TPQ). Of the 21 planning facilities, only 3 have an extremely hazardous substance which has the potential to be released outside of the facility boundaries. Table 4.3.12-3 shows the number of planning facilities by jurisdiction and the number of critical facilities and population affected by a potential release at those facilities.

Jurisdictions without fixed hazardous materials facilities in general do not have vulnerable structures or critical facilities. However, it is important to note that even if a jurisdiction houses no hazardous materials sites or sites which pose little threat, it may be vulnerable to a release event occurring in an adjacent municipality. This is the case with Briar Creek Borough.

| <i>Table 4.3.12-2: Number</i> | of Hazardous Materials planning | facilities per jurisdiction |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| with associated critical j | facilities and population affected. | (Columbia County EMA & |

| MUNICIPALITY | NUMBER OF PLANNING FACILITIES | NUMBER OF CRITICAL FACILITIES AFFECTED | POTENTIAL POPULATION AFFECTED |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| Beaver Township | | | |
| Benton Borough | 1 | 5 | 1,068 |
| Benton Township | | | |
| Berwick Borough | 6 | 13 | 4,230 |
| Bloomsburg, Town of | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Briar Creek Borough | 0 | 2 | 107 |
| Briar Creek Township | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Catawissa Borough | | | |
| Catawissa Township | | | |
| Centralia Borough | | | |
| Cleveland Township | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Conyngham Township | | | |
| Fishing Creek Township | | | |
| Franklin Township | | | |
| Greenwood Township | | | |
| Hemlock Township | | | |
| Jackson Township | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Locust Township | | | |
| Madison Township | | | |
| Main Township | | | |
| Mifflin Township | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Millville Borough | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Montour Township | | | |
| Mt Pleasant Township | | | |
| North Centre Township | | | |
| Orange Township | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Orangeville Borough | | | |
| Pine Township | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Roaring Creek Township | | | |
| Scott Township | | | |
| South Centre Township | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Stillwater Borough | | | |
| Sugarloaf Township | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 21 | 20 | 5,405 |

In 2007 the Pennsylvania Emergency Management Agency rated Columbia County as having a low-to-moderate risk to both fixed hazardous material releases and releases during transit (PEMA, 2007). Transportation carriers must have response plans in place to address accidents, otherwise the local emergency response team will step in to secure and restore the area. Quick response minimizes the volume and concentration of hazardous materials that disperse through air, water and soil. Populations living within ¼ mile of major highways and railways should also be considered more vulnerable in the event of a transportation incident involving hazardous materials.

All of Bloomsburg University's campus is at equal risk from an off-campus hazardous material release. The University does have some hazardous material storage on-site, but quantities are less than 55 gallons. As a result, the University has the same vulnerability of a hazardous material release as the surrounding community.

B. OIL AND GAS WELLS

All 33 communities in Columbia County are vulnerable on some level, directly or indirectly, to environmental hazards resulting from oil and gas well activity. Surface waters closest to well sites are most vulnerable to damage and oil and gas industry workers are most likely to be affected by gas well fires.

One of the greatest fears of residents in Marcellus Shale counties is that groundwater will become contaminated as a result of developing the natural gas deposits. Groundwater is the main water supply for much of the rural communities in the County, although many of the more developed areas rely on municipal water systems.

The majority of Columbia County residents relying on groundwater obtain their water from wells drilled into bedrock. Private water supplies such as domestic drinking water wells in the vicinity of oil and gas wells are at risk of contamination from brine and other pollutants including methane which can pose a fire hazard. Ideally vulnerability of private drinking well owners would be established by comparing distance of drinking water well to known oil and gas well locations but this data is not available at this time. Currently, there are no active oil and gas wells within the county.

Sugarloaf Township has the greatest number of inactive oil and gas wells at two. If these wells were to become active wells again they may pose a threat to the residential wells in the area, however, they pose little threat at this time. The other township with inactive oil and gas wells is Jackson Township. If oil and gas wells were placed in municipalities with higher numbers of drinking water wells, the magnitude of an accident occurring would be significantly higher.

Table 4.3.12-3 shows the various types of structures underlain with the Marcellus Shale. There are a total of 20,357 structures underlain by this formation, most of which are residential structures. It is important to note that geologically, any of these structures might be vulnerable to a Marcellus Shale well incident, but the hazard does not exist until a well is drilled near these structures. As such, this overestimates vulnerability of these structure types, especially in more densely populated areas of the municipalities where it is unlikely a well would be drilled.

Eric Stahley

| Municipality | Agricultural | Commercial | Exempt | Industrial | Residential | Tower | Trailer | Utility | Vacant | Total |
|------------------------|--------------|------------|--------|------------|-------------|-------|---------|---------|--------|-------|
| Beaver Township | 148 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 265 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 12 | 465 |
| Benton Borough | 7 | 64 | 18 | 11 | 289 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 2 | 447 |
| Benton Township | 195 | 31 | 23 | 1 | 354 | 2 | 57 | 0 | 20 | 683 |
| Berwick Borough | 0 | 71 | 6 | 16 | 344 | 2 | 29 | 0 | 5 | 473 |
| Town of Bloomsburg | 0 | 66 | 40 | 12 | 504 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 7 | 641 |
| Briar Creek Borough | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| Briar Creek Township | 137 | 7 | 46 | 2 | 811 | 2 | 187 | 0 | 38 | 1230 |
| Catawissa Borough | 0 | 93 | 34 | 10 | 508 | 4 | 30 | 0 | 20 | 699 |
| Catawissa Township | 80 | 7 | 15 | 0 | 350 | 9 | 22 | 0 | 18 | 501 |
| Centralia Borough | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 4 |
| Cleveland Township | 180 | 25 | 3 | 0 | 334 | 0 | 27 | 4 | 13 | 586 |
| Conyngham Township | 180 | 8 | 27 | 0 | 371 | 0 | 27 | 1 | 13 | 627 |
| Fishing Creek Township | 273 | 3 | 20 | 1 | 401 | 1 | 67 | 1 | 31 | 798 |
| Franklin Township | 110 | 6 | 10 | 0 | 152 | 2 | 18 | 0 | 20 | 318 |
| Greenwood Township | 244 | 19 | 24 | 1 | 514 | 2 | 86 | 0 | 23 | 913 |
| Hemlock Township | 90 | 1 | 16 | 5 | 389 | 2 | 25 | 0 | 16 | 544 |
| Jackson Township | 156 | 2 | 14 | 2 | 151 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 14 | 375 |
| Locust Township | 176 | 15 | 17 | 2 | 514 | 0 | 105 | 1 | 11 | 841 |
| Madison Township | 272 | 16 | 16 | 0 | 385 | 1 | 71 | 0 | 17 | 778 |
| Main Township | 94 | 15 | 8 | 0 | 367 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 10 | 555 |
| Mifflin Township | 127 | 38 | 22 | 4 | 800 | 2 | 105 | 1 | 98 | 1197 |
| Millville Borough | 2 | 133 | 15 | 15 | 309 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 4 | 488 |
| Montour Township | 35 | 47 | 9 | 5 | 309 | 4 | 44 | 0 | 9 | 462 |
| Mt. Pleasant Township | 142 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 436 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 11 | 646 |
| North Centre Township | 106 | 5 | 191 | 9 | 564 | 3 | 229 | 0 | 10 | 1117 |

Table 4.3.12-3: Structure land use of addressable structures underlain with the Marcellus Shale. (Columbia County GIS, 2021)

Eric Stahley

| Orange Township | 88 | 88 | 10 | 3 | 361 | 0 | 199 | 1 | 21 | 771 |
|------------------------|------|-----|-----|-----|-------|----|------|----|-----|-------|
| Orangeville Borough | 3 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 138 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 163 |
| Pine Township | 210 | 6 | 11 | 0 | 251 | 3 | 57 | 0 | 27 | 565 |
| Roaring Creek Township | 190 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 130 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 20 | 384 |
| Scott Township | 3 | 151 | 26 | 1 | 947 | 1 | 202 | 0 | 11 | 1342 |
| South Centre Township | 15 | 47 | 11 | 38 | 593 | 3 | 269 | 1 | 10 | 987 |
| Stillwater Borough | 18 | 10 | 26 | 1 | 77 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 144 |
| Sugarloaf Township | 195 | 12 | 38 | 3 | 247 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 16 | 601 |
| Total | 3476 | 998 | 726 | 148 | 12165 | 45 | 2252 | 12 | 535 | 20357 |

C. COAL MINING

Columbia County's topography increases its vulnerability for land subsidence, and thus raises the risk of earth movement from the collapse of an abandoned coal mine shaft in the identified coal mine areas (CCEMA, 2007). Structures vulnerable to coal mining are vulnerable not only to mine-related subsidence but also all of the impacts described in Section 4.3.12-2. Table 4.3.12-7 shows the number of addressable structures and critical facilities within coal deposit areas. Only Conyngham Township and Centralia have structures vulnerable to coal mining incidents.

Table 4.3.12-7: Number of addressable structures and critical facilities within coal deposit areas(Columbia County GIS Dept., 2021)

| MUNICIPALITY | TOTAL ADDRESSABLE STRUCTURES | TOTAL ADDRESSABLE STRUCTURES IN COAL DEPOSIT AREAS | TOTAL ASSESSED VALUE OF ADDRESSABLE STRUCTURES IN COAL DEPOSIT AREAS | TOTAL CRITICAL FACILITIES IN COAL DEPOSIT AREAS |
|--------------------|------------------------------------|--|---|---|
| Centralia Borough | 3 | 3 | \$ 167,402.00 | 3 |
| Conyngham Township | 442 | 408 | \$8,813,746.00 | 9 |
| Total | 445 | 411 | \$8,981,148 | 12 |

4.3.13 Levee Failure

4.3.13.1 Location and Extent

Levee failures, like dam failures, have the potential to place large numbers of people and great amounts of property at risk. Unlike dams, levees are built parallel to a river or another body of water to protect the population and structures behind it from risks of casualty or damage during flooding events (FEMA, 2008). Levees do not serve a purpose beyond flood protection and, sometimes, as recreational space, unlike dams which can serve to store water or generate energy in addition to protect areas from flooding.

Levee failures can be caused by a number of factors, and they can cause catastrophic effects. Damage to the area beyond a levee if it fails could be more significant than if the levee was not present (FEMA, 2008). Levees are designed to provide a specific level of protection, so flooding events could overtop the levees if these events exceeded the levee specifications. Additionally, levees can also fail if they are allowed to decay or deteriorate, so regular maintenance of levees is critical.

According to the USACE National Levee Database, dated 2020, there are Three levees in Columbia County, shown in Figures 4.3.13-1, 4.3.13-2, and 14.3.13-3.

The first levee is located in Orange Township along Fishing Creek. The levee was built by the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection in 1972 in response to the destruction from Hurricane Agnes, but the ownership and maintenance responsibilities of the levee were

turned over to the "project sponsor" after construction. As of November 2011, Orange Township has been unable to find documentation of who the project sponsor is and thus the owner of the levee remains unknown. Action 67 in the 2017 Mitigation Action Plan sought to correct the lack of information on this and all levees located in Columbia County.

In 2016 it was found that Orange Township officials had performed the reconstruction of the levee after the failure in 2011. Township officials now own and maintain the structure.

Eric Stahley



The second levee as constructed by Kawneer, a local manufacturing facility, constructed a levee using state and federal grants. This levee is private and is not a certified flood control structure. The Kawneer Company Inc. manufacturing facility in Bloomsburg, Pennsylvania is located on the east side of Ferry Road (SR 487) between the Bloomsburg Airport and Kinney's Run, approximately 1,100 feet north of the Susquehanna River. The entire Kawneer site is located within the FEMA designated Flood Fringe. The flood stage in Bloomsburg is 19'. Since 1904, approximately 35 flood events have occurred in Bloomsburg; 23 of these have taken place since 1955.

During a typical storm and subsequent flood event, the Kawneer site experiences two waves of flooding.

The first event occurs relatively quickly after the storm as Kinney's Run floods and overtops its banks but has limited out of bank flooding on the Kawneer property. After flash flooding from Kinney's Run has subsided, the Susquehanna River begins to rise. Water backs up from the mouth of Kinney's Run through the Town Park, under Catherine Street, under Ferry Road and onto the Kawneer site. Additional water also flows back to the site through an overflow culvert that runs under the airport runway to the river. This culvert was originally intended to mitigate flooding on Kinney's Run during large storm events by diverting water away from residential areas downstream. However, the elevation and size of the pipe, along with the fact that no backflow prevention device exists proves to be problematic during flood events occurring on the Susquehanna River. For higher elevation flood events the Susquehanna River inundates the site by overtopping the airport property.

Because of the extensive history of flooding, Kawneer maintains records of critical points on their site and at what flood stage they experience flooding. Any flood event over a stage of 19.7 feet closes the bridge on Ferry Road (SR 487), cutting off access to the plant from north, which includes the Town of Bloomsburg and connections to Interstate 80. Most of the flood events since 1955 have closed Ferry Road.

While the flooding and closure of Ferry Road is a major concern of Kawneer, other issues exist. Two sets of natural gas regulators are located on the north side of the building. When any flooding of this area is forecast, the gas company, PG Energy turns off the gas supply to Kawneer for fear that they will not be able to access the regulators in the case of a leak or rupture. Shutdown of the 'natural gas service requires the plant to close down some of their internal systems, which could take up to two weeks to return to service after they are restarted.

Updated FEMA flood mapping elevations estimate the 1% chance annual flood height to be between 1.3' and 1.8' higher than what was estimated in the original FEMA Flood Insurance Study. Officials from the USACE indicated that these increases are the result of better mapping for the Bloomsburg area and refined flood models for the Susquehanna River.



Figure 4.3.13-2: Approximate location of Kawneer Floodwall (Columbia County Resiliency, 2021)

The third levee is part of the newly constructed Bloomsburg Flood Risk Management System and was constructed using a combination of state and federal grants and local government and industry contributions.

The Bloomsburg Flood Risk Management System is an accredited system that is located approximately 1,000 feet North of the right bank of the Susquehanna River in the Town of Bloomsburg, Columbia County, Pennsylvania, which is the north central portion of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania approximately 40 miles west of Scranton and 90 miles northwest of Harrisburg, Pennsylvania. The project is in the Upper Susquehanna Basin along the North Branch of the Susquehanna River, approximately 8 miles above its confluence with the West Branch at Sunbury. The System is located along the Susquehanna River, in the Town of Bloomsburg, Columbia County, Pennsylvania. The project includes 5,700 feet of levees and flood walls extending from Railroad Street (near Fifth Street) to Barton Street (near West Ninth Street) approximately 1,000 feet north of the right bank of the river. The entire levee system is located out of the floodways for Fishing Creek and the Susquehanna River.

The Bloomsburg Flood Risk Management Project consists of the following Flood Risk Management System components: levee embankments; floodwalls; closure structures; pumping stations; control (drainage) structures (outfalls; culverts; sluice gates).

The Bloomsburg area has had several damaging flood events over the years, including the flood of 2011, which prompted the securing of Federal, State and Local industry funding, to construct the project. Significant flooding was also experienced in June 1972. Tropical Storm Lee crested
at a stage of 32.75 feet within the project area which caused significant damage to the town and the Autoneum and Windsor manufacturing facilities.

Prior to the Lee Flood of 2011, response to previous flood events, the USACE conducted a study, to evaluate construction of a Flood Risk Management System to protect against a recurrence interval of a 100-year storm. The study included preparation of General Design Memorandums (GDM), and an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for evaluation of the engineering, economic, and environmental feasibility of constructing the desired level of protection. The Project benefit to cost ratio did not meet federal criteria for Federal funding, thus, the project was not authorized.

The following existing upstream reservoirs provide additional flood risk management for the main stem of the Susquehanna River upstream of Bloom: Stillwater Lake; Aylesworth Creek Lake; Arkport Dam; East Sidney, Whitney Point; Almond Lake, Tioga-Hammond; and Cowanesque Dam. Tioga-Hammond Dam and Cowanesque Dam were built after Tropical Storm Agnes (1972).

Figure 4.3.13-3: Bloomsburg Flood Risk Management Project (Columbia County Resiliency, 2020)





4.3.13.2 Range of Magnitude

A levee failure or breach causes flooding in land areas adjacent to the structure. The failure of a levee or other flood protection structure could be devastating depending on the level of flooding for which the structure is designed and the amount of land development present. Large volumes of water may be moving at high velocities, potentially causing severe damage to buildings, infrastructure, trees and other large objects.

The environmental impacts of a levee failure result in significant water quality and debris disposal issues. Flood waters will back up sanitary sewer systems and inundate waste water treatment plants, causing raw sewage to contaminate residential and commercial buildings and the flooding waterway. The contents of unsecured containers of oil, fertilizers, pesticides and other chemicals get added to flood waters. Hazardous materials may be released and distributed widely across the floodplain. Water supplies and waste water treatment could be off- line for weeks. After the flood waters subside, contaminated and flood damaged building materials and contents must be properly disposed. Contaminated sediment must be removed from buildings, yards and properties. In addition, severe erosion is likely which can impact local ecosystems.

Levee failures are generally worse when they occur abruptly with little warning and result in deep, fast-moving water through developed areas. The worst case event for levee failure in Columbia County occurred during Tropical Storm Lee. According to the CCEMA, a portion of the Fishing Creek Levee washed away during the flooding associated with Lee, taking one residence and one cabin with it. There were no injuries or deaths associated with this levee breach, but those two structures were completely destroyed and the remaining nine homes and two businesses behind the levee experienced significant flood-related damages. The repairs to the levee itself cost approximately \$30,000

The effects of this levee failure, while devastating to those living in the surrounding community, are financially small compared to what could potentially take place if there were a failure of one of the levees in the town of Bloomsburg. Both levees in the Town of Bloomsburg protect major manufacturing businesses and the economic loss to our community would be significant.

4.3.13.3 Past Occurrence

As described in Section 4.3.13.2, there has been one levee failure in Columbia County. During Tropical Storm Lee, which occurred from September 3-9, 2011, the levee failed, flooding the entire area behind it, destroying one home and one cabin and causing severe flood-related damages. The levee has since been repaired by Orange Township.

4.3.13.4 Future Occurrence

Similarly, to dam failures, given certain circumstances, levee failures can occur at any time. However, the probability of future occurrence can be reduced through proper design, construction and maintenance measures. Most levees are designed to meet a specified level of flooding. While FEMA focuses on mapping levees that will reduce the risk of a 1%-annualchance flood, other levees may be designed to protect against smaller or larger floods. Design specifications provide information on the percent-annual-chance flood a structure is expected to withstand, provided that it has been adequately constructed and maintained.

In addition, nonstructural flood risk management measures, such as flood forecasting and warning systems, have been evaluated and implemented. A flood forecasting and warning

system is an integral part of any system, serving to further reduce flood damage and loss of life. A Flood Warning and Response System (FWRS) for use on the Susquehanna River in the Bloomsburg area of Pennsylvania was developed by the Susquehanna River Basin Commission and the National Weather Service and deployed in 1980. The system is being continuously updated and enhanced.

A flood forecasting and warning system is an integral part of the flood risk management project. To reduce damages and prevent loss of life, actions are required to warn and/or evacuate people should the anticipation of a flood condition be warranted. These actions should be planned in advance to the maximum extent practical. The County Emergency Management Plan (CEMP) helps to prepare for and manage any natural disaster or emergency that may occur in Columbia County. The County and Operator will coordinate with the National Weather Service (NWS) to monitor NWS forecasting of river stages. The CEMA works to ensure that residents of the County are well informed and prepared for emergency conditions that may be encountered. The CEMA also coordinates with and obtains flood data from the Susquehanna River Basin Commission Flood Warning and Response System (FWRS) which covers the main stem of the Susquehanna River in northeast and central Pennsylvania. The FWRS provides accurate and timely warnings that maximize response time for emergency management officials and floodplain residents.

While the network of data available for forecasting anticipated flood stages along the Susquehanna River is adequate, there is little forecasting data available for Fishing Creek. A majority of the new Flood Risk Management Project is designed to protect entities located in the floodplain and the confluence of Fishing Creek and the Susquehanna River. Two recently installed USGS creek gauges, one at Grassmere Park and on at the base of Jonestown Mountain, allow the operator of the Flood Control structures, as well as EMA staff, to more accurately predict the timing, duration, and the estimated flood elevations occurring on Fishing Creek during an event. This increased monitoring allows us to more accurately respond to flooding by activating the flood control structures associated with the flood control project at the appropriate time, thereby limiting the amount of damage done by a flood event.

If the levees in Columbia County are properly maintained and adequate warning is received to allow for the activation of the flood control structures the future occurrence of levee failure will continue to be considered *unlikely* as defined by the Risk Factor Methodology probability criteria (see Table 4.4-1).

4.3.13.5 Vulnerability Assessment

After the devastation caused by Tropical Storm Lee, nine homes and two businesses remain behind the Fishing Creek Levee. These structures, along with any structures that may be constructed or re-constructed behind the levee as a part of the Tropical Storm Lee recovery effort, are considered vulnerable to levee failure in Columbia County.

According to Orange Township officials, as of 2016, there are currently 11 homes and 2 businesses behind the levee that remain vulnerable to levee failure at this location. Table 4.3.13-1 below outlines the values of commercial and residential structures that sit behind the three levees within the county. These figures are full values of the properties and while we may not see a total loss in any levee failure, this gives a picture of what the loss could be in case of total devastation due to a catastrophic failure.

Table 4.3.13-1: Value of residential and commercial structures protected by levees(Columbia County GIS, 2021)

| Structure | Number and t Residential | ype of structures Commercial | Value of properties |
|--|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|
| Orangeville Levee | 11 | 2 | \$416,254 |
| Kawneer | 0 | 1 | \$3,286,707 |
| Bloomsburg Flood Risk Management Project (with expansion) | 78 | 5 | \$50,608,847 |

4.3.14 Nuclear Incidents

4.3.14.1 Location and Extent

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission encourages the use of Probabilistic Risk Assessments (PRA) to estimate quantitatively the potential risk to public health and safety considering the design, operations and maintenance practices at nuclear power plants. PRAs typically focus on accidents that can severely damage the core and that may challenge containment. FEMA, PEMA and county governments have formulated Radiological Emergency Response Plans to prepare for radiological emergencies at the five nuclear power generating facilities in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. These plans include a *Plume Exposure Pathway Emergency Planning Zone (EPZ)* with a radius of ten miles from each nuclear power facility and an *Ingestion Exposure Pathway EPZ* with a radius of fifty miles from each facility.

Columbia County is affected by both the ten-mile Plume Exposure Pathway EPZ and fifty-mile Ingestion Exposure Pathway EPZ for the Susquehanna Steam Electric Station nuclear facility, located less than five miles east of the boundary of Columbia County, along the Susquehanna River in Luzerne County, PA. Eight Columbia County municipalities are wholly or partially within the 10-mile *Plume Exposure Pathway Emergency Planning Zone* (EPZ) of the Susquehanna Steam Electric Station: Beaver Township, Berwick Borough, Briar Creek Borough, Briar Creek Township, Fishing Creek Township, Mifflin Township, North Centre Township, and South Centre Township. The entire County is within the *Ingestion Exposure Pathway* 50-mile (EPZ) for Susquehanna Steam Electric Station.

Figure 4.3.10-1 shows the population density and location of Columbia County in relation to the nuclear power facilities located in Pennsylvania. A very small portion of both Cleveland and Conyngham Townships are within 50 miles of Three Mile Island (located in Dauphin

County, PA). The remaining three nuclear plants in Pennsylvania are more than fifty miles away from Columbia County. This distance exceeds the Plume Exposure and Ingestion Exposure Pathway EPZs for nuclear emergencies; therefore, these facilities are considered a minimal threat to the County. However, in the event of an emergency, evacuees from distant EPZs may seek shelter in Columbia County.





4.3.14.2 Range of Magnitude

The magnitude of a nuclear incident differs for those within the Plume Exposure Pathway EPZ and those within the Ingestion Exposure Pathway EPZ. The Plume Exposure Pathway refers to whole-body external exposure to gamma radiation from a radioactive plume and from deposited materials and inhalation exposure from the passing radioactive plume. The duration of primary exposures could range in length from hours to days. The Ingestion Exposure Pathway refers to exposure primarily from ingestion of water or foods such as milk and fresh vegetables that have been contaminated with radiation.

Nuclear accidents themselves are classified into three categories:

- <u>Criticality accidents</u>: Involves loss of control of nuclear assemblies or power reactors
- <u>Loss-of-coolant accidents</u>: Occurs whenever a reactor coolant system experiences a break or opening large enough so that the coolant inventory in the system cannot be maintained by the normally operating make-up system.
- <u>Loss-of-containment accidents</u>: Involves the release of radioactivity from materials such as tritium, fission products, plutonium, and natural, depleted, or enriched uranium. Points of release have been containment vessels at fixed facilities or damaged packages during transportation accidents.

Nuclear facilities must notify the appropriate authorities in the event of an accident. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission uses four classification levels for nuclear incidents (Nuclear Regulatory Commission, 2014):

- <u>Unusual Event</u>: Under this category, events are in process or have occurred which indicate potential degradation in the level of safety of the plant. No release of radioactive material requiring offsite response or monitoring is expected unless further degradation occurs.
- <u>Alert</u>: If an alert is declared, events are in process or have occurred which involve an actual or potential substantial degradation in the level of safety of the plant. Any releases of radioactive material from the plant are expected to be limited to a small fraction of the EPA Protective Action Guides.
- <u>Site Area Emergency</u>: A site area emergency involves events in process or which have occurred that result in actual or likely major failures of plant functions needed for protection of the public. Any releases of radioactive material are not expected to exceed the EPA PAGs except near the site boundary.
- <u>General Emergency</u>: A general emergency involves actual or imminent substantial core damage or melting of reactor fuel with the potential for loss of containment integrity. Radioactive releases during a general emergency can reasonably be expected to exceed the EPA PAGs for more than the immediate site area.

After a nuclear incident, the primary concern is the effect on the health of the population near the incident. The duration of primary exposure could range in length from hours to months depending on the proximity to the point of radioactive release. External radiation and inhalation and ingestion of radioactive isotopes can cause acute health effects (e.g. death, severe health impairment), chronic health effects (e.g. cancers) and psychological effects. Additional potential impacts include the long-term effects of environmental or agricultural radioactive contamination.

Potential environmental impacts specific to the 50-mile Ingestion Exposure Pathway EPZ include the long-term effects of radioactive contamination in the environment and in agricultural products. Columbia County can expect some radioactive contamination in the case of a nuclear incident, and even a small amount of radiation will require protection of the food chain, particularly milk supplies. Small amounts of radiation ingested over time could lead to future health issues. As a result, in the case of a nuclear incident, foodstuffs, crops, milk, livestock feed and storage, and farm water supplies will need to be protected from and tested for contamination. Additionally, spills and releases of radioactive materials from accidents can result in the contamination of soil and public water supplies. Areas underlain by limestone and some types of glacial sediments are particularly susceptible to contamination.

The accident at the Three Mile Island Generating Station in March 1979 remains the nation's only nuclear incident at the *General Emergency level* and remains the worst nuclear incident on record in the Commonwealth and the nation. During this incident, equipment malfunctions, design-related problems, and worker errors led to a partial meltdown of the Three Mile Island Unit 2 reactor core at Three Mile Island. A worst-case scenario in Columbia County would be if a General Emergency were to occur at the Susquehanna Steam Electric Station, leading to a release of radioactivity, triggering an evacuation, and causing long-term health and food supply contamination.

The nuclear industry has adopted pre-determined, site-specific Emergency Action Levels (EALs). The EALs provide the framework and guidance to observe, address, and classify the severity of site-specific events and conditions that are communicated to off-site emergency response organizations (Nuclear Regulatory Commission, 2015). There are additional EALs that specifically deal with issues of security, such as threats of airborne attack, hostile action within the facility, or facility attack. These EALs ensure that appropriate notifications for the security threat are made in a timely manner. Each facility is also equipped with a public alerting system, which includes a number of sirens to alert the public located in the Plume Ingestion Pathway EPZ. This alerting system is activated by the counties of each specific EPZ. Emergency notifications and instructions are communicated to the public via the Emergency Alert System as activated by the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Emergency Operations Center. State officials also have the capability to send emergency messages as text messages to mobile devices.

4.3.14.3 Past Occurrence

There have been two nuclear incidents above the *Alert* classification in the United States. In March 1979, a *Site Area Emergency* event occurred at Three Mile Island - Unit 2. This event is the most serious commercial nuclear accident in United States history. The resulting contamination and state of the reactor core led to the development of a ten-year cleanup and scientific effort. Despite the severity of the damage, no injuries due to radiation exposure occurred.

A second *Site Area Emergency* at Georgia Power Company's Vogtle Nuclear Plant on March 20, 1990. This event occurred when a truck struck a support column and power was cut to Unit 1. The Site Area Emergency was declared when power couldn't be restored after 15 minutes as the policy required. The Site Area Emergency was downgraded to an Alert after power was restored a short time later (Rossi, 2015).

There have been a number of minor incidents at the Susquehanna Steam Electric Station according to the Columbia County EMA. Recently, there have been six **Unusual Events**: two

in 2003, and one each in 2004, 2005, 2011, and 2013 and three **Alerts**: one each in 2006, 2008, and 2010.

4.3.14.4 Future Occurrence

Pennsylvania is home to the only nuclear power plant General Emergency in the nation. Since the Three Mile Island incident, nuclear power has become significantly safer and is one of the most heavily regulated industries in the nation. Despite the knowledge gained since then, there is still the potential for a similar accident to occur again at one of the five nuclear generating facilities in the Commonwealth, or at the out-of-state facilities which are close to Pennsylvania. The Nuclear Energy Agency of the Organization for Economic Co-Operation and Development notes that studies estimate the chance of failure of protective barriers in a modern nuclear facility at less than one in 100,000 per year (Nuclear Energy Agency, 2005)

Across the United States, a number of *Unusual Event* and *Alert* classification level events occur each year at the 100+ nuclear facilities that warrant notification of local emergency managers. Of these, *Alert* emergencies occur less frequently. Based on historical events, *Site Area Emergency* and *General Emergency* incidents are very rare. As a result, the probability of Columbia County experiencing a nuclear incident can be considered *unlikely* as defined by the Risk Factor "probability" criteria (see Table 4.4-1).

4.3.14.5 Vulnerability Assessment

As described in Section 4.3.14.1, eight municipalities in Columbia County are located within the 10-mile EPZ of the Susquehanna Steam Electric Station. The 10-mile EPZ and their more than 20,000 residents are considered vulnerable to direct radiation exposure if a significant event were to occur. Figure 4.3.14-2 shows the evacuation plan map that would be used in the event an evacuation from the nuclear facility would be necessary.



Figure 4.3.14-2: Evacuation plan map for the Susquehanna Steam Electric Station area (Talen Energy, 2021)

Much of Columbia County is outside of the emergency evacuation zone; however, the entire County would be affected on some level by such an event as is therefore considered at risk. The particular concern outside the 10-mile evacuation zone, as stated in Section 4.3.10.2, is food, soil, and water contamination. In terms of vulnerable land, the 106,748 acres of farmland held in Columbia County's 779 farms is vulnerable to radiological contamination in a nuclear incident. In 2017, the market value of all agricultural products of these farms exceeded \$67.2 million.

Water contamination is also a concern in nuclear incidents. Public water supplies, coupled with the County's domestic drinking water wells are all vulnerable to the effects of a nuclear incident. While unlikely that all agricultural products would be lost in the event of a nuclear incident, the County could expect some portion of that \$67.2 million to be lost. Time of year also impacts the vulnerability and losses estimated for a nuclear incident; an incident that occurs during the prime growing and harvesting season will have a larger impact on the County. For example, the incident at Three Mile Island occurred in the off-season; as a result, the Pennsylvania Department of Agriculture estimated that agricultural losses for the entire Commonwealth were not more than \$1 million.

Bloomsburg University is within the 50-mile EPZ of the Susquehanna Steam Electric Station, so its vulnerability to a nuclear incident is equal to the rest of the jurisdictions in the 50-mile EPZ.

4.3.15 Utility Interruption

4.3.15.1 Location and Extent

Utility interruptions in Columbia County include disruptions in fuel, water, electric and telecommunications capabilities in the County, but the primary focus is on electric power failures. Utility interruptions are often a secondary impact of another hazard; for example, many wind storm events previously experienced in Columbia County have led to widespread power outages. Severe thunderstorms, tornados, and winter storms can also lead to more regional utility interruptions, while localized outages can be caused by traffic accidents or wind damage. Heat waves may also result in rolling blackouts where power may not be available for an extended period of time. Utility interruptions have the potential to take place throughout the County.

4.3.15.2 Range of Magnitude

Most severe utility interruptions and power failures are regional events. A loss of utilities can have numerous impacts including, but not limited to, food spoilage, loss of water supply (either because of a damaged pipeline or well pump failure), loss of heating or air conditioning, basement flooding (sump pump failure), lack of indoor lighting, and lack of telephone and internet service. These issues range from a minor nuisance to a full hazard event, but the degree of damage or harm depends on the population affected and the severity of the outage. For example, loss of heating and cooling capability is more dangerous in the winter and summer months, when heat sensitive populations like the elderly count on utilities to maintain a safe temperature.

At a minimum, utility interruptions can cause short term disruption in the orderly functioning of business, government, and private citizen functioning and activities like traffic signals, elevators, and retail sales. A possible worst-case scenario for a utility interruption would be if a winter storm caused a long-term regional utility outage, impairing the County's ability to function. An outage like this could cause injury or death, especially to the elderly, as people's ability to heat their homes would be impaired.

4.3.15.3 Past Occurrence

In Columbia County, minor utility interruptions occur annually, most often in conjunction with winter storms and wind storms. There is no complete or comprehensive list of utility interruption events for the County. Table 4.3.15-1 outlines utility interruption in Columbia County between 2002 and 2009. This data has not been recorded since that time, Table 4.3.15-2 outlines major outages from 2009 until 2021.

| Table 4.3.15-1: | Utility interruption at Columbia County from 20 | 002-2009 (PEIRS, 2002-09). |
|-----------------|---|----------------------------|
| DATE | LOCATION | TYPE OF INTERRUPTION |
| 01/07/2002 | Greenwood Township | Power Outage |
| 03/21/2002 | Berwick | Power Outage |
| 03/22/2002 | Beaver Township | Phone Outage |
| 07/13/2003 | Scott Township | Power Outage |
| 08/11/2003 | Scott Township | Power Outage |
| 02/07/2004 | Mifflin Township | Water Shortage |
| 12/07/2004 | Millville | Power Outage |
| 02/07/2005 | Berwick | Power Outage |
| 03/24/2005 | Briar Creek | Power Outage |
| 04/28/2005 | Bloomsburg | Power Outage |
| 07/13/2005 | Bloomsburg | Power Outage |
| 06/02/2006 | Bloomsburg | Power Outage |
| 09/02/2006 | Berwick | Power Outage |
| 09/06/2006 | Scott Township | Power Outage |
| 10/23/2006 | Franklin Township | Water Shortage |
| 07/31/2007 | South Centre Township | Water Main Break |
| 11/19/2007 | Fishing Creek Township | Power Outage |
| 06/10/2008 | Bloomsburg | Power Outage |
| 06/16/2008 | Berwick | Power Outage |
| 09/12/2008 | Millville | Power Outage |
| 12/10/2008 | Hemlock Township | Power Outage |
| 05/21/2009 | Catawissa Township | Phone Outage |

Table 4.3.15-2: Major Utility Interruptions in Columbia County from 2009 until 2021(Columbia County EMA, 2009-21).

| DATE | LOCATIONS | TYPE OF INTERRUPTION |
|----------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| 3-14-10 | Sugarloaf Twp | Power Outage |
| 11-30-10 | Scott Twp | Power Outage |
| 8-28-11 | County Wide | Power Outage |
| 10-30-11 | Locust Twp | Power Outage |
| 5-3-12 | Bloomsburg | Power Outage |
| 10-30-12 | Sugarloaf Twp | Phone Outage |
| 9-2-13 | Sugarloaf Twp | Phone Outage |
| 9-11-13 | Bloomsburg | Power Outage |
| 1-26-14 | Bloomsburg | Water Shortage |
| 5-27-15 | Bloomsburg/Scott Twp | Power Outage |

| 10-28-15 | County Wide | Power Outage |
|----------|---|-------------------|
| 12-8-15 | Bloomsburg/Berwick Borough | Phone Outage |
| 6/16/16 | Bloomsburg to Berwick Borough | Power Outage |
| 6/30/16 | Bloomsburg, Hemlock Township, Mount Pleasant Twp. | Power Outage |
| 3/2/18 | Countywide | Cell Phone Outage |
| 6/24/18 | Countywide | Verizon Wireless |
| | | Outage |
| 1/31/19 | Southern Columbia County | Power Outage |

4.3.15.4 Future Occurrence

Minor, short-term utility interruptions may occur several times a year for any given area in the County, while major, long-term events may take place once every few years, but utility interruptions are difficult to predict. However, because utility interruptions are frequent by-products of severe weather events, citizens should prepare for them during severe storms. Therefore, the future occurrence of utility interruptions should be considered *possible* as defined by the Risk Factor ranking probability criteria (see Table 4.4-1).

4.3.15.5 Vulnerability Assessment

Although the risk for future occurrence of utility interruptions is high across Columbia County due to the frequency of contributing factors – namely, severe weather – these interruptions are usually short lived. Hospitals and emergency medical facilities as well as retirement homes and senior centers are particularly vulnerable to power outages. While back-up power generators are often used at these facilities, loss of electricity may result in hot or cold temperatures for which elderly populations are particularly vulnerable.

4.4. Hazard Vulnerability Summary

4.4.1. Methodology

Ranking hazards helps communities set goals and priorities for mitigation based on their vulnerabilities. A Risk Factor (RF) is a tool used to measure the degree of risk for identified hazards in a particular planning area. The RF can also be used to assist local community officials in ranking and prioritizing those hazards that pose the most significant threat to their area based on a variety of factors deemed important by the planning team and other stakeholders involved in the hazard mitigation planning process. The RF system relies mainly on historical data, local knowledge, general consensus opinions from the planning team and information collected through development of the hazard profiles included in Section 4.3. The RF approach produces numerical values that allow identified hazards to be ranked against one another; the higher the RF value, the greater the hazard risk.

RF values were obtained by assigning varying degrees of risk to five categories for each of the thirteen hazards profiled in the 2017 HMPU. Those categories include: *probability, impact, spatial extent, warning time* and *duration*. Each degree of risk was assigned a value ranging from 1 to 4. The weighting factor is shown in Table 4.4-1. To calculate the RF value for a given hazard, the assigned risk value for each category was multiplied by the weighting factor. The sum of all five categories equals the final RF value, as demonstrated in the example equation:

Risk Factor Value = [(Probability x .30) + (Impact x .30) + (Spatial Extent x .20) + (Warning Time x .10) + (Duration x .10)]

Table 4.4-1 summarizes each of the five categories used for calculating a RF for each hazard. According to the weighting scheme applied, the highest possible RF value is 4.0.

Eric Stahley

| Table 4.4-1: Sum | nmary of Risk Factor | approach used to r | ank hazard risk. | | |
|--|----------------------|--|--|-------|--------|
| RISK | | DEGREE OF R | ISK | | WEIGHT |
| CATEGORY | LEVEL | CRIT | ERIA | INDEX | VALUE |
| | UNLIKELY | LESS THAN 1% ANNUA | 1 | | |
| PROBABILITY | POSSIBLE | BETWEEN 1% & 49.9% | 2 | | |
| What is the likelihood of a hazard event | LIKELY | BETWEEN 50% & 90% A | 3 | 30% | |
| year? | HIGHLY LIKELY | GREATER THAN 90% A VERY FEW INJURIES, II PROPERTY DAMAGE & ON QUALITY OF LIFE. | NNUAL PROBABILITY FANY. ONLY MINOR MINIMAL DISRUPTION TEMPORARY | 4 | |
| | MINOR | SHUTDOWN OF CRITIC INJURIES ONLY. MORE | AL FACILITIES. MINOR THAN 10% OF | 1 | |
| ІМРАСТ | LIMITED | PROPERTY IN AFFECT OR DESTROYED. COM OF CRITICAL FACILITIE ONE DAY. | ED AREA DAMAGED PLETE SHUTDOWN S FOR MORE THAN | 2 | |
| In terms of injuries, damage, or death, would you anticipate impacts to be minor, limited, critical, or catastrophic when a | CRITICAL | MULTIPLE DEATHS/INJ MORE THAN 25% OF PI AFFECTED AREA DAM DESTROYED. COMPLE CRITICAL FACILITIES F WEEK. | 3 | 30% | |
| event occurs? | CATASTROPHIC | HIGH NUMBER OF DEA POSSIBLE. MORE THA IN AFFECTED AREA DA DESTROYED. COMPLE CRITICAL FACILITIES F | 4 | | |
| SPATIAL EXTENT | NEGLIGIBLE | LESS THAN 1% OF ARE | A AFFECTED | 1 | |
| How large of an area could be impacted by | SMALL | BETWEEN 1 & 10.9% O | F AREA AFFECTED | 2 | 000/ |
| a hazard event? Are impacts localized or | MODERATE | BETWEEN 11 & 25% OF | AREA AFFECTED | 3 | 20% |
| regional? | LARGE | GREATER THAN 25% C | F AREA AFFECTED | 4 | |
| WARNING TIME | MORE THAN 24 HRS | SELF-DEFINED | (Note - Level of | 1 | |
| Is there usually some lead time associated | 12 TO 24 HRS | SELF-DEFINED | criteria that define | 2 | 400/ |
| with the hazard event? Have warning | 6 TO 12 HRS | SELF-DEFINED | them may be | 3 | 10% |
| measures been implemented? | LESS THAN 6 HRS | SELF-DEFINED | hazard addressed.) | 4 | |
| | LESS THAN 6 HRS | SELF-DEFINED | (Note - Level of | 1 | |
| DURATION How long does the hazard event usually last? | LESS THAN 24 HRS | SELF-DEFINED | warning time and criteria that define | 2 | |
| | LESS THAN 1 WEEK | SELF-DEFINED | them may be adjusted based on | 3 | 10% |
| | MORE THAN 1 WEEK | SELF-DEFINED | hazard addressed.) | 4 | |

4.4.2. Ranking Results

Using the methodology described in Section 4.4.1, Table 4.4-2 lists the Risk Factor calculated for each of the eleven potential hazards identified in the 2017 HMPU. Hazards identified as high risk have risk factors equal to or greater than 2.5. Risk Factors ranging from 2.0 to 2.4 were deemed moderate risk hazards. Hazards with Risk Factors 1.9 and less are considered low risk. Members of the HMPT were given the opportunity to review RF rankings and suggest changes on Part I the Countywide and Jurisdictional Risk Factor Evaluation Form; all changes have been incorporated into the final RF rankings.

| Table 4.4- | 2: Ranking of hazard types based | on Risk Factor | r method | ology. | | | |
|------------|--|----------------|-----------|-------------------|-----------------|----------|--------|
| HAZARD | HAZARD NATURAL (N) or MAN- | F | RISK ASSE | SSMENT (| ATEGORY | | RISK |
| RISK | MADE (M) | PROBABILITY | IMPACT | SPATIAL EXTENT | WARNING TIME | DURATION | FACTOR |
| | Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam (N) | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3.2 |
| НIJ | Environmental Hazards (M) | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 3.0 |
| Ŧ | Winter Storm (N) | 4 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2.9 |
| | Tornado, Windstorm (N) | 4 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2.7 |
| ш | Nuclear Incident (M) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 2.3 |
| ERAT | Dam Failure (M) | 1 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2.2 |
| NODE | Drought (N) | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 2.2 |
| 2 | Utility Interruption (M) | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2.0 |
| | Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter (N) | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1.9 |
| | Landslide (N) | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1.9 |
| Ş | Radon Exposure (N) | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 1.9 |
| LO LO | Levee Failure (M) | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 1.8 |
| | Pandemic (N) | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1.8 |
| | Wildfire (N) | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1.7 |
| | Earthquake (N) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1.3 |

Based on these results, there are four high risk hazards, four moderate risk hazards and seven low risk hazards in Columbia County. Mitigation actions were developed for all high, moderate, and low risk hazards (see Section 6.4). Mitigation actions related to future public outreach and emergency service activities are identified to address low risk hazard events.

A risk assessment result for the entire county does not mean that each municipality is at the same amount of risk to each hazard. Table 4.4-3 shows the different municipalities in Columbia County and whether their risk is greater than (>), less than (<), or equal to (=) the risk factor assigned to the County as a whole. This table was developed by the consultant team based on the findings in the hazard profiles of Section 4.3. Municipal officials had the opportunity to review the findings and make changes at the Risk Assessment Workshop using the Countywide and Jurisdictional Risk Factor Evaluation Form. Those changes are reflected in the table and comments can be viewed in **Appendix C**.

| Sable 4.4-3: Calculated Countywide Risk Factor by hazard and Comparative Jurisdictional Risk. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|--------------------|------------------|-------------|---------|----------------------|--|-----------|----------------|---------------|----------|----------|------------|----------|
| | Flood, Flash Flood, lce Jam | Environmental Hazards | Winter Storm | Tornado, Windstorm | Nuclear Incident | Dam Failure | Drought | Utility Interruption | Hurricane, Tropical Strom, Nor Easter | Landslide | Radon Exposure | Levee Failure | Pandemic | Wildfire | Earthquake | Comments |
| | 3.2 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.3 | |
| Beaver Township | = | = | = | = | > | > | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | > | = | |
| Benton Borough | > | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | < | = | = | = | > | = | |
| Benton Township | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | < | = | = | = | < | = | |
| Berwick Borough | = | = | = | = | > | > | = | = | = | = | = | = | > | = | = | |
| Bloomsburg, Town of | > | = | = | = | = | < | = | > | = | = | = | > | > | < | = | |
| Bloomsburg University | < | = | = | = | = | < | = | = | = | = | > | = | > | < | = | |
| Briar Creek Borough | = | = | = | = | > | > | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | < | = | |
| Briar Creek Township | = | = | = | = | > | > | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | > | = | |
| Catawissa Borough | > | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | < | = | |
| Catawissa Township | = | = | = | = | = | < | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | > | = | |
| Centralia Borough | < | = | = | = | = | < | = | = | < | = | = | = | = | < | = | |

Eric Stahley

| | Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam | Environmental Hazards | Winter Storm | Tornado, Windstorm | Nuclear Incident | Dam Failure | Drought | Utility Interruption | Hurricane, Tropical Strom, Nor Easter | Landslide | Radon Exposure | Levee Failure | Pandemic | Wildfire | Earthquake | Comments |
|------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|--------------------|------------------|-------------|---------|----------------------|--|-----------|----------------|---------------|----------|----------|------------|----------|
| | 3.2 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.3 | |
| Cleveland Township | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | < | = | |
| Conyngham Township | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | |
| Fishing Creek Township | = | = | = | = | > | = | = | = | = | < | = | = | = | < | = | |
| Franklin Township | = | = | = | = | = | < | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | < | = | |
| Greenwood Township | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | < | = | = | = | < | = | |
| Hemlock Township | > | = | = | = | = | < | = | > | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | |
| Jackson Township | = | = | = | = | = | < | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | |
| Locust Township | = | = | = | = | < | < | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | |
| Madison Township | = | = | = | = | = | < | = | = | = | < | = | = | = | < | = | |
| Main Township | = | = | = | = | = | < | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | |
| Mifflin Township | = | = | = | = | > | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | |

Eric Stahley

| | Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam | Environmental Hazards | Winter Storm | Tornado, Windstorm | Nuclear Incident | Dam Failure | Drought | Utility Interruption | Hurricane, Tropical Strom, Nor Easter | Landslide | Radon Exposure | Levee Failure | Pandemic | Wildfire | Earthquake | Comments |
|------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|--------------------|------------------|-------------|---------|----------------------|--|-----------|----------------|---------------|----------|----------|------------|----------|
| | 3.2 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.3 | |
| Millville Borough | = | = | = | = | = | < | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | < | = | |
| Montour Township | > | = | = | = | = | = | = | > | = | ^ | = | = | = | < | = | |
| Mt. Pleasant Township | = | = | = | Ш | = | < | = | = | = | Ш | = | I | = | < | = | |
| North Centre Township | = | = | = | Ш | > | < | = | = | = | Ш | = | I | = | Ш | = | |
| Orange Township | = | = | = | = | = | < | = | = | = | = | = | > | = | = | = | |
| Orangeville Borough | = | = | = | = | = | < | = | = | = | I | = | = | = | < | = | |
| Pine Township | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | < | = | |
| Roaring Creek Township | = | = | = | I | = | = | = | = | = | Ш | = | = | = | Ш | = | |
| Scott Township | = | = | = | = | = | < | = | > | = | = | = | = | = | < | = | |
| South Centre Township | = | = | = | = | > | < | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | |
| Stillwater Borough | = | = | = | = | = | < | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | < | = | |
| Sugarloaf Township | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | > | = | = | = | = | = | |

4.4.3. Potential Loss Estimates

Based on various kinds of available data, potential loss estimates were established for flood, flash flood, and ice jam, tornado and windstorms, drought, nuclear incident, and winter storms. Estimates provided in this section are based on information provided from the Columbia County GIS department, property values from the county tax assessment database, and previous events. Estimates are considered *potential* in that they generally represent losses that could occur in a countywide hazard scenario. In events that are localized, losses may be lower, while regional events could yield higher losses.

Potential loss estimates have four basic components, including:

- <u>Replacement Value</u>: Current cost of returning an asset to its pre-damaged condition, using present-day cost of labor and materials.
- <u>Content Loss</u>: Value of building's contents, typically measured as a percentage of the building replacement value.
- <u>Functional Loss</u>: The value of a building's use or function that would be lost if it were damaged or closed.
- <u>Displacement Cost</u>: The dollar amount required for relocation of the function (business or service) to another structure following a hazard event.

The structure data used in this plan includes building values provided in the county tax assessment database. These values are representative of replacement value alone; content loss, functional loss, and displacement cost are not included. Figure 4.4-1 illustrates the range of structure assessed values in Columbia County at the parcel level by matching property PIN values.





205 | Page

The Department of Geographic Information Systems of the County of Columbia conducted an analysis of the structures impacted by the 1% annual chance flood hazard (100-year flood hazard). Utilizing the following geographic layers – 1% annual chance flood hazard areas (FEMA), parcels (Columbia), and building centroids (Columbia) – the Department identified those at risk structures impacted by the flood hazard. Using those at risk structures, were able to determine the associated structures' valuation data maintained by the county Assessment Office.

Using the following formula, (building market value * 0.5 * 3.69), the structures valuation was converted from 1992 market value to 2016 market value. To simulate the estimated loss for such an event, 25% was applied to each structures valuation.

The estimated loss for a 1% annual chance flood hazard was summarized by municipality and classified by structure land use.

Using this data, total building-related losses for the 1% annual-chance flood event were estimated to be \$235.21 million. Just over 38% of these building-related losses were incurred by residential occupancies; a further 55% of building-related losses were incurred by commercial properties. Approximately 15% of the building-related losses were incurred by industrial occupancies. Figure 4.4-2 shows the spatial distribution of potential economic losses at the within the 1% annual chance of flood area. Some of the highest economic losses are expected in the Town of Bloomsburg and Scott Township. Total economic loss, including replacement value, content loss, functional loss, and displacement cost was estimated at \$433.98 million for the entire County. The full hazard analysis report can be found in **Appendix F**.





Another way of thinking about losses for floods is to look at the number of claims and the dollar amount of loss experienced by NFIP communities. In Columbia County, there are 999 NFIP policies in force; these policies have accumulated 2,105 claims since 1978. The historical value of these claims exceeds \$59 million. Looking at these historical losses, Bloomsburg, Scott Township, Hemlock Township, and Orange Township have experienced the most losses with over \$2 million in claims paid since 1978. Bloomsburg is the highest with over 31 million in losses paid since 1978.

| Table 4.4-4: NFIP Claims and losses paid in Columbia County since 1978 (FEMA, 2016). | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| MUNICIPALITY | NO. POLICIES IN FORCE | TOTAL COVERAGE | TOTAL CLAIMS SINCE 1978 | TOTAL PAID SINCE 1978 | | | | | | |
| Beaver Township | 5 | \$ 632,000 | 2 | \$ 29,186 | | | | | | |
| Benton Borough | 64 | \$ 7,929,000 | 72 | \$ 678,815 | | | | | | |
| Benton Township | 18 | \$ 1,982,000 | 56 | \$ 730,135 | | | | | | |
| Berwick Borough | 31 | \$ 2,907,000 | 27 | \$ 262,416 | | | | | | |
| Bloomsburg, Town of | 364 | \$ 53,189,000 | 928 | \$ 31,146,929 | | | | | | |
| Briar Creek Borough | 6 | \$ 663,000 | 20 | \$ 265,601 | | | | | | |
| Briar Creek Township | 36 | \$ 3,553,000 | 6 | \$ 56,658 | | | | | | |
| Catawissa Borough | 6 | \$ 1,798,000 | 60 | \$ 1,516,000 | | | | | | |
| Catawissa Township | 7 | \$ 794,000 | 18 | \$ 289,832 | | | | | | |
| Centralia Borough | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | | |
| Cleveland Township | 18 | \$ 2,324,000 | 34 | \$ 542,504 | | | | | | |
| Conyngham Township | 1 | \$70,000 | 0 | 0 | | | | | | |
| Fishing Creek Township | 42 | \$ 5,226,000 | 97 | \$ 3,290,179 | | | | | | |
| Franklin Township | 22 | \$ 1,545,000 | 65 | \$ 1,246,629 | | | | | | |
| Greenwood Township | 22 | \$ 1,585,000 | 35 | \$ 272,930 | | | | | | |
| Hemlock Township | 50 | \$ 8,024,400 | 156 | \$ 5,590,446 | | | | | | |
| Jackson Township | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | | |
| Locust Township | 12 | \$ 1,031,000 | 34 | \$ 386,448 | | | | | | |
| Madison Township | 2 | \$ 449,000 | 3 | \$ 17,250 | | | | | | |
| Main Township | 7 | \$ 1,028,000 | 17 | \$ 262,642 | | | | | | |
| Mifflin Township | 7 | \$ 2,150,000 | 17 | \$ 302,738 | | | | | | |
| Millville Borough | 7 | \$ 965,000 | 2 | \$ 4,472 | | | | | | |
| Montour Township | 14 | \$ 2,276,000 | 65 | \$ 1,301,942 | | | | | | |
| Mt Pleasant Township | 13 | \$ 2,345,000 | 22 | \$ 1,056,176 | | | | | | |
| North Centre Township | 6 | \$ 616,000 | 4 | \$ 14,347 | | | | | | |
| Orange Township | 24 | \$ 3,243,000 | 110 | \$ 2,667,716 | | | | | | |
| Orangeville Borough | 3 | \$ 161,000 | 26 | \$ 328,093 | | | | | | |
| Pine Township | 6 | \$ 1,075,000 | 2 | \$ 11,341 | | | | | | |

| Roaring Creek Township | 4 | \$ 283,000 | 1 | \$0 |
|------------------------|-----|---------------|------|---------------|
| Scott Township | 156 | \$ 33,636,000 | 180 | \$ 6,536,231 |
| South Centre Township | 15 | \$ 4,879,000 | 13 | \$ 422,761 |
| Stillwater Borough | 17 | \$ 2,019,000 | 27 | \$ 452,230 |
| Sugarloaf Township | 14 | \$ 1,653,000 | 6 | \$ 205,941 |
| TOTAL | 999 | \$ | 2105 | \$ 59,888,588 |

For the remaining hazards where loss estimates could be determined, loss estimates are generalized based on the historical impact of the hazard. For droughts, the losses are largely agricultural; as a result, losses are expected to be some portion of Columbia County's \$45.9 million in agricultural production, depending on the magnitude of the event. For nuclear incidents, it is difficult to estimate losses within the 10-mile EPZ, but losses in the 50-mile EPZ are largely crop and livestock-based; as a result, they will also be some portion of the County's agricultural production. Losses associated with radon exposure are related to healthcare costs and lost wages, and the average mitigation cost for addressing this hazard is \$1,200, according to the EPA.

Losses associated with particular natural hazard events are sometimes reported to the NCDC with the event. The reporting time frame is generally 1950-2010. While these historic losses give a glimpse of potential losses in hazard events, they are not reported for all events and should be considered a broad estimate. Flood losses reported to NCDC surpass \$50.8 million and for any single event, range from \$5,000 to \$50 million. Tornado and windstorm events have had losses ranging from \$1,000 to \$500,000 depending on the magnitude of the events. These events have also led to three deaths and three injuries. For winter storm events, only one of the past events had losses reported with the event; it had monetary losses estimated at \$150,000 and two injuries.

4.4.4. Future Development and Vulnerability

Risk and vulnerability to natural and human-made hazard events are not static. Risk will increase or decrease as counties and municipalities see changes in land use and development as well as changes in population. Columbia County is expected to experience a variety of factors that will, in some areas, increase vulnerability to hazards while in other areas, vulnerability may stay static or even be reduced.

Population change is perhaps the most significant indicator of changes in vulnerability in the future. As discussed in Section 2.3, the total population of Columbia County has grown by 4.8 percent from 2000 to 2010, but population change has been highly variable between jurisdictions. The population change can be seen in Figure 4.4-3. Fourteen jurisdictions losta portion of their population between 2000 and 2010 with the largest loss in population occurring in Benton and Centralia Boroughs. Two jurisdictions grew by 20% (Bloomsburg and Hemlock Township) while three other jurisdictions grew by more than 10%.

While Columbia County has grown slightly in the last ten years, there are still few places with high density, and the County expects to remain largely rural. Hazard vulnerability and loss potential will be higher in the places of higher density (namely the Town of Bloomsburg and the boroughs) throughout the County. However, population growth and associated development in previously less dense areas will likely create increases in loss potential, as more people may be living in areas prone to hazards, especially flooding, winter storms, and wildfires.



Figure 4.4-3: Municipal population change in Columbia County (Columbia County GIS, 2021)

Columbia County has also experienced an aging population in the last decades. Sixteen percent of the population is over the age of 65, and while the County has a fair number of young people, many of these are attendees at Bloomsburg University and do not always choose to stay in the County after college. Older residents pose unique challenges when it comes to evacuation and/or mobility during the rescue and recovery processes that typically occur in the case of a hazard event. As there becomes more of an elderly population in the county, officials may consider partnering with human services organizations to specifically plan for this vulnerable population.

The aging housing stock in Columbia County is another source of current and future vulnerability in many hazard events. A large percentage of the housing stock, approximately 37 percent, was built before 1940. Columbia County can experience significant gusts of wind during windstorms or tornadoes. The structure of these older houses may be more at risk of destruction under these strong wind conditions. These structures may also be at risk during flooding and winter storm events if the materials are either not strong enough to withstand the pressure or weight of the precipitation or are liable to leak, causing further risk of destruction to the house.

In the past, Columbia County has expressed concern about open space preservation. The County participates in Pennsylvania's Agricultural Conservation Easement Program, and many jurisdictions have enacted farmland preservation procedures. Additionally, with assistance from DCNR, the County Conservation Fund has acquired over 9,000 acres across Columbia and Northumberland Counties. Preservation of farmland, open space, and natural areas, will address the County's concerns of threats to natural environments. Additionally, these natural areas can help maintain or reduce risk and vulnerability in the County.

5. Capability Assessment 5.1. Update Process Summary

Columbia County has a number of resources it can access to implement hazard mitigation initiatives including emergency response measures, local planning and regulatory tools, administrative assistance and technical expertise, fiscal capabilities, and participation in local, regional, state, and federal programs. The presence of these resources enables community resiliency through actions taken before, during, and after a hazard event.

The 2017 HMP identified the most commonly used resources available in Columbia County to support hazard mitigation with a focus on institutional, legal, fiscal, political, and technical capabilities. It indicated the presence of local plans, ordinances, and codes in each municipality. Finally, the 2017 Capability Assessment specified local, state, and non-profit resources available for mitigation efforts including the Susquehanna River Basin Commission, SEDACOG, DCED, DEP, DCNR, and PennVEST. Through responses to the *Capability Assessment Survey* distributed to municipalities and input from the HMSG and the HMPT, the 2022 HMPU provides an updated inventory of the most critical local planning tools available within each municipality and a summary of the fiscal and technical capabilities available through programs and organizations outside of the County. It also identifies emergency management capabilities and the processes used for implementation of the National Flood Insurance Program.

While the capability assessment serves as a good instrument for identifying local capabilities for, it also provides a means for recognizing gaps and weaknesses that can be resolved through future mitigation actions. The results of this assessment lend critical information for developing an effective mitigation strategy.

5.2. Capability Assessment Findings

5.2.1 Planning and Regulatory Capability

Some of the most important planning and regulatory capabilities that can be utilized for hazard mitigation include Comprehensive Plans, Building Codes, Floodplain Ordinances, Subdivision and Land Development Ordinances, and Zoning Regulations. These tools provide mechanisms for the implementation of adopted hazard mitigation strategies. Table 5.2-1 summarizes their presence within each municipality.

| Community | Comprehensiv e plan | Building code | Floodplain ordinance – NFIP | Subdivision & land development | Zoning ordinance |
|---------------------|------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Beaver Township | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes, County | Yes |
| Benton Borough | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes, County | Yes |
| Benton Township | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes, County | Yes |
| Berwick Borough | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes, Local | Yes |
| Bloomsburg, Town of | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes, Local | Yes |
| Briar Creek Borough | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes, Local | Yes |

Table 5.2.1-1: Summary of planning tools adopted by each municipality in Columbia County (Updated asof March 2022)

| Briar Creek Township | No | Yes | Yes | Yes, Local | Yes |
|-------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-------------|-----|
| Catawissa Borough | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes, County | Yes |
| Catawissa Township | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes, County | Yes |
| Centralia Borough | No | No | Yes | Yes, County | No |
| Cleveland Township | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes, County | Yes |
| Conyngham Township | No | Yes | Yes | Yes, County | no |
| Fishing Creek Township | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes, County | No |
| Franklin Township | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes, County | Yes |
| Greenwood Township | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes, County | Yes |
| Hemlock Township | Yes | No | Yes | Yes, Local | Yes |
| Jackson Township | Yes | No | Yes | Yes, Local | Yes |
| Locust Township | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes, Local | Yes |
| Madison Township | No | Yes | Yes | Yes, Local | No |
| Main Township | No | Yes | Yes | Yes, County | Yes |
| Mifflin Township | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes, Local | Yes |
| Millville Borough | Yes | No | Yes | Yes, County | Yes |
| Montour Township | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes, Local | Yes |
| Mount Pleasant Township | No | Yes | Yes | Yes County | Yes |
| North Centre Township | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes, County | Yes |
| Orange Township | No | No | Yes | Yes, County | Yes |
| Orangeville Borough | No | Yes | Yes | Yes, County | No |
| Pine Township | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes, County | Yes |
| Roaring Creek Township | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes, County | Yes |
| Scott Township | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes, Local | Yes |
| South Centre Township | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes, Local | Yes |
| Stillwater Borough | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes, County | Yes |
| Sugarloaf Township | Yes | No | Yes | Yes, County | Yes |

Comprehensive Plans promote sound land use and regional cooperation among local governments to address planning issues. These plans serve as the official policy guide for influencing the location, type and extent of future development by establishing the basis for decision-making and review processes on zoning matters, subdivision and land development, land uses, public facilities and housing needs over time. The existing countywide Comprehensive Plan for Columbia County was developed in 1993; of the communities participating in this HMP, 23 have local comprehensive plans. Scott Township and South Centre Townships share a single comprehensive plan. County governments are required by law to adopt a comprehensive plan, while local municipalities may do so at their option. Future comprehensive plan updates and improvements will consider 2017 HMPU findings. Columbia County also has a Comprehensive Recreation, Parks, Greenways, and Open Space Plan, written in 2007. This plan is an advisory document that provides strategies for the enhancement of parks, recreational opportunities and services, greenways, trails, and open space countywide. The plan also provides direction and initiatives for protecting open space and natural resources.

Building codes regulate construction standards for new construction and substantially renovated

buildings. Standards can be adopted that require resistant or resilient building design practices to address hazard impacts common to a given community. In 2003, the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania implemented Act 45 of 1999, the Uniform Construction Code (UCC), a comprehensive building code that establishes minimum regulations for most new construction, including additions and renovations to existing structures. All municipalities in Columbia County are required to adhere to the UCC. On October 25,2017 the Commonwealth adopted regulations of the 2018 I Code International Code Council's codes. The effective date of the regulations is February 14, 2022. Since all municipalities in Columbia County are required to abide by the UCC they also are required to enforce the 2018 building code regulations for all building permits submitted after June 25, 2022. If a design or construction contract for proposed work was signed between December 31, 2006 and December 30, 2009 then the 2006 International Codes must be abided.

Through administration of floodplain ordinances, municipalities can ensure that all new construction or substantial improvements to existing structures located in the floodplain are flood-proofed, dry-proofed, or built above anticipated flood elevations. Floodplain ordinances may also prohibit development in certain areas altogether. The NFIP establishes minimum ordinance requirements which must be met in order for that community to participate in the program. However, a community is permitted and in fact, encouraged, to adopt standards which exceed NFIP requirements. Through participation in the NFIP, all municipalities within the County have floodplain regulations in place. In addition, while not an NFIP community, Bloomsburg University has floodplain regulations it adheres to when planning and siting new University buildings and infrastructure.

SALDOs are intended to regulate the development of housing, commercial, industrial or other uses, including associated public infrastructure, as land is subdivided into buildable lots for sale or future development. Within these ordinances, guidelines on how land will be divided, the placement and size of roads and the location of infrastructure can reduce exposure of development to hazard events. All jurisdictions within Columbia County have adopted and enforce a subdivision and land development ordinance; Berwick Borough, Bloomsburg, Briar Creek Borough, Briar Creek Township, Cleveland Township, Hemlock Township, Jackson Township, Locust Township, Madison Township, Mifflin Township, Montour Township, Scott Township, and South Centre Township have their own municipal SALDO while the other twenty municipalities use the County's SALDO.

Zoning ordinances allow for local communities to regulate the use of land in order to protect the interests and safety of the general public. Zoning ordinances can be designed to address unique conditions or concerns within a given community. They may be used to create buffers between structures and high-risk areas, limit the type or density of development and/or require land development to consider specific hazard vulnerabilities. Twenty-eight municipalities in Columbia County have zoning regulations.

The Pennsylvania legislature enacted the Stormwater Management Act (Act 167 of 1978), commonly called Act 167. The Act enables the regulation of development and activities that cause accelerated runoff and encourages watershed-based planning and management of stormwater. The Department of Environmental Protection is the public agency charged with overseeing implementation of the Act 167 plans. Act 167 Stormwater Management Plans are intended to improve stormwater management practices, mitigate potential negative impacts from future land uses, and to improve the condition of impaired waterways. Columbia County has one Stormwater Management Plan in Place, the Susquehanna Tributaries Act 167 Plan.

Bloomsburg University also maintains a number of planning and regulatory tools as an institution, including a comprehensive plan and stormwater management regulations.

Figure 5.2.3-1 shows the total percentage of the various categories of Planning & Regulatory Capabilities that are possessed by the municipalities.



Figure 5.2.1-1: Planning & Regulatory Capabilities
5.2.1.1 Participation in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP)

All communities in Columbia County participate in the NFIP (see table 5.2.3-1). The program is managed by local municipalities participating in the program through ordinance adoption and floodplain regulation. A table summarizing the NFIP participation and capabilities at the municipal level is located in **Appendix I** with the survey results.

Permitting processes needed for building construction and development in a Special Flood Hazard Area are implemented at the municipal level through local Ordinances (e.g. Zoning, Subdivision and Land Development, and Floodplain Ordinances). However, the County's Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance (SALDO), which is used by twenty municipalities, establishes the floodplain regulations for new developments in accordance with the Pennsylvania Floodplain Management Act also known as Act 166 (P.L. 851, No. 166 32 P.S. §679.101 et seq.).

FEMA Region III makes available to all communities, an Ordinance review checklist which lists the minimum requirements for Floodplain Management Ordinances. This checklist helps communities to develop an effective Floodplain Management Ordinance that meets Federal requirements for participation in the NFIP.

The Pennsylvania Department of Community and Economic Development (DCED), provides communities, based on their CFR, Title 44, Section 60.3 level of regulations, with a model ordinance document to assist them in meeting the minimum requirements established in the NFIP and Act 166. These model ordinances contain provisions that are more restrictive than State and Federal requirements. These provisions include, but are not limited to:

- Prohibiting manufactured homes in the floodway.
- Prohibiting manufactured homes within the area measured 50 feet landward from the topof bank of any watercourse within a special flood hazard area.
- Special requirements for recreational vehicles within the special flood hazard area. Special requirement for accessory structures.
- Prohibiting new construction and development within the area measured 50 feet landward from the top-of bank of any watercourse within a special flood hazard area.
- Providing the County Conservation District an opportunity to review and comment on all applications and plans for any proposed construction or development in any identified floodplain area.

Columbia County's provisions were updated in 2008 when the County received DFIRMS. As stated in the County SALDO, flood-related subdivision provisions include the following:

- Prohibiting any new construction, development, use, or activity proposed to be within the floodway which is expected to cause an increase in flood heights;
- Requiring all new or substantially improved residential structures proposed in the flood fringe be elevated 18 inches or more above the base flood elevation;
- Requiring all new or substantially improved non-residential structures to be elevated 18 inches or more above the base flood elevation or be flood proofed in accordance with applicable standards;
- Prohibiting the finished elevation of proposed streets from being more than one foot below the base flood elevation;
- Requiring all new or replacement water and sanitary sewer facilities and systems to be located, designed, and constructed to minimize or eliminate flood damages and the

infiltration of flood waters and prevent the discharge of sewage into flood waters;

- Requiring utilities such as gas and electric lines and telephone systems to minimize the chance of impairment during a flood;
- Requiring storm drainage facilities to be designed to provide positive drainage away from buildings and not onto other properties; and
- Prohibiting the alteration or relocation of watercourses without the required permit from DEP and consultation with adjacent communities, DCED, and FEMA.

On a local level, according to DCED, all NFIP communities in Columbia County use ordinances that require 18" of freeboard, restrict the construction of jails, hospitals, and nursing homes in the floodplain, and restrict or prohibit chemical substances considered dangerous to human life.

Act 166 mandates municipal participation in and compliance with the NFIP if SFHA has been mapped. It also establishes higher regulatory standards for new or substantially improved structures which are used for the production or storage of dangerous materials as defined by the Act, by prohibiting them in the floodway. Additionally, the Act establishes the requirement that a Special Permit be obtained prior to any construction or expansion of any manufactured home park, hospital, nursing home, jail and prison if said structure is located within a special flood hazardarea.

As new DFIRMS are published, the Pennsylvania State NFIP Coordinator housed at DCED, works with communities to ensure the timely and successful adoption of an updated Floodplain Management Ordinance by reviewing and providing feedback on existing and draft ordinances. In addition, DCED provides guidance and technical support through Community Assistance Contacts and Community Assistance Visits.

Columbia County municipalities are currently using 2008 DFIRMs. These digital maps greatly enhanced mitigation capabilities as they relate to identifying flood hazards and are a significant improvement to the previously effective paper Flood Insurance Rate Maps. Residents and municipal officials receive mapping assistance from the Columbia County Resiliency office, as well as the Columbia County GIS department upon request.

The Town of Bloomsburg is the only community in Columbia County currently participating in the NFIP's Community Rating System (FEMA CIS, 2011). CRS rewards those communities that establish floodplain management programs that go beyond NFIP minimum requirements by providing discounts on flood insurance premiums. Under the CRS, communities receive credit for activities falling into four categories: public information, mapping and regulations, flood damage reduction, and flood preparedness.

The CRS was implemented in 1990 to recognize and encourage community floodplain management activities that exceed the minimum NFIP standards. Section 541 of the 1994 Act amends Section 1315 of the 1968 Act to codify the CRS in the NFIP, and expands the CRS goals to specifically include incentives to reduce the risk of flood-related erosion and to encourage measures that protect natural and beneficial floodplain functions. These goals have been incorporated into the CRS, and communities now receive credit toward premium reductions for activities that contribute to them.

There are 10 CRS classes that provide varied reduction in insurance premiums. Class 1 requires the most credit points and gives the largest premium reduction; Class 10 receives no premium reduction. CRS premium discounts on flood insurance range from 5 percent for Class 9 communities up to 45 percent for Class 1 communities. Bloomsburg has been a CRS community since October of 1993; its CRS class is 8, making the community's policyholders eligible for a 10% discount on their NFIP flood insurance premiums.

5.2.2 Administrative and Technical Capability

Administrative capability is described by an adequacy of departmental and personnel resources for the implementation of mitigation-related activities. Technical capability relates to an adequacy of knowledge and technical expertise of local government employees or the ability to contract outside resources for this expertise in order to effectively execute mitigation activities. Common examples of skill sets and technical personnel needed for hazard mitigation include: planners with knowledge of land development/management practices, engineers or professionals trained in construction practices related to buildings and/or infrastructure (e.g. building inspectors), planners or engineers with an understanding of natural and/or human caused hazards, emergency managers, floodplain managers, land surveyors, scientists familiar with hazards in the community, staff with the education or expertise to assess community vulnerability to hazards, personnel skilled in geographic information systems, resource development staff or grant writers, and fiscal staff to handle complex grant application processes.

Based on assessment results, municipalities in Columbia County have low-to-moderate administrative and technical staff needed to conduct hazard mitigation activities. In general, the larger, more populated jurisdictions have the most technical capabilities. There seems to be sufficient emergency management and engineering staff across the County. Only eight of the municipalities have access to personnel for floodplain management, seven for grant writing and nine have access to personnel who might assist with land surveying, seven have GIS capabilities, and four with personnel who could assist with scientific work related to community hazards. See Figure 5.2.4-1 for a chart representing the total percentage of each Administrative & Technical capability that are found within the municipalities.

5.2.2.1 Emergency Management

The Columbia County Emergency Management Agency coordinates countywide emergency management efforts. Each municipality has a designated local emergency management coordinator (EMC) who possesses a unique knowledge of the impact hazard events have on their community, though in many instances in Columbia County, each EMC serves multiple communities in a zone. For example, the Roaring Creek Zone EMC covers Locust, Cleveland, Franklin, and Roaring Creek Townships. A significant amount of information used to develop this plan was obtained from these local emergency management coordinators. The Emergency Management Services Code (PA Title 35) requires that all municipalities in the Commonwealth have a Local Emergency Operations Plan (EOP) which is recommended to be updated every two years. According to the Capability Assessment Surveys completed by municipal leaders and information from emergency management personnel, all of the jurisdictions in the County have an EOP; the majority of EOPs date to 2011, but seven jurisdictions have plans dating from 2003. A countywide EOP also exists. Municipalities are not required to sign on to the County EOP, because County staff prefers to keep municipal emergency management coordinators actively engaged at a more local level. Communities in Columbia County also have additional emergency management capabilities. Nineteen jurisdictions have an evacuation plan in place or under development either as a part of the EOP or as a separate plan. Finally, the Emergency

Management Agency provides major training exercises and instructional workshops to emergency personnel in order to ensure that personnel are properly trained.

Bloomsburg University's Office of Public Safety has a number of emergency management capabilities as well. The University completed a Hazard Mitigation Plan, EOP, and evacuation plan. The University also has a Continuity of Operations Plan.



Figure 5.2.2-1: Administrative & Technical Capabilities

The Columbia County Planning Commission and GIS Department provide leading technical assistance roles for municipalities. Other local organizations that could act as partners in mitigating natural and human-made hazards include the Penn State Cooperative Extension, Bloomsburg University staff and students, environmental advocacy groups, and watershed associations. Bloomsburg University has a high level of administrative and technical capability and is a great asset to our community. The University has access to land use planners, hazard planners, engineers, emergency management personnel, scientists, GIS professionals and students, and grant writers.

State agencies which can provide technical assistance for mitigation activities include, but are not limited to:

- Pennsylvania Department of Community and Economic Development,
- Pennsylvania Department of Conservation and Natural Resources,
- Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection, and
- Pennsylvania Department of Transportation.

Federal agencies which can provide technical assistance for mitigation activities include, but are not limited to:

- Army Corp of Engineers,
- Department of Housing and Urban Development,
- Department of Agriculture,
- Economic Development Administration,
- Emergency Management Institute,
- Environmental Protection Agency,
- FEMA, and
- Small Business Administration.

5.2.3 Financial Capability

The decision and capacity to implement mitigation-related activities is often strongly dependent on the presence of local financial resources. While some mitigation actions are less costly than others, it is important that money is available locally to implement policies and projects. Financial resources are particularly important if communities are trying to take advantage of state or federal mitigation grant funding opportunities that require local-match contributions. Based on survey results, most municipalities within the County perceive fiscal capability to be extremely limited. Two types of fiscal capabilities are more common in Columbia County. The first is Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Funding; all jurisdictions in Columbia County have been designated as distressed communities and are thus eligible for CDGB funds. The second is not a funding source but rather partnering agreements between municipalities that enable resource sharing.

State programs which may provide financial support for mitigation activities include, but are not limited to:

- Community Conservation Partnerships Program,
- Community Revitalization Program,
- Floodplain Land Use Assistance Program.
- Growing Greener Program,
- Keystone Grant Program,
- Local Government Capital Projects Loan Program,
- Land Use Planning and Technical Assistance Program,
- Pennsylvania Heritage Areas Program,
- Pennsylvania Recreational Trails Program,
- Shared Municipal Services, and
- Technical Assistance Program.

Federal programs which may provide financial support for mitigation activities include, but are not limited to:

- Disaster Housing Program,
- Emergency Conservation Program,
- Emergency Management Performance Grants,
- Emergency Watershed Protection Program,
- Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP),
- Flood Mitigation Assistance Program,
- Non-insured Crop Disaster Assistance
 Program,
- Pre-Disaster Mitigation Program,
- Section 108 Loan Guarantee Programs,
- Severe Repetitive Loss Grant Program (SRL), and
- Weatherization Assistance Program.



Figure 5.2.3-1: Fiscal Capabilities of Municipalities relating to availability of funds specifically for Hazard Mitigation

5.2.4 Political Capability

One of the most difficult capabilities to evaluate involves the political will of a jurisdiction to enact meaningful policies and projects designed to mitigate hazard events. The adoption of hazard mitigation measures may be seen as an impediment to growth and economic development. In many cases, mitigation may not generate interest among local officials when compared with competing priorities. Therefore, the local political climate must be considered when designing mitigation strategies, as it could be the most difficult hurdle to overcome in accomplishing the adoption or implementation of specific actions.

The *Capability Assessment Survey* was used to capture information on each jurisdiction's political capability. Survey respondents were asked to identify examples of political capability, such as guiding development away from hazard areas, restricting public investments or capital improvements within hazard areas, or enforcing local development standards that go beyond minimum state or federal requirements (i.e. building codes, floodplain management

ordinances, etc...). These examples were used to guide respondents in scoring their community on a scale of "unwilling" (0) to "very willing" (5) to adopt policies and programs that reduce hazard vulnerabilities. As this is a notably sensitive subject for local government employees, not every jurisdiction provided a political capability score. Of the 23 municipalities providing a political capability rating, scores ranged from 1 to 5 with an average score of 3.71. Bloomsburg University ranked its political capability to undertake hazard mitigation activities as a 4.



Figure 5.2.4-1: Summary of Self-Assessment Survey Completed by Municipal Officials

5.2.4.1 Self-Assessment

In addition to the inventory and analysis of specific local capabilities, the *Capability Assessment Survey* required each local jurisdiction to conduct its own self-assessment of its capability to effectively implement hazard mitigation activities. As part of this process, county and municipal officials were encouraged to consider the barriers to implementing proposed mitigation strategies in addition to the mechanisms that could enhance or further such strategies. In response to the survey questionnaire, local officials classified each of the capabilities as either "limited," "moderate" or "high." Again, because this may be sensitive for local government officials, not every jurisdiction completed the self-assessment. Table 5.2.7-1 summarizes the results of the self-assessment survey as a percentage of the twenty-nine responses received. For example, 62% of communities who responded indicated their community had low fiscal capabilities.



Figure 5.2.4.1-1: Averages of all Capability Assessment Surveys Submitted by Municipal Officials

5.2.5 Plan Integration

There are numerous existing regulatory and planning mechanisms in place at the state, county, and municipal level of government which support hazard mitigation planning efforts. These tools include the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Standard All-Hazard Mitigation Plan, local floodplain management ordinances, the Columbia County Comprehensive Plan, Columbia County Emergency Operations Plan, Columbia County Hazard Vulnerability Analysis (HVA), Columbia County Comprehensive Recreation, Parks, Greenways, and Open Space Plan, local Emergency Operation Plans, local zoning ordinances, local subdivision and land development ordinances, local comprehensive plans, and the Susquehanna Tributaries Act 167 Plan. These mechanisms were discussed at community meetings and are described in Section 5.2.

Information from several of these documents has been incorporated into this plan and mitigation actions have been developed to further integrate these planning mechanisms into the hazard mitigation planning process. In particular, this plan uses information on identified land use patterns and land development priorities from the Columbia County Comprehensive Plan in order to establish vulnerability pertaining to future development. The Columbia County

Comprehensive Recreation, Parks, Greenways, and Open Space Plan provided valuable information relating to the land use and development, particularly of the forested and recreations areas of the county.

The recently updated Columbia County Hazard Vulnerability Analysis provided extensive information on past occurrences, vulnerability, and risk in the last five years, including anecdotal information from the County EMA. Information from the County HVA on populations at risk to certain hazards was used to demonstrate vulnerability to various hazards. The Pennsylvania State Hazard Mitigation Plan was used extensively throughout the update to ensure uniformity with the state plan as well as concurrence with particular hazard information. Bloomsburg University also provided valuable input throughout the process both in the form of Surveys and through the use of their 2016 University Hazard Mitigation Plan.

In addition, data and technical information from the Columbia County GIS Department was incorporated into the plan in the form of a structure's dataset, flood plain data, and other GIS datasets including *large and small streams, ponds, municipalities, roads, land use,* and *critical infrastructure* were provided by the Columbia County GIS Director. Floodplain management ordinance information was used to aid in the establishment of local capabilities in addition to the municipal participation in the NFIP.

Based on the comprehensive nature of this plan, the HMSG believes that this document will be highly useful when updating and developing other planning mechanisms in the County. Specific documents that the HMSG will actively incorporate information from the 2017 HMPU into include:

• Columbia County Comprehensive Plan: Section 4.4.4, Future Development and Vulnerability, will provide information for the development of the next County Comprehensive Plan by making available specific risk and vulnerability information for the entire county but more specifically potential areas of growth.

• Columbia County Comprehensive Recreation, Parks, Greenways, and Open Space Plan: The risk assessment in this HMPU will contribute to future selection of locations to preserve as open space.

• Columbia County Emergency Operations Plan: The 2022 HMPU will provide information on risk and vulnerability that will be extremely important to consider and incorporate into the next County EOP. Probability and vulnerability can direct emergency management efforts and response.

• Local Emergency Operations Plans: The 2022 HMPU will provide information on risk and vulnerability that will assist municipalities in developing their EOPs.

• Columbia County Hazard Vulnerability Analysis: The County EMA's HVA and the County HMPU are mutually beneficial plans that are used together to better understand risk and vulnerability. Just as the existing County HVA was used to supplement the development of this plan, the 2017 HMPU will be used to aid in goal and objective development, hazard identification, and risk assessment in the next CountyHVA. The County HVA was updated as of August 2016, information from both the 2012 Hazard Mitigation Plan and the 2017 Hazard Mitigation Plan were incorporated into the plan.

• Municipality Local Land Use Regulations: The Hazard Mitigation Plan provides an opportunity to contribute to local land use regulations to steer development away from hazard-prone areas.

• Act 167 Storm Water Management Plans: The Susquehanna River Tributaries Act 167

Plan will need to be updated before the next HMP update. The results of the 2021 HMPU vulnerability analysis, particularly for flooding, will be taken into consideration when updating the storm water management plan.

This page is intentionally left blank

6. Mitigation Strategy

6.1. Update Process Summary

Mitigation *goals* are general guidelines that explain what the County wants to achieve. Goals are usually expressed as broad policy statements representing desired long-term results. Mitigation *objectives* describe strategies or implementation steps to attain the identified goals. Objectives are more specific statements than goals; the steps described are usually measurable and can have a defined completion date.

There were 6 goals and 21 objectives identified in the 2017 HMP. On the whole, the 2022 plan goals and objectives were consistent with the existing objectives and goals expressed by the municipal representatives via a survey conducted either online or at the individual meetings conducted with stakeholders. Minor changes in wording were incorporated in some objectives; however, they did not significantly alter the original intent of the objective from the 2017 plan.

A summary based on comments received from stakeholders who participated in the HMP update process is included in Table 6.1-1. These reviews are based on a survey on existing goals and objectives, completed by the HMSG. Municipal officials then provided feedback on the changes to the goals and objectives via the Goals and Objectives Evaluation Form distributed either online or at the individual meetings conducted with stakeholders. Copies of these evaluations are located in **Appendix C**.

| Tuble off It List of 2017 Miligation belacegy douis and objectives (2022) | | |
|---|--|---|
| Existing Goals and | Objectives | Review |
| GOAL 1 | Reduce vulnerability, including loss of life and damage to property, to natural and human- made hazards. | Review: This goal will remain as goal 1 in 2022 Mitigation Strategy |
| Objective 1A | Ensure that existing drainage systems (pipes, culverts, channels) are adequate and functioning properly to reduce impacts related to flash flooding and storm water problems. | This objective remains relevant and will be kept as objective 1A in the 2022 plan. |
| Objective 1B | Minimize future damage due to flooding of the Susquehanna River and its tributaries. | This objective remains relevant and will be kept as objective 1B in the 2022 plan. |
| Objective 1C | Reduce impacts related to winter storms, tornadoes, windstorms, drought, flash flooding and storm water problems. | This objective remains relevant and will be kept as objective 1C in the 2022 plan. |
| Objective 1D | Ensure that local building codes/ordinances are consistent with FEMA and PA DCED guidelines and are properly enforced. | This objective remains relevant and will be kept as objective 1D in the 2022 plan. |
| GOAL 2 | Promote disaster-resistant future development. | Review: This goal will remain as goal 2 in the 2022 Mitigation Strategy |
| Objective 2A | Minimize future damage due to flooding of the Susquehanna River and its tributaries by promoting resistant construction, retrofitting techniques and in the rural areas by erosion/ sedimentation control practices. | This objective remains relevant and will be kept as objective 2A in the 2022 plan. |
| Objective 2B | Regulate construction/ development in the County to prevent increases in runoff and subsequent increases in flood flows. | This objective remains relevant and will be kept as objective 2B in the 2022 plan. |

Table 6.1-1: List of 2017 Mitigation Strategy Goals and Objectives. (2022)

| Objective 2C | Ensure that new construction is resistant to natural hazards. | This objective remains relevant and will be kept as objective 2C in the 2022 plan. |
|---------------------|--|--|
| GOAL 3 | Improve emergency warning and response capabilities and procedures to better protect the citizens of Columbia County. | Review: This goal will remain as goal 3 in the 2022 Mitigation Strategy |
| Objective 3A | Provide residents with adequate warning of potential floods and other meteorological events. | This objective remains relevant and will be kept as objective 3A in the 2022 plan. |
| Objective 3B | Ensure that emergency response services and critical facilities functions are not interrupted by hazards. | This objective remains relevant and will be kept as objective 3B in the 2022 plan. |
| Objective 3C | Provide adequate, safe, and efficient evacuation routes and shelters during hazard events. | This objective remains relevant and will be kept as objective 3C in the 2022 plan. |
| Objective 3D | Provide adequate communication systems for emergency management agencies and emergency response units | Objective 3D will be updated to reflect the commitment to ensure communication systems are adequate for emergency response units and emergency management agencies. |
| <i>Objective 3E</i> | Ensure that local officials are well trained regarding natural hazard and appropriate prevention and mitigation activities and improve communications between the public and emergency management services. | This objective remains relevant and will be kept as objective 3E in the 2022 plan. |
| GOAL 4 | Protect existing natural resources and preserve environmentally sensitive areas where hazard potential is high. | Review: This goal will remain as goal 4 in the 2022 Mitigation Strategy |
| Objective 4A | Protect existing natural resources and open space, including parks and wetlands, within the floodplains. | This objective remains relevant and will be kept as objective 4A in the 2022 plan. |
| Objective 4B | Restore degraded natural resources and open space to improve their flood control function. | This objective remains relevant and will be kept as objective 4B in the 2022 plan. |

| Objective 4C | Preserve areas where natural hazard potential is high such as steeply sloping areas, sinkhole areas. | This objective remains relevant and will be kept as objective 4C in the 2022 plan. |
|--------------|--|---|
| GOAL 5 | Increase Public Awareness regarding natural and human-made hazard risks, preparedness and mitigation. | Review: This goal will remain as goal 5 in the 2022 Mitigation Strategy |
| Objective 5A | Ensure that all residents and business owners are aware of the potential hazards associated with their environment and the ways they can protect themselves. | This objective remains relevant and will be kept as objective 5A in the 2022 plan. |
| Objective 5B | Improve the participation rate in federal flood insurance through education | This objective remains relevant and will be kept as objective 5B in the 2022 plan. |
| Objective 5C | Develop citizen information on natural, technological, and man- made disaster response. | This objective remains relevant and will be kept as objective 5C in the 2022 plan. |
| GOAL 6 | Implement structural projects to reduce the impacts of hazards. | Review: This goal will remain as goal 6 in the 2022 Mitigation Strategy |
| Objective 6A | Use the Act 167 Storm water Management Plan as a guide to implementing structural solutions to reduce the impact of flooding. | This objective remains relevant and will be kept as objective 6A in the 2022 plan. |
| Objective 6B | Design and implement appropriate flood control projects. | This objective remains relevant and will be kept as objective 6B in the 2022 plan. |
| Objective 6C | Provide information to municipal officials regarding available funding for structural projects. | This objective remains relevant and will be kept as objective 6C in the 2022 plan. |

Actions provide more detailed descriptions of specific work tasks to help the County and its municipalities achieve prescribed goals and objectives. There were 78 actions identified in the 2017 Mitigation Strategy. These actions were prioritized using the federal STAPLEE criteria to prioritize mitigation actions as recommended in the FEMA 386 Series and the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania All-Hazard Mitigation Planning Standard Operating Guide.

Of the 78 actions listed in the 2017 HMP, six of these actions have been removed, while several more are continual actions that reduce risk, vulnerability, and losses. The remaining actions have not had progress. A list of these actions as well as a review and summary of

their progress based on comments from the HMSG is included in Table 6.1-2. Actions were evaluated by the HMSG with the intent of carrying over any actions that have had no progress or were incomplete but still viable as well as continuous actions in the next five years.

| Table 6.1-2: List and review summary of 2017 mitigation actions.(2022) | | |
|--|--|--|
| ACTION | REVIEW | |
| ACTION 1: Coordinate with the U.S.G.S., local watershed organizations to increase the number of U.S.G.S. and Integrated Flood Observing and Warning System (IFLOWS) rain and stream gauges in the County as a potential enhancement to the existing Susquehanna River Basin Flood Forecast and Warning System. | Since the 2017 HM plan update, 2 new gauges have been installed in the Fishing Creek Watershed. This action is continuous and is included as <i>Action 1</i> in the 2022 HMPU. | |
| ACTION 2: Conduct routine inspections, regular maintenance, and annual tests on all emergency communications equipment, public address systems, and alert sirens to ensure unhindered operation during an emergency event. | This action is continuous and is included in the 2022 HMPU as <i>Action 2.</i> | |
| ACTION 3: Ensure that a planned, coordinated, technologically advanced, and effective public warning dissemination program exists at the local level. | This action is continuous and is included in the 2022 HMPU as <i>Action 3.</i> | |
| ACTION 4: Maintain response actions to hazards that are consistent with the County-level EOP. | This action is continuous and is included in the 2022 HMPU as <i>Action 4.</i> | |
| ACTION 5: Conduct hazard response practice drills and emergency management training exercises on an annual basis. | This Action has been updated to reflect the annual tabletop exercise conducted by the Town of Bloomsburg. This action is continuous and is included in the 2022 HMPU as <i>Action 5.</i> | |
| ACTION 6: Increase the protection of critical facilities, such as elevation of critical mechanisms within the facility (i.e.: pump and controls at wastewater treatment plants). | This Action Item has been updated to include the Catawissa Water company and Mt. Pleasant Township. This action remains relevant and will be included as <i>Action</i> 6 in the 2022 HMPU. | |

| ACTION 7: Create and distribute a public informational pamphlet related to the potential health and safety implications of various natural hazard events, including information on how to properly secure objects within the home or workplace in the event of an earthquake or other seismic event. | This action remains relevant and was updated to include electronic information distribution. It is included in the 2022 HMPU as <i>Action 7.</i> |
|--|---|
| ACTION 8: Develop a technical proficiency at the municipal level for conducting post-disaster damage assessments and regulating reconstruction activities to ensure compliance with NFIP substantial damage/substantial improvement requirements and the UCC. | This action is continuous and is included in the 2022 HMPU as <i>Action 8.</i> |
| ACTION 9: Develop a technical proficiency at the municipal level for assisting local residents and business owners in applying for hazard mitigation and assistance funds and identifying cost beneficial hazard mitigation measures to be incorporated into reconstruction activities. | This action is continuous and is included in the 2022 HMPU as <i>Action 9.</i> |
| ACTION 10: Improve communications between the public and emergency management services through newsletters and online information. | This action is continuous and is included in the 2022 HMPU as <i>Action 10.</i> |
| ACTION 11: Maintain a partnering relationship with the NWS Mid-Atlantic River Forecast Center to enhance the existing Susquehanna River Basin Flood Forecast and Warning System via the Advanced Hydrologic Prediction Services Program. | This action is continuous and is included in the 2022 HMPU as <i>Action 11.</i> |
| ACTION 12: Conduct a detailed inventory and prioritization of local environmental resources via the Comprehensive Planning or similar natural resources planning process to identify where resource conservation could help with hazard reduction. | This action is continuous and is included in the 2022 HMPU as <i>Action 12.</i> |

| ACTION 13: Identify undeveloped floodplain and wetland areas through the enforcement of county or municipal SALDO's, and when available, seek grants to acquire public open space for passive recreational uses in an effort to minimize/prevent potential flooding damages and enhance the regional environment. | This action is continuous and is included in the 2022 HMPU as <i>Action 13.</i> |
|--|--|
| ACTION 14: Develop and implement a wetland protection program, with the aid of PA DEP & DCNR, consisting of public education materials that highlight the functions and values of wetlands and local ordinance provisions that require the identification of wetlands in accordance with federal and state standards and minimize/eliminate their disturbance in accordance with federal and state laws. | This action is continuous and is included in the 2022 HMPU as <i>Action 14.</i> |
| ACTION 15: Update and implement a comprehensive water resources management plan that analyzes the County's existing water resources supply and evaluates the County's anticipated water use in an effort to identify suspected water supply shortages and potential new water supply sources. | This action is continuous and is included in the 2022 HMPU as <i>Action 15.</i> |
| ACTION 16: Provide the public and municipal officials with easy accessibility for community DFIRM data and associated Flood Insurance Study, including providing the data and documents at the courthouse and/or conservation district offices along with resources on how to read a flood map, definitions of flood zones, facts about the NFIP, and information on how to purchase flood insurance. | This action is continuous and is included in the 2022 HMPU as <i>Action 16.</i> |
| ACTION 17: Store in an easily accessible location (e.g., at public libraries) and make available for public inspection, this hazard mitigation plan, the FEMA guidance documents that were provided as part of the hazard mitigation planning program, any risk assessment publications, and links to agencies or references that are helpful in completing projects. | This action is continuous and is included in the 2022 HMPU as <i>Action 17.</i> |

| ACTION 18: Develop and distribute a public summary of this hazard mitigation plan including relevant information on hazard specific "do's" and "don'ts", hazard-prone areas, and emergency contact information. | This Action Item has been updated to include the Columbia County Resiliency Office. This action remains relevant and is included in the 2022 HMPU as <i>Action 18</i> . |
|--|--|
| ACTION 19: Develop and implement a post- disaster recovery and mitigation training program for local officials. | This action remains relevant and is included in the 2022 HMPU as <i>Action 19.</i> |
| ACTION 20: Create a website links/references section on the Columbia County and/or CCEMA website homepage to include links to FEMA - http://www.fema.gov/, PEMA - http://www.pema.state.pa.us/, PA DCED - http://www.newpa.com/, and NWS - http://www.nws.noaa.gov/. Additional links could also include those for watershed associations, the SRBC- http://www.srbc.net/ | This action remains relevant and is included in the 2022 HMPU as <i>Action 20.</i> |
| ACTION 21: Coordinate with FEMA, PEMA, PA DCED, NWS, the CCCD and any other appropriate entities on developing and implementing a natural hazard awareness curriculum in local schools. | This action remains relevant and is included in the 2022 HMPU as <i>Action 21.</i> |
| ACTION 22: Develop a new or revise existing Zoning Ordinances to include appropriate development criteria for known hazard areas. | This action item has been updated to include Stillwater Borough. This action remains relevant and is included in the 2022 HMPU as <i>Action 22.</i> |
| ACTION 23: Develop and make available for municipal use, digital hazard mapping files that will be developed based on the assessment of vulnerability identified in this mitigation plan. | This action remains relevant and is included in the 2022 HMPU as <i>Action 23.</i> |
| ACTION 24: Develop new Subdivision and Land Development Ordinances or revise existing Subdivision and Land Development Ordinances to include municipality-specific, hazard mitigation-related development criteria and/or provisions for the mandatory use of conservation subdivision design principles in order to regulate the location and construction of buildings and other infrastructure in known hazard areas. | This action remains relevant and is included in the 2022 HMPU as <i>Action 24.</i> |

| ACTION 25: Ensure municipal compliance with NFIP and PA Act 166 floodplain development regulations and/or encourage more restrictive requirements, as appropriate by conducting training and inspection workshops. | This action remains relevant and is included in the 2022 HMPU as <i>Action 25.</i> |
|---|---|
| ACTION 26: Ensure municipal compliance with local watershed-specific Act 167 Stormwater Management Plan 2001 and Ordinances. | This action remains relevant and is included in the 2022 HMPU as <i>Action 26.</i> |
| ACTION 27: Implement a flood damage reduction/prevention public education program consisting of the development and distribution of an informative brochure and training for local officials on NWS "Storm Ready", FEMA NFIP Programs. | This action is continuous and is included in the 2022 HMPU as <i>Action 27</i> . It has been updated to include website and social media information. |
| ACTION 28: Continue in the National Weather Service Storm Ready Program. | This action remains relevant and is included in the 2022 HMPU as Action 28. |
| ACTION: 29 Minimize future damage to community structures due to flooding of the Susquehanna River and its tributaries by working towards design and construction of a floodwall continuation in the area by the Bloomsburg School District High School, which is located near the Susquehanna River in the SFHA. | The construction of the expansion project from Windsor foods, around the Bloomsburg High School to Catherine Street has been completed. This action item was updated to read:" Continue to investigate the possibility of continuing the existing flood control system to include the areas east of the newly created system to tie in to the exiting Kawneer system, and continue East into Scott Township, as well as continuing to investigate the implementation of flood mitigation projects, both structural and non-structural, for the West End of Bloomsburg. It was included in the plan as <i>Action 29</i> . |
| ACTION 30: Evaluate Public Information and Education to ensure appropriate public response to natural hazards, their potential impacts and appropriate actions that should be taken by the public to reduce damages. | This action remains relevant and is included in the 2022 HMPU as <i>Action 30.</i> |

| ACTION 31: Develop a completely new or amend the existing 1995 Comprehensive Plan to include an assessment and associated mapping of the municipality's vulnerability's vulnerability to location specific hazards and appropriate recommendations for the use of these hazard areas. | This action remains relevant and is included in the 2022 HMPU as <i>Action 31.</i> |
|--|---|
| ACTION 32: Encourage local business and industry owners and residents to develop an emergency response plan as a potential alternative to implementing a physical property protection measure which may not be technically or fiscally appropriate. This should address real estate full disclosure requirements and training workshops/materials for these groups. | This action remains relevant and is included in the 2022 HMPU as <i>Action 32.</i> |
| ACTION 33: Coordinate with PEMA, FEMA, and DCED to ensure that property owners and potential property owners are aware of the availability and benefits of obtaining federal flood insurance and encourage uninsured property owners to purchase insurance | This action remains relevant and is included in the 2022 HMPU as <i>Action 33.</i> |
| ACTION 34: When funding becomes available, perform acquisitions, foundation stabilizations, demolitions, retrofitting, relocations, elevations, and dry and wet flood proofing on hazard-prone homes and commercial structures as appropriate. | This action remains relevant and is included in the 2022 HMPU as <i>Action 34.</i> |
| ACTION 35: Coordinate with the local municipality and/or PennDOT on the potential feasibility of replacing, removing, or enlarging those bridge and culvert stream crossings that were identified during the Act 167 Storm water Management Planning process as being unable to pass the 10-year frequency flood flow. | This action has been updated to reflect stream crossings also identified in the recently completed Fishing Creek and West End Mitigation Studies. To include the removal of abandoned railroad bridges on Catawissa Creek that impede the flow of water and cause flooding. This action remains relevant and is included in the 2022 HMPU as <i>Action 35</i> . |
| ACTION 36: Conduct drainage system maintenance throughout the township to prevent roadway flooding. | This action has been updated to include Hemlock Township. This action remains relevant and is included in the 2022 HMPU as Action 36. |

| ACTION 37: Decrease the likelihood of flooding from storm water by installing a pump station, in conjunction with a recently installed storm water plug, to effectively move water from 11th Street to 12th Street during flood events to minimize the chance of flooding in these areas. | This action has been removed because the project has been completed based upon input from the Municipality. |
|--|---|
| ACTION 38: Dig out diversion overflow pathway of Kinney Run to provide a better pathway for water to flow. | This action remains relevant and is included in the 2022 HMPU as <i>Action 37.</i> |
| ACTION 39: Continue to send out information to all residents of the Town regarding flood potential via the CRS Education newsletter. | This action remains relevant and is included in the 2022 HMPU as <i>Action 38.</i> |
| ACTION 40: Heighten public awareness of flooding from the Catawissa Creek and Susquehanna River by publishing and distribution of newsletters and notices in the newspaper. | This action remains relevant and is included in the 2022 HMPU as <i>Action 39.</i> |
| ACTION 41: Minimize effects of utility interruptions by completing tree trimming projects, replacement of damaged utility poles, and installation of underground utility lines. | This action remains relevant and is included in the 2022 HMPU as <i>Action 40.</i> |
| ACTION 42: Improve emergency management warning and response capabilities and procedures to better protect the public through implementation of an early warning or alert program that utilizes cloud based or other technology-based communications to distribute texts, phone calls, email alerts, or social media messages. Development, implementation, and maintenance of emergency evacuation plans and emergency responder training and exercises. | This action was updated to include the Town of Bloomsburg and Mount Pleasant Township. This action remains relevant and is included in the 2022 HMPU as <i>Action 41.</i> |
| ACTION 43: Conduct sediment, erosion control, and stream restoration throughout the township. | This action was updated to include the Town of Bloomsburg, Stillwater Borough, Hemlock Township, and Mt. Pleasant Township. It is included in the plan as <i>Action 42.</i> |
| ACTION 44: Acquire database (hard copy) of all properties within township flood zone. Present and make available information for public. | This action remains relevant and is included in the 2022 HMPU as <i>Action 43.</i> |

| | This action was undated to include Mt |
|--|---|
| ACTION 45: Conduct routine stream maintenance to keep Fishing Creek free of obstructions to flow to prevent flooding problems. | Pleasant Township. This action remains relevant and is included in the 2022 HMPU as Action 44. |
| ACTION 46: Improve emergency communications during events by building the communications network, specifically purchasing one digital base radio and two digital portable radios | This action has been updated to read, "Improve emergency communications during events by building the communications network, by purchasing portable radios and a base radio that meet the new high band frequency requirement". This action remains relevant and is included in the 2022 HMPU as <i>Action 45</i> . |
| ACTION 47: Conduct a 5-year engineering plan to reduce roadway damage due to flooding, landslides, run-off, and weather. | This action remains relevant and is included in the 2022 HMPU as <i>Action 46.</i> |
| ACTION 48: Remove sandbar to alleviate flooding at Robbins Road Bridge. | This action has been removed because the project has been completed based upon input from the Municipality. |
| ACTION 49: Conduct outreach to township residents regarding flood hazard mitigation via the Township website. | This action has been updated to include the Town of Bloomsburg, Mt. Pleasant Township, and Orange Township. This action remains relevant and is included in the 2022 HMPU as <i>Action 47.</i> |
| ACTION 50: Research and develop stormwater management and floodplain ordinances to better protect lives and property from floods based on best practices from Pennsylvania and Nationally. | This action remains relevant and is included in the 2022 HMPU as <i>Action 48.</i> |
| ACTION 51: Upgrade Radiological Emergency Preparedness activities for the Susquehanna Steam Electric Station to ensure they comply with FEMA's 2016 Radiological Emergency Preparedness Program guidance. | This action was updated to read: "NUREG 0654, FEMA REP 1. Rev. 2, December 2019 guidance". This action remains relevant and is included in the 2022 HMPU as <i>Action 49</i> . |
| ACTION 52: Provide better data from tropical spring, summer, and fall rainstorms, landslides, and winter storms, especially local dollar amounts of damage. | This action remains relevant and is included in the 2022 HMPU as <i>Action 50.</i> |
| ACTION 53: Publish and distribute newsletters and website information to township residents on flood resources. Conduct workshops on managing storm water through use of rain gardens and other appropriate means. | This action remains relevant and is included in the 2022 HMPU as <i>Action 51.</i> |

| ACTION 54: Implement a comprehensive water resources management plan that analyzes the Borough's existing water resources supply and evaluates the Borough's anticipated water use in an effort to identify suspected water supply shortages and potential new water supply sources, including but not limited to drilling of a secondary well to feed the water supply. | This action remains relevant and is included in the 2022 HMPU as <i>Action 52.</i> |
|---|---|
| ACTION 55: Install new piping and/or road work where needed to increase the capabilities of water drainage from various roadways. | This action was updated to include Mt. Pleasant Township. This action remains relevant and is included in the 2022 HMPU as <i>Action 53.</i> |
| ACTION 56: Include information on disaster or emergency education to residents in the yearly newsletter sent to residents of the Township. | This action remains relevant and is included in the 2022 HMPU as <i>Action 54.</i> |
| ACTION 57: Promote awareness of designated shelters during radiological emergencies at Susquehanna Steam Electric Station and during severe weather events in semi-annual newsletter. | This action remains relevant and is included in the 2022 HMPU as <i>Action 55.</i> |
| ACTION 58: Update Borough's 1992 zoning ordinance to discourage development in the areas with identified current hazard risk. | This action has been removed because the project has been completed based upon input from the Municipality. |
| Action 59: Acquisition of Levan residence on Old Numidia Road which was damaged during the Lee flooding and has yet to be restored | This action remains relevant and is included in the 2022 HMPU as <i>Action 56.</i> |
| ACTION 60: Continue to investigate the possibility of mitigation measures along Mountain Road to prevent further damages to roadway, including elevation of the road surface. | This action remains relevant and is included in the 2022 HMPU as <i>Action 57.</i> |
| ACTION 61: Conduct outreach to residents of mobile home parks or trailers on how and why to anchor trailers to protect against severe windstorms and flood events. | This action remains relevant and is included in the 2022 HMPU as <i>Action 58.</i> |
| ACTION 62: Conduct outreach on the benefits of being a CRS community, using Bloomsburg as a success story. | This action remains relevant and is included in the 2022 HMPU as <i>Action 59.</i> |

| ACTION 63: Perform acquisitions, dry flood proofing, wet flood proofing, purchasing of development rights, zoning, and storm water management to address the flooding along River Road and Tributary 13. | This action remains relevant and is included in the 2022 HMPU as <i>Action 60</i> . |
|---|--|
| ACTION 64: Clean up and maintain streams continuously throughout township, if funding is available. | This action was updated to include Mt. Pleasant Township and Stillwater Borough. This action remains relevant and is included in the 2022 HMPU as <i>Action 61.</i> |
| ACTION 65: Develop additional mitigation actions that are specific to each hazard identified in this risk assessment. | This action was updated to include The County GIS and Resiliency Depatments. This action remains relevant and is included in the 2022 HMPU as <i>Action 62</i> . |
| ACTION 66: Work to compile a comprehensive list of levees within the County that includes the name and location of the levee, who built the structure, who maintains and operates the levee, and whether it protects against the 1% annual chance flood. | This action was updated to include The CCWMA, the County GIS and Resiliency Depatments. This action remains relevant and is included in the 2022 HMPU as <i>Action 63.</i> |
| ACTION 67: Foster increased cooperation and communication between Columbia County EMA and the owners of privately held dams that might impact downstream communities through outreach, education, and dam failure scenarios or exercises, as appropriate. | This action remains relevant and is included in the 2022 HMPU as <i>Action 64.</i> |
| ACTION 68: Create and distribute information on radon exposure and radon mitigation systems to homeowners throughout the County, especially those in zip codes with elevated radon test levels. | This action remains relevant and is included in the 2022 HMPU as <i>Action 65.</i> It was updated to include electronic information. |
| ACTION 69: Improve Emergency Communications by converting radio system from analog to digital for interoperability. | This action has been removed because the project has been completed based upon input from the University. |
| ACTION 70: Foster increased cooperation and communication between Beaver Township and the owners of privately held dams that might impact downstream communities through outreach, education, and dam failure scenarios or exercises, as appropriate. Encourage dam owners to create an emergency action plan that addresses the hazard. | This action remains relevant and is included in the 2022 HMPU as <i>Action 66.</i> <i>It was updated to include the County</i> <i>Resiliency and GIs Depatments and local</i> <i>dam owners.</i> |

| ACTION 71: Maintain and improve the levee located along Fishing Creek in Orange Township in order to realize more effective flood protection for surrounding properties | This action remains relevant and is included in the 2022 HMPU as Action 67. |
|---|--|
| ACTION 72: Address natural gas compressor station and pipeline construction within the township through education and outreach to residents relating to the impact of natural gas structures on the local community. | This action remains relevant and is included in the 2022 HMPU as Action 68. |
| ACTION 73: Make improvements and upgrades to the aged infrastructure at the Wood's Edge Sewer treatment plant which was mandated by the court to be taken over by the township from a private developer and brought into compliance by remediating existing violation issues. | This action has been removed because the project has been completed based upon input from Orange Township. |
| ACTION 74: Construction of a new pump station to replace the current Mount Pleasant sewer plant. The current Mount Pleasant plant is aged and in need of major repairs and also was impacted by flooding in prior storm events. | This action remains relevant and is included in the 2022 HMPU as Action 69. It has been updated to remove references to regionalization at the request of Orange Township. |
| ACTION 75: Implementation of structural projects to reduce the impacts of flooding including the repair of the retaining wall behind the Benton Dam, the refortification of the stream bank and retaining wall with rip rap to prevent further erosion and mitigate hazards to adjacent structures including but not limited to the bridge on SR487 spanning Fishing Creek, the southern portion of Park Street, and structures south and west of the retaining wall, elevation of high risk residential structures above flood plain, and repair of concrete pad used by emergency services to access water supply. | This action remains relevant and is included in the 2022 HMPU as Action 70. It has been updated to reflect that repairs to the lower portion of the dam have been completed, and to include debris removal at the request of the Borough. |
| ACTION 76: Replace or repair the culverts at the entry way to the Roaring Creek Forest Preserve (95 properties affected) and the High Mountain Acres development (25 properties affected). The culvert at Roaring Creek Forest Preserve is inadequate and flooding events restrict ingress/egress to the properties and residences on site. There is currently no culvert at the High Mountain Acres development. Residents access their properties by driving through stream bed. Flooding events cause ingress/egress issues with this location as well. | This action has been removed because the project has been completed based upon input from Roaring Creek Township. |

| ACTION 77: Create and distribute a newsletter that addresses hazards such as Hazardous Materials releases and Radon and educates the public on evacuation routes and shelter locations and distribute the newsletter to homeowners throughout the township. | This action has been removed at the request of Sugarloaf Township. |
|--|---|
| ACTION 78: Investigate potential methods to protect the historical covered bridges through processes such as elevations, relocations, or potential means to restrict or remove debris which may flow down the waterways and cause damage to the bridges. | This action remains relevant and is included in the 2022 HMPU as Action 71. |

6.2. Mitigation Goals and Objectives

Based on results of the goals and objectives evaluation exercise and input from the HMSG, a list of six goals and twenty corresponding objectives was developed. These goals and objectives remain largely the same as the goals and objectives created for the 2017 HMU with only minor changes noted. Table 6.2-1 details the mitigation goals and objectives established for the 2022 HMPU.

| Table 6.2-1: List of | f Mitigation Strategy Goals and Objectives. (2022) |
|----------------------|--|
| GOAL 1 | Reduce vulnerability, including loss of life and damage to property, to natural and human-made hazards. |
| Objective 1A | Ensure that existing drainage systems (pipes, culverts, channels) are adequate and functioning properly to reduce impacts related to flash flooding and storm water problems. |
| Objective 1B | Minimize future damage due to flooding of the Susquehanna River and its tributaries. |
| Objective 1C | Reduce impacts related to winter storms, tornadoes, windstorms, drought, flash flooding and storm water problems. |
| Objective 1D | Ensure that local building codes/ordinances are consistent with FEMA and PA DCED guidelines and are properly enforced. |
| GOAL 2 | Promote disaster-resistant future development. |
| Objective 2A | Minimize future damage due to flooding of the Susquehanna River and its tributaries by promoting resistant construction, retrofitting techniques and in the rural areas by erosion/ sedimentation control practices. |
| Objective 2B | Regulate construction/ development in the County to prevent increases in runoff and subsequent increases in flood flows. |

| Objective 2C | Ensure that new construction is resistant to natural hazards. |
|--------------|---|
| GOAL 3 | Improve emergency warning and response capabilities and procedures to better protect the citizens of Columbia County. |
| Objective 3A | Provide residents with adequate warning of potential floods and other meteorological events. |
| Objective 3B | Ensure that emergency response services and critical facilities functions are not interrupted by hazards. |
| Objective 3C | Provide adequate, safe, and efficient evacuation routes and shelters during hazard events. |
| Objective 3D | Ensure adequate communication systems exist for emergency management agencies and emergency response units. |
| Objective 3E | Ensure that local officials are well trained regarding natural hazard and appropriate prevention and mitigation activities and improve communications between the public and emergency management services. |
| GOAL 4 | Protect existing natural resources and preserve environmentally sensitive areas where hazard potential is high. |
| Objective 4A | Protect existing natural resources and open space, including parks and wetlands, within the floodplains. |
| Objective 4B | Restore degraded natural resources and open space to improve their flood control function. |
| Objective 4C | Preserve areas where natural hazard potential is high such as steeply sloping areas, sinkhole areas. |
| GOAL 5 | Increase Public Awareness regarding natural and human-made hazard risks, preparedness and mitigation. |
| Objective 5A | Ensure that all residents and business owners are aware of the potential hazards associated with their environment and the ways they can protect themselves. |
| Objective 5B | Improve the participation rate in federal flood insurance through education |
| Objective 5C | Develop citizen information on natural, technological, and man-made disaster response. |
| GOAL 6 | Implement structural projects to reduce the impacts of hazards. |
| Objective 6A | Use the Act 167 Storm water Management Plan as a guide to implementing structural solutions to reduce the impact of flooding. |
| Objective 6B | Design and implement appropriate flood control projects. |
| Objective 6C | Provide information to municipal officials regarding available funding for structural projects. |

6.3. Identification and Analysis of Mitigation Techniques

Appendix 7 of the SOG developed by PEMA provides a comprehensive list of hazard mitigation ideas. Columbia County used this guide to identify mitigation techniques and develop mitigation actions. There are six categories of mitigation actions which Columbia County considered in developing its Mitigation Action Plan. Those categories include:

Prevention: Government administrative or regulatory actions or processes that influence the way land and buildings are developed and built. These actions also include public activities to reduce hazard losses. Examples include planning, zoning, building codes, subdivision regulations, hazard specific regulations (such as floodplain regulations), capital improvement programs, and open-space preservation and stormwater regulations.

Property Protection: Actions that involve modifying or removing existing buildings or infrastructure to protect them from a hazard. Examples include the acquisition, elevation and relocation of structures, structural retrofits, flood-proofing, storm shutters, and shatter-resistant glass. Most of these property protection techniques are considered to involve "sticks and bricks;" however, this category also includes insurance.

Public Education and Awareness: Actions to inform and educate citizens, elected officials, and property owners about potential risks from hazards and potential ways to mitigate them. Such actions include hazard mapping, outreach projects, library materials dissemination, real estate disclosures, the creation of hazard information centers, and school age / adult education programs.

Natural Resource Protection: Actions that, in addition to minimizing hazard losses, also preserve or restore the functions of natural systems. These actions include sediment and erosion control, stream corridor restoration, forest and vegetation management, wetlands restoration or preservation, slope stabilization, and historic property and archeological site preservation.

Structural Project Implementation: Mitigation projects intended to lessen the impact of a hazard by using structures to modify the environment. Structures include storm water controls (culverts); dams, dikes, and levees; and safe rooms.

Emergency Services: Actions that typically are not considered mitigation techniques but reduce the impacts of a hazard event on people and property. These actions are often taken prior to, during, or in response to an emergency or disaster. Examples include warning systems, evacuation planning and management, emergency response training and exercises, and emergency flood protection procedures.

Table 6.3-1 provides a matrix identifying the mitigation techniques used for the moderate and high-risk hazards in the County. The specific actions associated with these techniques are included in Table 6.4-1.

| Table 6.3-1: Mitigation techniques used for moderate and high risk hazards in Columbia County.(2022) | | |
|--|----------------------|--|
| HAZARD | MITIGATION TECHNIQUE | |
| | | |

Eric Stahley

| | PREVENTION | PROPERTY PROTECTIO N | PUBLIC EDUCATION AND AWARENESS | NATURAL RESOURCE PROTECTION | STRUCTURAL PROJECT IMPLEMEN- TATION | EMERGENCY SERVICES |
|-----------------------------------|------------|----------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|--|-----------------------|
| Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam | х | Х | Х | Х | х | х |
| Environmental Hazard | х | | x | х | х | х |
| Winter Storm | х | | х | Х | Х | х |
| Tornado, Windstorm | x | х | х | х | Х | х |
| Nuclear Incidents | х | | х | | Х | х |
| Dam Failure | х | х | х | | Х | х |
| Drought | X | | x | Х | | х |
| Utility Interruption | x | Х | Х | | | х |

6.4. Mitigation Action Plan

Following the Risk Assessment stage of the HMP update process, the Virtual Risk Assessment Meeting was posted to the HM update website on March 12, 2021. The purpose of this virtual training and the subsequent electronic and in person communications with the stakeholders was to develop a framework for the Mitigation Action Plan. In the online YouTube video instructions, the goals and objectives review and evaluation forms were reviewed, the stakeholders were directed how to Mitigation Techniques using PEMA's Mitigation Ideas document. Municipalities were informed that they needed to have at least one hazard-related mitigation action for each municipality. Municipal representatives were given Mitigation Action Forms and were encouraged to complete one for each action they wished to pursue in the 2022 HMPU. Stakeholders that did not participate in the online instruction session and did not complete their forms electronically, had a one on one in person session with County personnel to compete these forms ad to review action items. Jurisdictions that had hazard mitigation project opportunities in the 2017 plan had the opportunity to evaluate and, if applicable, select to carry over their project into the 2022 Mitigation Strategy.

The Mitigation Action Form was not the only avenue available to municipalities to identify mitigation priorities. In total, all municipalities selected actions by using one of the following methods: submission of a Mitigation Action Form; comment provided on other worksheets completed throughout the process (i.e., the Goal and Objective Evaluation, the Evaluation of

Identified Hazards and Risk Form, or Plan Comment Form); or actions located in the 2017 Mitigation Action Plan that the HMSC evaluated and determined to be in progress or incomplete but still viable.

The final list of 89 mitigation actions is made up of actions developed by the HMSG from the 2017 Mitigation Action Plan, actions stemming from the 2017 HMP objectives, actions stemming from comments on the 2017 Mitigation Goals and Objectives and draft plan, and the new actions developed from the Mitigations Action Item online submittals and individual meeting with Stakeholders.

Table 6.4-1 lists all the mitigation actions for the 2022 HMPU. At least one mitigation action was established for each moderate and high-risk hazard in Columbia County, but more than one action is identified for several hazards. Each participating jurisdiction is signed up for at least one action. Each mitigation action is intended to address one or more of the goals and objectives identified in Section 6.2. Actions 25, 27, 33, and 34 will contribute to continued compliance with and participation in the NFIP. Additionally, Actions 22, 24, and 25 will reduce the effects of hazards on new buildings and infrastructure while Actions 6, 29, 34, 47, 48, and 50 will reduce the effects of hazards on existing buildings and infrastructure.

Each of the actions in Table 6.4-1 list the community or communities participating in the action, the action number and description, mitigation technique(s), hazard(s) addressed the lead agency or department, implementation schedule, and potential funding sources. Additional details about each mitigation action can be found on the mitigation action forms in **Appendix C**. Notably, these action forms include estimated project costs (when available), who will administer/implement the action, and the local priority of each action. Please note that emergency services actions have been highlighted green and marked with an asterisk to indicate that while they are important measures designed to reduce losses and suffering, they are not true mitigation actions.

Table 6.4-1: List of 2022 Mitigation Actions

2022 mitigation actions with information including the community or communities affected action category, hazard addressed, action description, lead agency/department, and general implementation schedule. Emergency Services actions have been highlighted in light green and marked with an asterisk to distinguish them.

| COMMUNITY: Columbia County | ACTION: Coordinate with the U.S.G.S., local watershed organizations to increase the number of U.S.G.S. and Integrated Flood Observing and Warning System (IFLOWS) rain and stream gauges in the County, | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| ACTION NO: 1* | specifically along Fishing Creek, as a potential enhancement to the existing Susquehanna River Basin Flood Forecast and Warning System. | | | |
| Category: | Prevention; Emergency Services | | | |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter | | | |
| Lead Agency/Department: | Columbia County Resiliency | | | |
| Implementation Schedule: | Continuous | | | |
| Funding Source: | FEMA - HMGP/PDM; NWS, Flood Control Protected property fees. | | | |

| COMMUNITY: Columbia County EMA; Beaver Twp; Benton Borough; Benton Township; Berwick Borough; Briar Creek Borough; Briar Creek Township; Catawissa Borough; Catawissa Township; Catawissa Township; Cleveland Township; Fishing Creek Township; Franklin Township; Hemlock Township; Greenwood Township; Jackson Township; Jackson Township; Madison Township; Madison Township; Milflin Township; Milflin Township; Millville Borough; Montour Township; Mount Pleasant Township; North Centre Township; Orange Township; Orange Township; Orangeville Borough; Pine Township; Roaring Creek Township; South Centre Township; Sugarloaf Township | ACTION: Conduct routine inspections, regular maintenance, and annual lests on all emergency communications equipment, public address systems, and alert sirens to ensure unhindered operation during an emergency event. | |
|---|--|--|
| Category: | Emergency Services | |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Dam Failure; Drought; Earthquake; Environmental Hazards; Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter; Landslide; Levee Failure; Nuclear Incident; Pandemic; Radon Exposure; Tornado, Windstorm; Utility Interruption; Winter Storm; Wildfire | |
| Lead Agency/Department: | Columbia County EMA | |
| Implementation Schedule: | Continuous | |

Eric Stahley

| Funding Source: | Staff Time; DCED | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| COMMUNITY: Columbia County | ACTION: Ensure that a planned, coordinated, technologically advanced, and effective public warning dissemination program exists at the local | | | |
| ACTION NO: 3* | ievei. | | | |
| Category: | Emergency Services | | | |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Dam Failure; Drought; Earthquake; Environmental Hazards; Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter; Landslide; Levee Failure; Nuclear Incident; Pandemic; Radon Exposure; Tornado, Windstorm; Utility Interruption; Winter Storm; Wildfire | | | |
| Lead Agency/Department: | Columbia County EMA | | | |
| Implementation Schedule: | Continuous | | | |
| Funding Source: | PEMA | | | |
| COMMUNITY: Columbia County | ACTION: Maintain response actions to hazards that are consistent with | | | |
| ACTION NO: 4* | the County-level EOP. | | | |
| Category: | Emergency Services | | | |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Drought; Environmental Hazards; Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter; Pandemic; Landslide; Nuclear Incident; Tornado, Windstorm; Utility Interruption; Winter Storm; Wildfire | | | |
| Lead Agency/Department: | Columbia County EMA | | | |
| Implementation Schedule: | Continuous | | | |
| Funding Source: | Staff Time | | | |
| COMMUNITY: Columbia County Town of Bloomsburg | ACTION: Conduct hazard response practice drills, tabletop exercises, and emergency management training exercises on an annual basis. | | | |
| ACTION NO: 5* | | | | |
| Category: | Emergency Services | | | |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Dam Failure; Drought; Earthquake; Environmental Hazards; Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter; Landslide; Levee Failure; Nuclear Incident; Pandemic; Radon Exposure; Tornado, Windstorm; Utility Interruption; Winter Storm; Wildfire |
|---|--|
| Lead Agency/Department: | Columbia County EMA |
| Implementation Schedule: | Annually |
| Funding Source: | Staff Time |
| COMMUNITY: Mt. Pleasant Township, Orangeville Borough, Orange Township, Scott Township, Town of Bloomsburg, Catawissa Borough, ACTION NO: 6 | ACTION: Increase the protection of critical facilities, such as elevation of critical mechanisms within the facility (i.e.: pump and controls at wastewater treatment plants) or elevation/relocation of the facility as appropriate. |
| Category: | Property Protection |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Utility Interruption |
| Lead Agency/Department: | Local Municipalities/EMC/Authorities |
| Implementation Schedule: | As funding becomes available |
| Funding Source: | FEMA-PDM/HMGP/BRIC; HUD Disaster Recovery Initiative |
| COMMUNITY: Columbia County | ACTION: Create and distribute public informational pamphlets and electronic information related to the potential health and safety implications of various natural bazard events, including information on how |
| ACTION NO: 7 | to properly secure objects within the home or workplace in the event of an earthquake or other seismic event. |
| Category: | Public Education and Awareness |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Drought; Earthquake; Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter; Pandemic; Landslide; Radon Exposure; Tornado, Windstorm; Winter Storm; Wildfire |
| Lead Agency/Department: | Columbia County EMA |

| Implementation Schedule: | Continuous |
|--|--|
| Funding Source: | USACE, FEMA |
| COMMUNITY: Columbia County; Beaver Twp; Benton Borough; Benton Township; Berwick Borough; Bloomsburg; Briar Creek Borough; Briar Creek Township; Catawissa Borough; Catawissa Township; Cleveland Township; Fishing Creek Township; Franklin Township; Hemlock Township; Greenwood Township; Jackson Township; Jackson Township; Madison Township; Madison Township; Malin Township; Millville Borough; Montour Township; Millville Borough; Montour Township; Orange Township; Orange Township; Orange Township; Orange Township; Corangeville Borough; Pine Township; Roaring Creek Township; South Centre Township; South Centre Township; Sugarloaf Township | ACTION: Develop a technical proficiency at the municipal level for conducting post-disaster damage assessments and regulating reconstruction activities to ensure compliance with NFIP substantial damage/substantial improvement requirements and the UCC. |
| ACTION NO: 8* | |

| Category: | Emergency Services |
|--|---|
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter |
| Lead Agency/Department: | Local EMCs |
| Implementation Schedule: | Continuous |
| Funding Source: | PEMA; DCED |
| COMMUNITY: Columbia County; Beaver Twp; Benton Borough; Benton Township; Berwick Borough; Bloomsburg; Briar Creek Borough; Briar Creek Township; Catawissa Borough; Catawissa Township; Cleveland Township; Fishing Creek Township; Franklin Township; Greenwood Township; Greenwood Township; Jackson Township; Jackson Township; Madison Township; Madison Township; Milfilin Township; Milfilin Township; Millville Borough; Montour Township; Mount Pleasant Township; North Centre Township; Orange Township; Orange Township; Orangeville Borough; Pine Township; South Centre Township; Scott Township; South Centre Township; Sugarloaf Township | ACTION: Develop a technical proficiency at the municipal level for assisting local residents and business owners in applying for hazard mitigation and assistance funds and identifying cost beneficial hazard mitigation measures to be incorporated into reconstruction activities. |
| Category: | Public Education and Awareness; Emergency Services |

| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Dam Failure; Drought; Earthquake; Environmental Hazards; Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter; Landslide; Levee Failure; Nuclear Incident; Pandemic; Radon Exposure; Tornado, Windstorm; Utility Interruption; Winter Storm; Wildfire |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| Lead Agency/Department: | Local EMCs with technical assistance provided by Columbia County Resiliency |
| Implementation Schedule: | Continuous |
| Funding Source: | PEMA; DCED |
| COMMUNITY: Columbia County | ACTION: Improve communications between the public and |
| ACTION NO: 10* | emergency management services through online information. |
| Category: | Public Education and Awareness; Emergency Services |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Dam Failure; Drought; Earthquake; Environmental Hazards; Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter; Landslide; Levee Failure; Nuclear Incident; Pandemic; Radon Exposure; Tornado, Windstorm; Utility Interruption; Winter Storm; Wildfire |
| Lead Agency/Department: | Columbia County EMA |
| Implementation Schedule: | Continuous |
| Funding Source: | Staff Time |
| COMMUNITY: Columbia County | ACTION: Maintain a partnering relationship with the NWS Mid-Atlantic River Forecast Center to enhance the existing Susquehanna River Basin |
| ACTION NO: 11* | Flood Forecast and Warning System via the Advanced Hydrologic Prediction Services Program. |
| Category: | Emergency Services |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Drought; Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter |
| Lead Agency/Department: | Columbia County EMA |
| Implementation Schedule: | Continuous |
| Funding Source: | Staff Time |

| COMMUNITY: Columbia County | ACTION: Conduct a detailed inventory and prioritization of local environmental resources via the Comprehensive Planning or similar natural resources planning process to identify where resource conservation could help with hazard reduction. |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| Catagony: | |
| Hazard(a) | Natural Resource Protection |
| Addressed: | Nor'easter; Landslide; Tornado, Windstorm; Winter Storm; Wildfire |
| Lead Agency/Department: | Columbia County Planning Commission, Columbia County Conservation District |
| Implementation Schedule: | Continuous |
| Funding Source: | Staff Time; DCED |
| COMMUNITY: Columbia County | ACTION: Identify undeveloped floodplain and wetland areas through the enforcement of county or municipal SALDO's, and when available, seek |
| ACTION NO: 13 | grants to acquire public open space for passive recreational uses in an effort to minimize/prevent potential flooding damages and enhance the regional environment. |
| Category: | Natural Resource Protection |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter |
| Lead Agency/Department: | Columbia County Planning Commission, Columbia County Conservation District |
| Implementation Schedule: | Continuous |
| Funding Source: | Staff Time; DCED |
| COMMUNITY: Columbia County | ACTION: Develop and implement a wetland protection program, with the aid of PA DEP & DCNR, consisting of public education materials that highlight the functions and values of wetlands and local ordinance provisions that require the identification of wetlands in accordance with federal and state standards and minimize/eliminate their disturbance in accordance with federal and state laws. |
| ACTION NO: 14 | |
| Category: | Natural Resource Protection |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter |

| Lead Agency/Department: | Columbia County Planning Commission, Columbia County Conservation District |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Implementation Schedule: | Within 5 years |
| Funding Source: | Staff Time; DCED |
| COMMUNITY: Columbia County | ACTION: Update and implement a comprehensive water resources management plan that analyzes the County's existing water resources aurophy and evaluates the County's entitiented water use in an effect to |
| ACTION NO: 15 | supply and evaluates the County's anticipated water use in an effort to identify suspected water supply shortages and potential new water supply sources. |
| Category: | Natural Resource Protection |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Drought |
| Lead Agency/Department: | Columbia County Planning Commission, Columbia County Conservation District |
| Implementation Schedule: | Within 5 years |
| Funding Source: | Staff Time; USACE Water Resources Development Act Program |
| COMMUNITY: Columbia County | ACTION: Provide the public and municipal officials with easy accessibility for community DFIRM data and associated Flood Insurance Study, including providing the data and documents at the courthouse, on the county website, and/or conservation district offices along with resources on how to read a flood map, definitions of flood zones, facts about the NFIP, and information on how to purchase flood insurance. |
| ACTION NO: 16 | |
| Category: | Prevention, Property Protection |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter |
| Lead Agency/Department: | Columbia County Resiliency Office, Columbia County Planning Commission, Columbia County GIS |
| Implementation Schedule: | Continuous |
| Funding Source: | Staff Time |
| COMMUNITY: Columbia County | ACTION: Store in an easily accessible location (e.g., at public libraries/website) and make available for public inspection, this hazard mitigation plan, the FEMA guidance documents that were provided as part of the hazard mitigation planning program, any risk assessment publications, and links to agencies or references that are helpful in completing projects. |
| ACTION NO: 17 | |

| Category: | Prevention, Public Education and Awareness |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Dam Failure; Drought; Earthquake; Environmental Hazards; Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter; Landslide; Levee Failure; Nuclear Incident; Pandemic; Radon Exposure; Tornado, Windstorm; Utility Interruption; Winter Storm; Wildfire |
| Lead Agency/Department: | Columbia County EMA/Columbia County Planning Commission/Columbia County Resiliency Office |
| Implementation Schedule: | Within 6 months of formal HMP adoption |
| Funding Source: | Staff Time |
| COMMUNITY: Columbia County | ACTION: Develop and distribute a public summary of this hazard mitigation plan including relevant information on hazard specific "do's" and "don'ts", hazard-prone areas, and emergency contact information. |
| ACTION NO: 18 | |
| Category: | Public Education and Awareness |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Dam Failure; Drought; Earthquake; Environmental Hazards; Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter; Landslide; Levee Failure; Nuclear Incident; Pandemic; Radon Exposure; Tornado, Windstorm; Utility Interruption; Winter Storm; Wildfire |
| Lead Agency/Department: | Columbia County EMA Columbia County Resiliency Office |
| Implementation Schedule: | Within 1 year of formal HMP adoption |
| Funding Source: | Staff Time |
| COMMUNITY: Columbia County | ACTION: Develop and implement a post-disaster recovery and mitigation training program for local officials. |
| ACTION NO: 19* | |
| Category: | Public Education and Awareness, Emergency Services |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Dam Failure; Drought; Earthquake; Environmental Hazards; Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter; Landslide; Levee Failure; Nuclear Incident; Pandemic; Radon Exposure; Tornado, Windstorm; Utility Interruption; Winter Storm; Wildfire |
| Lead Agency/Department: | Columbia County EMA |
| Implementation Schedule: | Continuous |

| Funding Source: | Staff Time |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| COMMUNITY: Columbia County | ACTION: Maintain a website links/references section on the Columbia County and/or CCEMA website homepage to include links to FEMA - <u>http://www.fema.gov.</u> PEMA - <u>http://www.pema.pa.gov.</u> PA DCED - |
| ACTION NO: 20 | http://www.newpa.com, and NWS - http://www.weather.gov/. Additional links could also include those for watershed associations, the SRBC- http://www.srbc.net/ |
| Category: | Public Education and Awareness |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Dam Failure; Drought; Earthquake; Environmental Hazards; Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter; Landslide; Levee Failure; Nuclear Incident; Pandemic; Radon Exposure; Tornado, Windstorm; Utility Interruption; Winter Storm; Wildfire |
| Lead Agency/Department: | Columbia CountyEMA |
| Implementation Schedule: | Continuous |
| Funding Source: | Staff Time |
| COMMUNITY: Columbia County | ACTION: Coordinate with FEMA, PEMA, PA DCED, NWS, the CCCD and any other appropriate entities on developing and implementing a |
| ACTION NO: 21 | natural hazard awareness curriculum in local schools. |
| Category: | Public Education and Awareness |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Dam Failure; Drought; Earthquake; Environmental Hazards; Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter; Landslide; Levee Failure; Nuclear Incident; Pandemic; Radon Exposure; Tornado, Windstorm; Utility Interruption; Winter Storm; Wildfire |
| Lead Agency/Department: | Columbia County EMA |
| Implementation Schedule: | Continuous |
| | |

| COMMUNITY: Columbia County; Beaver Twp; Benton Borough; Benton Township; Berwick Borough; Bloomsburg; Briar Creek Borough; Briar Creek Township; Catawissa Borough; Catawissa Township; Cleveland Township; Fishing Creek Township; Franklin Township; Hemlock Township; Greenwood Township; Jackson Township; Jackson Township; Madison Township; Madison Township; Main Township; Millville Borough; Montour Township; Millville Borough; Montour Township; Mount Pleasant Township; North Centre Township; Orange Township; Orange Township; Orange Township; Corange Township; Roaring Creek Township; Scott Township; South Centre Township; Stillwater Borough; Sugarloaf Township | ACTION: Develop new or revise existing Zoning Ordinances & Floodplain Regulations to include appropriate development criteria for known hazard areas. |
|--|--|
| Category: | Prevention |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Dam Failure; Drought; Earthquake; Environmental Hazards; Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter; Landslide; Levee Failure; Nuclear Incident; Pandemic; Radon Exposure; Tornado, Windstorm; Utility Interruption; Winter Storm; Wildfire |
| Lead Agency/Department: | Municipal Government Officials/Zoning Offices |
| Implementation Schedule: | Within 3 years |
| Funding Source: | Staff Time; DCED, Potential state or federal grant funding |

| COMMUNITY: Columbia County | ACTION: Maintain and make available for municipal use, digital hazard mapping files that will be based on the assessment of vulnerability identified in this mitigation plan. |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| Category: | Dublic Education and Awarances |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Dam Failure; Drought; Earthquake; Environmental Hazards; Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter; Landslide; Levee Failure; Nuclear Incident; Pandemic; Radon Exposure; Tornado, Windstorm; Utility Interruption; Winter Storm; Wildfire |
| Lead Agency/Department: | Columbia County GIS Department |
| Implementation Schedule: | Continuous |
| Funding Source: | Staff Time |
| COMMUNITY: Columbia County | ACTION: Develop new Subdivision and Land Development Ordinances or revise existing Subdivision and Land Development Ordinances to include municipality apposition bazard mitiaction related development |
| ACTION NO: 24 | criteria and/or provisions for the mandatory use of conservation subdivision design principles in order to regulate the location and construction of buildings and other infrastructure in known hazard areas. |
| Category: | Prevention |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Dam Failure; Drought; Earthquake; Environmental Hazards; Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter; Landslide; Levee Failure; Nuclear Incident; Pandemic; Radon Exposure; Tornado, Windstorm; Utility Interruption; Winter Storm; Wildfire |
| Lead Agency/Department: | Columbia County Planning Commission |
| Implementation Schedule: | Within 5 years |
| Funding Source: | Staff Time; DCED |
| COMMUNITY: Columbia County | ACTION: Encourage municipal compliance with NFIP and PA Act 166 floodplain development regulations and/or encourage more restrictive requirements, as appropriate by conducting training and |
| ACTION NO: 25 | inspection workshops. |
| Category: | Prevention |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter |

| Lead Agency/Department: | Columbia County Resiliency Office; Columbia County Conservation District |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Implementation Schedule: | Within 5 years |
| Funding Source: | Staff Time; DCED |
| COMMUNITY: Columbia County | ACTION: Ensure municipal compliance with local watershed-specific |
| ACTION NO: 26 | Act 167 Storm Water Management Plan 2001 and Ordinances |
| Category: | Prevention |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter |
| Lead Agency/Department: | Columbia County Planning Commission; Columbia County Conservation District |
| Implementation Schedule: | Continuous |
| Funding Source: | Staff Time; DCED |
| COMMUNITY: Columbia County | ACTION: Maintain a flood damage reduction/prevention public education program utilizing the EMA Website and Social Media/Resiliency website including but not limited to the development of informative training for least |
| ACTION NO: 27 | officials on NWS "Storm Ready", FEMA, and NFIP Programs. |
| Category: | Public Education and Awareness |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter |
| Lead Agency/Department: | Columbia County EMA and Columbia County Resiliency |
| Implementation Schedule: | Continuous |
| Funding Source: | Staff Time; NWS |
| COMMUNITY: Columbia County | ACTION: Continue participation in the National Weather Service Storm Ready Program. |
| ACTION NO: 28 | |
| Category: | Public Education and Awareness |

| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter; Tornado, Windstorm; Winter Storm |
|--|--|
| Lead Agency/Department: | Columbia County EMA |
| Implementation Schedule: | Continuous |
| Funding Source: | Staff Time |
| COMMUNITY: Bloomsburg; Hemlock Township; Scott Township. | ACTION: Continue to investigate the possibility of continuing the existing flood control system to include the areas east of the newly created system to tie in to the exiting Kawneer system, and continue East into Scott Township, as well as continuing to investigate the implementation of flood mitigation projects, both structural and non-structural, for the West End of Bloomsburg. |
| ACTION NO: 29 | |
| Category: | Structural Project |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter |
| Lead Agency/Department: | SEDA-COG |
| Implementation Schedule: | Within Five Years |
| Funding Source: | DCED, USACE, LCFPA |
| COMMUNITY: Columbia County | ACTION: Evaluate Public Information and Education to ensure appropriate public response to natural hazards, their potential impacts and appropriate actions that should be taken by the public to reduce damages. |
| ACTION NO: 30 | |
| Category: | Public Education and Awareness |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Drought; Earthquake; Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter; Pandemic; Landslide; Radon Exposure; Tornado, Windstorm; Winter Storm; Wildfire |
| Lead Agency/Department: | Columbia County EMA |

| Implementation Schedule: | Continuous |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Funding Source: | Staff Time |
| COMMUNITY: Columbia County | ACTION: Develop a completely new or amend the existing 1995 Comprehensive Plan to include an assessment and associated mapping |
| ACTION NO: 31 | of the municipality's vulnerability to location specific hazards and appropriate recommendations for the use of these hazard areas. |
| Category: | Prevention |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Dam Failure; Drought; Earthquake; Environmental Hazards; Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter; Landslide; Levee Failure; Nuclear Incident; Pandemic; Radon Exposure; Tornado, Windstorm; Utility Interruption; Winter Storm; Wildfire |
| Lead Agency/Department: | Columbia County Planning Commission |
| Implementation Schedule: | Within 5 years |
| Funding Source: | Staff Time; DCED |
| COMMUNITY: Columbia County | ACTION: Encourage local business and industry owners and residents to develop an emergency response plan as a potential alternative to implementing a physical property protection measure which may not be technically or fiscally appropriate. This should address real estate full disclosure requirements and training workshops/materials for these groups. |
| ACTION NO: 32* | |
| Category: | Prevention; Emergency Services |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Dam Failure; Drought; Earthquake; Environmental Hazards; Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter; Landslide; Levee Failure; Nuclear Incident; Pandemic; Radon Exposure; Tornado, Windstorm; Utility Interruption; Winter Storm; Wildfire |
| Lead Agency/Department: | Columbia County EMA |
| Implementation Schedule: | Continuous |
| | |

| COMMUNITY: Columbia County; Beaver Twp; Benton Borough; Benton Township; Berwick Borough; Bloomsburg; Briar Creek Borough; Briar Creek Borough; Catawissa Borough; Catawissa Township; Catawissa Township; Cleveland Township; Fishing Creek Township; Franklin Township; Hemlock Township; Greenwood Township; Jackson Township; Jackson Township; Main Township; Main Township; Main Township; Millville Borough; Montour Township; Millville Borough; Montour Township; Orange Township; Orange Township; Orange Township; Orange Township; Roaring Creek Township; Scott Township; South Centre Township; Sugarloaf Township | ACTION: Coordinate with PEMA, FEMA, and DCED to ensure that affected community members are aware of the of the Biggert-Waters legislation, the FEMA sponsored updated flood mapping for the Susquehanna River Basin, and the availability and benefits of obtaining federally backed flood insurance. Encourage uninsured affected community members to purchase flood insurance, and to inform community members outside of the SFHA that they are also eligible to purchase flood insurance through the NFIP. |
|---|---|
| Category: | |
| Hazard(s) | Prevention; Public Education and Awareness |
| Addressed: | Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter |
| Lead Agency/Department: | Columbia County Resiliency Office; Township/Borough Leaders |
| Implementation Schedule: | Continuous |
| Funding Source: | PEMA; DCED |

| COMMUNITY: Beaver Twp; Benton Borough; Benton Township; Berwick Borough; Bloomsburg; Briar Creek Borough; Briar Creek Township; Catawissa Borough; Catawissa Township; Cleveland Township; Fishing Creek Township; Eranklin | |
|--|--|
| Township; Hemlock Township; Greenwood Township; Jackson Township; Locust Township; Madison Township; Madison Township; Main Township; Millville Borough; Montour Township; Mount Pleasant Township; North Centre Township; Orange Township; Orange Township; Orangeville Borough; Pine Township; Roaring Creek Township; Scott Township; South Centre Township; Sugarloaf Township | ACTION: When funding becomes available, perform acquisitions, foundation stabilizations, demolitions or demolition and rebuilding in accordance with the current adopted community floodplain ordinances, building codes, or minimum NFIP standards, whichever is greater, retrofitting, relocations, elevations, and dry and wet flood proofing on hazard-prone homes and commercial structures as appropriate. |
| ACTION NO: 34 | |
| Calegory. | Structural Projects; Property Protection |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Earthquake; Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter |
| Lead Agency/Department: | Municipalities |
| Implementation Schedule: | As funding becomes available |
| Funding Source: | FEMA/HMGP, BRIC, PDM, RFC, SRL as appropriate |

| COMMUNITY: | |
|-------------------------|---|
| Beaver Twp; Benton | |
| Townshin: Berwick | |
| Borough: Bloomsburg: | |
| Briar Creek Borough: | |
| Briar Creek Township; | |
| Catawissa Borough; | |
| Catawissa Township; | |
| Cleveland Township; | |
| Fishing Creek | |
| Township; Franklin | |
| Township: Greenwood | |
| Township: Jackson | ACTION: Coordinate with the local municipality and/or PennDOT on the |
| Township; Locust | potential feasibility of replacing, removing, or enlarging those bridge and |
| Township; Madison | culvert stream crossings that were identified during the Act 167 Storm |
| Township; Main | Creek and West End Mitigation Studies as being unable to pass the 10- |
| Township; Mifflin | year frequency flood flow. To remove abandoned railroad bridges on |
| Township; Millville | Catawissa Creek that impede the flow of water and cause flooding, |
| Borough; Montour | |
| Pleasant Townshin: | |
| North Centre | |
| Township: Orange | |
| Township; Orangeville | |
| Borough; Pine | |
| Township; Roaring | |
| Creek Township; Scott | |
| Township; South | |
| Centre Township; | |
| Suganoar rownship | |
| ACTION NO: 35 | |
| Category: | Property Protection |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter |
| | |
| Lead | Columbia County Planning Commission: PennDOT |
| Agency/Department: | |
| Implementation | |
| Schedule: | As funding becomes available |
| Funding Source: | PennDOT |

| COMMUNITY: Beaver Twp, Catawissa Borough; Catawissa Township, Cleveland Township, Fishing Creek Township, Franklin Township, Hemlock Township, Locust Township, Main | ACTION: Conduct drainage system and ditch line maintenance & upgrades throughout the Municipality to prevent roadway flooding, and ensure existing drainage systems are adequate and functioning properly in order to reduce impacts related to flash flooding and storm water/runoff. |
|--|---|
| ACTION NO: 36 | |
| Category: | Prevention, Property Protection |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter |
| Lead Agency/Department: | Township Supervisors, PennDOT |
| Implementation Schedule: | As funding becomes available |
| Funding Source: | PA Liquid Fuels Funds |
| COMMUNITY: Bloomsburg | ACTION: Dig out diversion overflow pathway of Kinney Run to provide a better pathway for water to flow. |
| ACTION NO: 37 | |
| Category: | Natural Resource Protection |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter |
| Lead Agency/Department: | Town Public Works Department |
| Implementation Schedule: | As funding becomes available |
| Funding Source: | DEP |
| COMMUNITY: Bloomsburg | ACTION: Continue to send out information to all residents of the Town regarding flood potential via the CRS Education newsletter and social media. |
| ACTION NO: 38 | |
| Category: | Public Education and Awareness |

| Hazard(s) | Flood Flash Flood Ice Jam Hurricane Tropical Storm Nor'easter |
|---|---|
| Addressed: | |
| Lead Agency/Department: | CRS Coordinator |
| Implementation Schedule: | Annually |
| Funding Source: | Staff Time |
| COMMUNITY: Catawissa Borough | ACTION: Heighten public awareness of flooding from the Catawissa Creek and Susquehanna River by publishing and distribution of |
| ACTION NO: 39 | newsletters and notices in the newspaper. |
| Category: | Public Education and Awareness |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter |
| Lead Agency/Department: | Catawissa Borough Municipal Staff |
| | |
| Implementation Schedule: | Annually |
| Implementation Schedule: Funding Source: | Annually Staff Time |
| Implementation Schedule:Funding Source:COMMUNITY: Catawissa Borough | Annually Staff Time ACTION: Minimize effects of utility interruptions by completing tree trimming projects, replacement of damaged utility poles, and |
| Implementation Schedule:Funding Source:COMMUNITY: Catawissa BoroughACTION NO: 40 | Annually Staff Time ACTION: Minimize effects of utility interruptions by completing tree trimming projects, replacement of damaged utility poles, and installation of underground utility lines. |
| Implementation Schedule:Funding Source:COMMUNITY: Catawissa BoroughACTION NO: 40Category: | Annually Staff Time ACTION: Minimize effects of utility interruptions by completing tree trimming projects, replacement of damaged utility poles, and installation of underground utility lines. Prevention |
| Implementation Schedule:Funding Source:COMMUNITY: Catawissa BoroughACTION NO: 40Category:Hazard(s) Addressed: | Annually Staff Time ACTION: Minimize effects of utility interruptions by completing tree trimming projects, replacement of damaged utility poles, and installation of underground utility lines. Prevention Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter; Tornado, Windstorm; Utility Interruption; Winter Storm |
| Implementation Schedule:Funding Source:COMMUNITY: Catawissa BoroughACTION NO: 40Category:Hazard(s) Addressed:Lead Agency/Department: | Annually Staff Time ACTION: Minimize effects of utility interruptions by completing tree trimming projects, replacement of damaged utility poles, and installation of underground utility lines. Prevention Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter; Tornado, Windstorm; Utility Interruption; Winter Storm Catawissa Borough Light Department, PPL |
| Implementation Schedule:Funding Source:COMMUNITY: Catawissa BoroughACTION NO: 40Category:Hazard(s) Addressed:Lead Agency/Department:Implementation Schedule: | Annually Staff Time ACTION: Minimize effects of utility interruptions by completing tree trimming projects, replacement of damaged utility poles, and installation of underground utility lines. Prevention Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter; Tornado, Windstorm; Utility Interruption; Winter Storm Catawissa Borough Light Department, PPL As funding becomes available |

| COMMUNITY: Benton Borough, Town of Bloomsburg, Cleveland Township, Franklin Township, Locust Township, Mount Pleasant Township, Roaring Creek Township | ACTION: Improve emergency management warning and response capabilities and procedures to better protect the public through implementation of an early warning or alert program that utilizes cloud based or other technology-based communications to distribute texts, phone calls, email alerts, or social media messages. Development, implementation, and maintenance of emergency evacuation plans and emergency responder training and exercises. |
|---|---|
| ACTION NO: 41* | |
| Category: | Emergency Services |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Dam Failure; Drought; Earthquake; Environmental Hazards; Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter; Landslide; Levee Failure; Nuclear Incident; Pandemic; |
| Lead Agency/Department: | Township Supervisors, Town of Bloomsburg EMA, Roaring Creek Zone EMA, with assistance from Columbia County EMA |
| Implementation Schedule: | As funding becomes available |
| Funding Source: | Municipal Funds, PEMA & FEMA grants/BRIC |
| COMMUNITY: Town of Bloomsburg Cleveland Township, Franklin Township, Hemlock Township; Locust Township, Mt. Pleasant Township; Roaring Creek Township; Stillwater Borough. | ACTION: Conduct sediment, erosion control, and stream restoration throughout the township |
| ACTION NO: 42 | |
| Category: | Prevention, Property Protection |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter |

Eric Stahley

| Lead Agency/Department: | Township Supervisors |
|---|--|
| Implementation Schedule: | As funding becomes available |
| Funding Source: | Municipal funding, Agricultural Department, Department of Forestry |
| COMMUNITY: Fishing Creek Township | ACTION: Acquire database (hard copy) of all properties within Township flood zone. Present and make available information for the public. |
| ACTION NO: 43* | |
| Category: | Public Education & Awareness, Emergency Services |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter |
| Lead Agency/Department: | Fishing Creek Township Supervisors, Township Secretary |
| Implementation Schedule: | Completion within one year |
| Funding Source: | Township General Fund |
| COMMUNITY: Millville Borough; Mt. Pleasant Township | ACTION: Conduct routine stream maintenance to keep Fishing Creek free of obstructions to flow to prevent flooding problems. |
| ACTION NO: 44 | |
| Category: | Property Protection, Natural Resource Protection |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter |
| Lead Agency/Department: | Millville Borough Council - Mt. Pleasant Township Supervisors |
| Implementation Schedule: | As funds become available |
| Funding Source: | DCNR; DEP |

| COMMUNITY: Millville Borough, Pine Township, Greenwood Township, Madison Township | ACTION: Improve emergency communications during events by building the communications network, by purchasing portable radios and a base radio that meets the new high band frequency requirement. |
|--|--|
| ACTION NO: 45 * | |
| Category: | Emergency Services |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Dam Failure; Drought; Earthquake; Environmental Hazards; Flood, Flash |
| Lead Agency/Department: | Municipal Officials, Millville Area Zone Emergency Management Agency (MAZEMA) |
| Implementation Schedule: | As funds become available |
| Funding Source: | State & Federal Grants |
| COMMUNITY: Montour Township ACTION NO: 46 | ACTION: Conduct a 5-year engineering plan to reduce roadway damage due to flooding, landslides, run-off, and weather. |
| Category: | Prevention |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter; Landslide; Winter Storms |
| Lead Agency/Department: | Township Engineer |
| Implementation Schedule: | 5 years |
| Funding Source: | FEMA/HMGP/BRIC |
| COMMUNITY: Town of Bloomsburg; Mt. Pleasant Township; | ACTION: Conduct outreach to township residents regarding flood hazard mitigation via the Township website. |

| ACTION NO: 47 | |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Category: | Public Education and Awareness |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter |
| Lead Agency/Department: | Community/Municipal Administrative Offices |
| Implementation Schedule: | Continuous |
| Funding Source: | Staff Time; Township General Fund |
| COMMUNITY: Scott Township | ACTION: Research and develop storm water management methods and floodplain ordinances to better protect lives and property from |
| ACTION NO: 48 | floods based on best practices from Pennsylvania and Nationally. |
| Category: | Prevention |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter |
| Lead Agency/Department: | Township Administrative Office |
| Implementation Schedule: | 5 years |
| Funding Source: | Township General Fund (project funding already set aside) |
| COMMUNITY: Beaver Township; | ACTION: Upgrade Radiological Emergency Preparedness activities for |
| ACTION NO: 49* | NUREG 0654, FEMA REP 1. Rev. 2, December 2019 guidance. |
| Category: | Prevention; Emergency Services |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Nuclear Incidents |
| Lead Agency/Department: | Local EMCs |
| Implementation Schedule: | Continuous (3 times every 2 years) |
| Funding Source: | Township/Borough General Fund; PEMA |

| COMMUNITY: Columbia County | ACTION: Provide better data from tropical spring, summer, and fall rainstorms, landslides, and winter storms, especially local dollar amounts of damage. |
|--|---|
| ACTION NO: 50 | |
| Category: | Public Education and Awareness |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Landslide, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter, Winter Storm |
| Lead Agency/Department: | Columbia County EMA |
| Implementation Schedule: | Continuous |
| Funding Source: | Staff Time |
| COMMUNITY: Hemlock Township, Scott Township | ACTION: Publish and distribute newsletters and website information to township residents on flood resources. Conduct workshops on managing |
| ACTION NO: 51 | storm water through use of rain gardens and other appropriate means. |
| Category: | Public Education and Awareness |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter |
| Lead Agency/Department: | Hemlock Township Zoning |
| Implementation Schedule: | Newsletter – within one year of adoption of HMP Workshops – within two years of adoption of HMP |
| Funding Source: | Township Staff Time, County Resource Assistance |
| COMMUNITY: Orangeville Borough | ACTION: Implement a comprehensive water resources management plan that analyzes the Borough's existing water resources supply and evaluates the Borough's anticipated water use in an effort to identify suspected water supply shortages and potential new water supply sources, including but not limited to drilling of a secondary well to feed the water supply. |
| ACTION NO: 52 | |
| Category: | Natural Resource Protection |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Drought |
| Lead Agency/Department: | Municipal Sewer/Water Coordinator or Borough Secretary |

| Implementation Schedule: | Within 5 years |
|--|---|
| Funding Source: | When funding becomes available |
| COMMUNITY: Jackson Township; Mt. Pleasant Township | ACTION: Install new piping and/or road work where needed to increase the capabilities of water drainage from various roadways. |
| ACTION NO: 53 | |
| Category: | Property Protection |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter |
| Lead Agency/Department: | Township Supervisors |
| Implementation Schedule: | As funding becomes available |
| Funding Source: | Columbia County Conservation District |
| COMMUNITY: Jackson Township | ACTION: Include information on disaster or emergency education to residents in the yearly newsletter sent to residents of the |
| ACTION NO: 54 | Township. |
| Category: | Public Education and Awareness |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Dam Failure; Drought; Earthquake; Environmental Hazards; Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter; Landslide; Levee |
| Lead Agency/Department: | Township Administrative Office |
| Implementation Schedule: | 1 year |
| Funding Source: | Township General Fund; Staff Time |
| COMMUNITY: North Centre Township | ACTION: Promote awareness of designated shelters during radiological emergencies at Susquehanna Steam Electric Station and during severe weather events in semi-annual newsletter. |
| ACTION NO: 55* | |

Eric Stahley

| Category: | Public Education and Awareness, Emergency Services |
|---|---|
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Environmental Hazards; Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter; Nuclear Incident; Tornado, Windstorm; Utility Interruption; Winter Storm; Wildfire |
| Lead Agency/Department: | Township EMC |
| Implementation Schedule: | Twice a year each year |
| Funding Source: | Township General Fund |
| COMMUNITY: Catawissa Township | ACTION: Acquisition of Levan residence on Old Numidia Road which was |
| ACTION NO: 56 | |
| Category: | Property Protection, Structural |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter |
| | |
| Lead Agency/Department: | Township Supervisors |
| Lead Agency/Department: Implementation Schedule: | Township Supervisors As funds become available |
| Lead Agency/Department: Implementation Schedule: Funding Source: | Township Supervisors As funds become available State & Federal Grants |
| Lead Agency/Department:Implementation Schedule:Funding Source:COMMUNITY: Catawissa Township | Township Supervisors As funds become available State & Federal Grants ACTION: Continue to investigate the possibility of mitigation measures along Mountain Road to prevent further damages to roadway, including |
| Lead Agency/Department:Implementation Schedule:Funding Source:COMMUNITY: Catawissa TownshipACTION NO: 57 | Township Supervisors As funds become available State & Federal Grants ACTION: Continue to investigate the possibility of mitigation measures along Mountain Road to prevent further damages to roadway, including elevation of the road surface |
| Lead Agency/Department:Implementation Schedule:Funding Source:COMMUNITY: Catawissa TownshipACTION NO: 57Category: | Township Supervisors As funds become available State & Federal Grants ACTION: Continue to investigate the possibility of mitigation measures along Mountain Road to prevent further damages to roadway, including elevation of the road surface Property Protection, Structural |
| Lead Agency/Department:Implementation Schedule:Funding Source:COMMUNITY: Catawissa TownshipACTION NO: 57Category:Hazard(s) Addressed: | Township SupervisorsAs funds become availableState & Federal GrantsACTION: Continue to investigate the possibility of mitigation measures along Mountain Road to prevent further damages to roadway, including elevation of the road surfaceProperty Protection, StructuralFlood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter |
| Lead Agency/Department:Implementation Schedule:Funding Source:COMMUNITY: Catawissa TownshipACTION NO: 57Category:Hazard(s) | Township SupervisorsAs funds become availableState & Federal GrantsACTION: Continue to investigate the possibility of mitigation measures along Mountain Road to prevent further damages to roadway, including elevation of the road surfaceProperty Protection, StructuralFlood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easterTownship Supervisors, Road Crew |
| Lead Agency/Department:Implementation Schedule:Funding Source:Funding Source:COMMUNITY: Catawissa TownshipACTION NO: 57Category:Hazard(s) Addressed:Lead Agency/Department:Implementation Schedule: | Township SupervisorsAs funds become availableState & Federal GrantsACTION: Continue to investigate the possibility of mitigation measures along Mountain Road to prevent further damages to roadway, including elevation of the road surfaceProperty Protection, StructuralFlood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easterTownship Supervisors, Road CrewAs funds become available |

| COMMUNITY: Berwick Borough, Briar Creek Borough, Orange Township | ACTION: Conduct outreach to residents of mobile home parks or trailers on how and why to anchor trailers to protect against severe windstorms and flood events. |
|--|--|
| ACTION NO: 58 | |
| Category: | Public Education and Awareness |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter; Tornado, Windstorm |
| Lead Agency/Department: | Township Supervisors |
| Implementation Schedule: | Within 5 years |
| Funding Source: | Staff Time |
| COMMUNITY: Columbia County | ACTION: Conduct outreach on the benefits of being a CRS community. |
| ACTION NO: 59 | |
| Category: | Public Education and Awareness |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam |
| Lead Agency/Department: | Columbia County Resiliency |
| Implementation Schedule: | Within 5 years |
| Funding Source: | Staff Time |
| COMMUNITY: Mifflin Township | ACTION: Perform acquisitions, dry flood proofing, wet flood proofing, purchasing of development rights, zoning, and storm water management |
| ACTION NO: 60 | to address the flooding along River Road and Tributary 13. |
| Category: | Structural Projects, Property Protection |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter |

| Lead Agency/Department: | Mifflin Township Supervisors |
|--|--|
| Implementation Schedule: | As funds become available |
| Funding Source: | Township Funds, State & Federal Grants |
| COMMUNITY: Main Township; Mount Pleasant Township; Stillwater Borough | ACTION: Clean up and maintain streams continuously throughout the Township, if funding is available. |
| ACTION NO: 61 | |
| Category: | Natural Resource Protection |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter |
| Lead Agencv/Department: | Township Supervisors and Borough Council |
| Implementation Schedule: | As funds become available |
| Funding Source: | DCNR; DEP |
| COMMUNITY: Columbia County | ACTION: Develop additional mitigation actions that are specific to each hazard identified in this risk assessment. |
| ACTION NO: 62 | |
| Category: | Prevention |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Dam Failure; Drought; Earthquake; Environmental Hazards; Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter; Landslide; Levee |
| Lead Agency/Department: | Columbia County Planning Commission; Columbia County EMA; GIS Department; Resiliency Department |
| Implementation Schedule: | Within 5 years |
| Funding Source: | FEMA/HMGP; FEMA/PDM/BRIC |
| COMMUNITY: Columbia County | ACTION: Work to compile a comprehensive list of levees within the County that includes the name and location of the levee, who built the structure, who maintains and operates the levee, and whether it protects accient the |
| ACTION NO: 63 | 1% annual chance flood. |

| Category: | Prevention; Public Education and Awareness |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Levee Failure |
| Lead Agencv/Department: | Columbia County EMA; Resiliency Dept.; GIS Dept.; CCWMA; Local EMCs |
| Implementation Schedule: | Continuous |
| Funding Source: | Staff Time |
| COMMUNITY: Columbia County | ACTION: Foster increased cooperation and communication between Columbia County EMA and the owners of privately held dams that |
| ACTION NO: 64 | and dam failure scenarios or exercises, as appropriate. |
| Category: | Prevention; Public Education and Awareness |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Dam Failure |
| Lead Agency/Department: | Columbia County EMA; Local EMCs |
| Implementation Schedule: | Continuous |
| Funding Source: | Staff Time |
| COMMUNITY: Columbia County | ACTION: Create and distribute electronic and print information on radon |
| ACTION NO: 65 | County, especially those in zip codes with elevated radon test levels. |
| Category: | Public Education and Awareness |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Radon Exposure |
| Lead Agency/Department: | Columbia County EMA; Local EMCs |
| Implementation Schedule: | Continuous |
| Funding Source: | Staff Time |
| COMMUNITY: Beaver Township | ACTION: Foster increased cooperation and communication between Beaver Township and the owners of privately held dams that might |

| ACTION NO: 66 | impact downstream communities through outreach, education, and dam failure scenarios or exercises, as appropriate. Encourage dam owners to create an emergency action plan that addresses the bazard |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Category: | Prevention; Public Education and Awareness |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Dam Failure |
| Lead Agency/Department: | Columbia County EMA; Local EMCs; Resiliency Department; Dam owners; and GIS Department |
| Implementation Schedule: | Continuous |
| Funding Source: | Staff Time |
| COMMUNITY: Orange Township | ACTION: Maintain and improve the levee located along Fishing Creek |
| ACTION NO: 67 | in Orange Township in order to realize more effective flood protection for surrounding properties |
| Category: | Structural |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Flooding, Flash Flooding, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter |
| Lead Agency/Department: | Orange Township Maintenance Department |
| Implementation Schedule: | Ongoing as funding becomes available |
| Funding Source: | Township General Funds, DCED Grant Funding, PEMA/FEMA Grant Funding |
| COMMUNITY: Orange Township | ACTION: Address natural gas compressor station and pipeline construction within the township through education and outreach to residents relating to the impact of natural gas structures on the local community |
| ACTION NO: 68 | |
| Category: | Public Education and Awareness |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Environmental Hazards |
| Lead Agency/Department: | Orange Township Officials and EMA |
| Implementation Schedule: | Continuous |

| Funding Source: | Staff Time, Local General Fund |
|---|---|
| COMMUNITY: Orange Township; Mt. Pleasant | ACTION: Construction of a new pump station to replace the current Mount Pleasant sewer plant. The current Mount Pleasant plant is aged and in need of major repairs and also was impacted by flooding in prior storm events. |
| ACTION NO: 69 | |
| Category: | Structural |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Flooding, Flash Flooding, Ice Jam: Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter |
| Lead Agency/Department: | Orange Township |
| Implementation Schedule: | As funding becomes available |
| Funding Source: | PENNVEST Local General Fund Monies, Sewer Billing assessments, DCED Grant funding. |
| COMMUNITY: Benton Borough | ACTION: Implementation of structural projects to reduce the impacts of flooding including the repair of the upper portion of the Benton Dam, the refortification of the stream bank and retaining wall with rip rap to prevent further erosion and mitigate hazards to adjacent structures including but not limited to the bridge on SR487 spanning Fishing Creek, the southern portion of Park Street, and structures south and west of the retaining wall, elevation of high risk residential structures above flood plain, removal of vegetation and debris to facilitate the flow of water, and repair of concrete pad used by emergency services to access water supply. |
| ACTION NO: 70 | |
| Category: | Structural |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Flooding, Flash Flooding, Ice Jam: Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter |
| Lead Agency/Department: | Benton Borough |
| Implementation Schedule: | Currently underway, to be completed within 3 years of plan adoption |
| Funding Source: | PENNVEST Local General Fund Monies, Sewer Billing assessments, DCED Grant funding. |

| COMMUNITY: Columbia County | ACTION: Investigate potential methods to protect the historical covered bridges through processes such as elevations, relocations, or potential means to restrict or remove debris which may flow down the waterways and cause damage to the bridges. |
|--|---|
| ACTION NO: 71 | |
| Category: | Prevention, Structural Projects, Property Protection |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Dam Failure; Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter; Levee Failure; Tornado, Windstorm |
| Lead Agency/Department: | Columbia County Commissioners, Columbia County EMA, Columbia County Resiliency, Columbia County Planning |
| Implementation Schedule: | 5 years |
| Funding Source: | Staff Time, State and Federal grant funding |
| COMMUNITY: Town of Bloomsburg; Bloomsburg Municipal Airport | ACTION: Purchase and Install a meteorological station/weather gauge at the Bloomsburg Airport. |
| ACTION NO: 72* | |
| Category: | Emergency Services |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Flooding, Flash Flooding, Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter |
| Lead Agency/Department: | Town of Bloomsburg |
| Implementation Schedule: | 5 years |
| Funding Source: | Staff Time, State & Federal grant funding |
| COMMUNITY: Town of Bloomsburg; Scott Township; South Centre Township | ACTION: Implement the mitigation action recommendations outlined in the Lime Ridge Corridor study Report produced by McTish-Kunkel and dated December 2020. A copy of this study is available for review at the Columbia County Resiliency Office |
| ACTION NO: 73 | |

| Category: | Prevention, Structural Projects, Property Protection |
|---|---|
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter |
| Lead Agency/Department: | Town of Bloomsburg; South Centre township. Scott Township |
| Implementation Schedule: | 5 years |
| Funding Source: | State and Federal Grant Funding; General Fund Monies |
| COMMUNITY: Beaver Township | ACTION: Implement a program whereby private lanes with 2 or more residences or businesses using such a lane for access are required to have large stream of addresses are required to have large stream by the stream of the str |
| ACTION NO: 74 | location. |
| Category: | Prevention |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Flood, Flash Flood, Hurricane, Fire, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter |
| Lead Agency/Department: | Beaver Township |
| Implementation Schedule: | 5 Years |
| Funding Source: | General Fund; DCED; staff time |
| COMMUNITY: Briar Creek Borough; Mt. Pleasant Township | ACTION: Improve the water channel adjacent to the EOC, and reinforce and/or repair the foundation and basement of the building that the EOC is located in. |
| ACTION NO: 75* | |
| Category: | Natural Resource Protection; Property Protection; Emergency Services |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Flood, Flash Flood, Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter |
| Lead Agency/Department: | Briar Creek Borough and Mount Pleasant Township |
| Implementation Schedule: | As funding becomes available |

| Funding Source: | State and Federal Grants; BRIC; General Fund |
|---|---|
| COMMUNITY: Columbia County; CCWMA; Town of Bloomsburg; Hemlock Township; Scott Township; ACTION NO: 76* | ACTION: Identify and seek grant funding opportunities to study, design, and construct structural and non-structural mitigation projects located in the West End of Bloomsburg, and the area East of Catherine Street, parallel to the Susquehanna River. |
| Category: | Natural Resource Protection; Property Protection; Prevention; Emergency Services |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Flood, Flash Flood, Hurricane, Ice Jam, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter |
| Lead Agency/Department: | Columbia County; CCWMA |
| Implementation Schedule: | As funding becomes available |
| Funding Source: | State and Federal Grants; BRIC; DCED, CDS, staff time |
| COMMUNITY: Town of Bloomsburg; MATB ACTION NO: 77* | ACTION: Seek grant funding to study, design, and construct a structural flood mitigation project to protect the sewer plant and other related critical facilities owned and operated by the Municipal Authority of the Town of Bloomsburg. |
| Category: | Property Protection; Prevention; Emergency Services |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Flood, Flash Flood, Hurricane, Ice Jam, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter |
| Lead Agency/Department: | МАТВ |
| Implementation Schedule: | As funding becomes available |
| Funding Source: | State and Federal Grants; BRIC; DCED |
| COMMUNITY: Penn State Extension; CCCD; PA Dept. of Agriculture ACTION NO: 78 | ACTION: Implement a management control program for invasive species such as the Spotted Lanternfly and the Emerald Ash Borer, and also a public education program that informs residents on how to identify and control these invasive species. |
| | |

| Category: | Public education and awareness; Natural Resource Protection |
|--|--|
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Environmental Hazard |
| Lead Agency/Department: | Penn State Extension |
| Implementation Schedule: | As funding becomes available |
| Funding Source: | State and Federal Grants; Staff Time |
| COMMUNITY: Catawissa Municipal Authority | ACTION: Pursue Funding sources to purchase and install a generator at the Municipal Authority's Critical Facility located at 64 Sunset Lane, Catawissa. |
| ACTION NO: 79* | |
| Category: | Emergency Services |
| Hazard(s) Addressed: | Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter; Tornado, Windstorm |
| Lead Agency/Department: | Catawissa Municipal Authority |
| Implementation Schedule: | As funding becomes available |
| Funding Source: | State and Federal Grants |
| COMMUNITY: Catawissa Municipal Authority | ACTION: Pursue Funding sources to elevate the critical facility structures located at 798 Creek Road in Catawissa. |
| ACTION NO: 80* | |
| Category: | Property Protection; Emergency Services |
| Hazards Addressed: | Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter |
| Lead Agency /Department: | Catawissa Municipal Authority |
| Implementation Schedule: | Within the next 5 years |
| Funding Source: | State and Federal Grants; BRIC; DCED |

| COMMUNITY: Catawissa Borough; Catawissa EMA | ACTION: Pursue Funding sources to purchase and install a generator at the evacuation shelter located at the Catawissa Hose Company #1, 400 South Street, Catawissa. |
|---|--|
| ACTION NO: 81* | |
| Category: | Emergency Services |
| Hazards Addressed: | Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter; Tornado, Windstorm |
| Lead Agency /Department: | Catawissa Borough |
| Implementation Schedule: | Within the next 5 years |
| Funding Source: | State and Federal Grants, DCED |
| COMMUNITY: Town of Bloomsburg | ACTION: To reinstall the USGS gauge previously located on the Railroad Street Bridge, as well as funding the organize operation and maintenance of |
| ACTION NO: 82* | this gauge. |
| Category: | Public Education and Awareness; Emergency Services |
| Hazards Addressed: | Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter |
| Lead Agency /Department: | Town of Bloomsburg |
| Implementation Schedule: | As funding becomes available |
| Funding Source: | State and Federal Funds, General Fund, BRIC |
| COMMUNITY: Mifflin Township | ACTION: To seek funding for projects identified in the Market Street and Kline Road stormwater study conducted by Larson Design Group. |
| ACTION NO: 83 | |
| Category: | Structural Project Implementation |
| Hazards Addressed: | Flood, Flash Flood; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter |
| Lead Agency /Department: | Mifflin Township |

Eric Stahley

| Implementation Schedule: | As funding becomes available |
|--|---|
| Funding Source: | DCED, General Fund |
| COMMUNITY: Millville Borough; Madison Township; Greenwood Township; Pine Township | ACTION: To Seek funding to update the existing warning siren network, and add additional warning sirens in order to increase public safety. |
| ACTION NO: 84* | |
| Category: | Emergency Services |
| Hazards Addressed: | Tornado; Tropical Storm; Hurricane |
| Lead Agency /Department: | Millville Borough |
| Implementation Schedule: | As funding becomes available |
| Funding Source: | DCED; BRIC; General Fund |
| COMMUNITY: Millville Borough; Greenwood Township ACTION NO: 85 | ACTION: Seek funding to address the existing Infiltration and Inflow issues with Sewer plant that services Millville Borough and Greenwood Township. |
| Category: | Structural Project Implementation |
| Hazards Addressed: | Environmental Hazard |
| Lead Agency /Department: | Millville Borough |
| Implementation Schedule: | As funding becomes available |
| Funding Source: | DCED; PENNVEST; User Fees |
| COMMUNITY: Montour Township ACTION NO: 86 | ACTION: Seek funding to conduct a study in order to prevent rock slides on Route 42. |
| Category: | Structural Project Implementation |
|--|---|
| Hazards Addressed: | Landslide |
| Lead Agency /Department: | Montour Township |
| Implementation Schedule: | As funding becomes available |
| Funding Source: | State and Federal Grants; General Fund; Federal Highway Monies |
| COMMUNITY: Orangeville Borough | ACTION: Research and consult on potential implementation of secondary well source for drinking water in the Borough due to an increase in cyclical |
| ACTION NO: 87 | seasonal droughts. |
| Category: | Structural Project Implementation |
| Hazards Addressed: | Drought |
| Lead Agency /Department: | Orangeville Borough |
| Implementation Schedule: | As funding becomes available |
| Funding Source: | State and Federal funding, PENNVEST; User Fees |
| COMMUNITY: Orange Township Columbia County EMA | ACTION: Implement an evacuation plan for RVs and summer cabins in the 1% chance of annual flooding along Fishing Creek. |
| ACTION NO: 88* | |
| Category: | Prevention; Emergency Services |
| Hazards Addressed: | Flood, Flash Flood; Hurricane, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter |
| Lead Agency /Department: | Orange Township |
| Implementation Schedule: | As funding becomes available |
| Funding Source: | Staff Time; General Fund |
| COMMUNITY: Columbia County; Beaver Twp; Benton Borough; Benton | ACTION: Acquire and demolish, Elevate, Demolish and rebuild flood prone properties. |

| Township; Berwick Borough; Bloomsburg; Briar Creek Borough; Briar Creek Township; Catawissa Borough; Catawissa Township; Cleveland Township; Fishing Creek Township; Franklin Township; Franklin Township; Greenwood Township; Greenwood Township; Jackson Township; Jackson Township; Madison Township; Madison Township; Madison Township; Main Township; Millville Borough; Montour Township; Mount Pleasant Township; North Centre Township; Orange Township; Orange Township; Orange Township; Roaring Creek Township; Scott Township; South Centre Township; Sugarloaf Township | |
|---|--|
| Category: | Natural Resource Protection; Property Protection; Prevention; Emergency Services |
| Hazards Addressed: | Flood, Flash Flood, Hurricane, Ice Jam, Tropical Storm, Nor'easter |
| Lead Agency /Department: | Community Secretary/Treasurers, Community Emergency Managers |
| Implementation Schedule: | Annually - Submit grant applications in each non-disaster grant cycle |
| Funding Source: | Non-disaster grant funding, FMA, BRIC, staff time |

Table 6.4-1 lists 89 mitigation actions, many of which will require substantial time commitments from staff at the County and local municipalities. Those that participated in the development of the 2022 HMPU believe that these actions are attainable and can be implemented over the next five-year cycle. While all activities will be pursued over the next five years, the reality of limited time and resources requires the identification of high-priority mitigation actions. Prioritization

allows the individuals and organizations involved to focus their energies and ensure progress on mitigation activities.

Mitigation actions were evaluated using the ten criteria suggested in the *FEMA Local Mitigation Planning Handbook*. These feasibility criteria include:

- Life Safety How effective will the action be at protecting lives and preventing injuries?
- Property Protection How significant will the action be at eliminating or reducing damage to structures and infrastructure?
- Technical Is the mitigation action technically feasible? Is it a long-term solution? Eliminate actions that, from a technical standpoint, will not meet the goals.
- Political Is there overall public support for the mitigation action? Is there the political will to support it?
- Legal Does the community have the authority to implement the action?
- Environmental What are the potential environmental impacts of the action? Will it comply with environmental regulations?
- Social Will the proposed action adversely affect one segment of the population? Will the action disrupt established neighborhoods, break up voting districts, or cause the relocation of lower income people?
- Administrative Does the community have the personnel and administrative capabilities to implement the action and maintain it or will outside help be necessary?
- Local Champion Is there a strong advocate for the action or project among local departments and agencies that will support the action's implementation?
- Other Community Objectives Does the action advance other community objectives, such as capital improvements, economic development, environmental quality, or open space preservation? Does it support the policies of the comprehensive plan?

This method uses life safety, property protection, technical, political, legal, environmental, social, administrative, local champion, and other community objectives to evaluate which of the identified actions should be considered most critical. Economic considerations are particularly important in weighing the costs versus benefits of implementing one action prior to another. FEMA mitigation planning requirements indicate that any prioritization system used shall include a special emphasis on the extent to which benefits are maximized according to a cost-benefit review of the proposed projects. To do this in an efficient manner that is consistent with FEMA's guidance on using cost-benefit review in mitigation planning, a concept from the PASTEEL method was used to include a higher weighting for two elements of the economic feasibility factor – Benefits of Action and Costs of Action. This method incorporates concepts similar to those described in Method C of FEMA 386-5: Using Benefit Cost Review in Mitigation Planning (FEMA, 2007).

Those participating in the 2022 HMPU process provided comments which allowed for the prioritization of the mitigation actions listed in Table 6.4-1 using the seven PASTEEL criteria. In order to evaluate and prioritize the mitigation actions, favorable and less favorable factors were identified for each action. Table 6.4-2 summarizes the evaluation methodology and provides the results of this evaluation for all mitigation actions. The first results column includes a summary of the feasibility factors, placing equal weight on all factors. The second results column reflects feasibility scores with benefits and costs weighted more heavily; and therefore, given greater priority. A weighting factor of three was used for each benefit and cost element.

Therefore, a "+" benefit factor rating equals three pluses and a "-" benefit factor rating equals three minuses in the total prioritization score.

| Table 6.4-2: Summary of mitigati | ion acti | on prioi | ritizatio | on (2022 | 2) | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------|-------------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| | (+) Fa | vorabl | e (-) | Less fa | vorab | le (N | I) Not a | pplica | ble/Ne | utral | | | | |
| MITIGATION ACTIONS | Life Safety | Property Protection | Technical | Political | Legal | Environmenta 1 | Social | Administrative | Local Champion | Other Community | Economic | | FEASIBILITY | SCORE |
| NAME | | | | | | | | | | | Benefit of Action (x3) | Cost of Action (x3) | Non- Weighted | Weighted Score |
| 1) Coordinate with the U.S.G.S., local watershed organizations to increase the number of U.S.G.S. and Integrated Flood Observing and Warning System (IFLOWS) rain and stream gauges in the County, specifically along Fishing Creek, as a potential enhancement to the existing Susquehanna River Basin Flood Forecast and Warning System. | ÷ | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | ÷ | + | + | - | 11+ 1- | 13+ 3- |
| 2) Conduct routine inspections, regular maintenance, and annual tests on all emergency communications equipment, public address systems, and alert sirens to ensure unhindered operation during an emergency event. | ÷ | + | ÷ | ÷ | ÷ | N | + | ÷ | + | N | ÷ | ÷ | 10+ 0- 2 N | 14+ 0- 2 N |

| | (+) Fa | vorabl | e (-) | Less fa | vorab | le (N | I) Not a | pplica | ble/Ne | utral | | | | |
|--|-------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------|-------------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| MITIGATION ACTIONS | Life Safety | Property Protection | Technical | Political | Legal | Environmenta l | Social | Administrative | Local Champion | Other Community | Economic | | FEASIBILITY | SCORE |
| NAME | | | | | | | | | | | Benefit of Action (x3) | Cost of Action (x3) | Non- Weighted | Weighted Score |
| 3) Ensure that a planned, coordinated, technologically advanced, and effective public warning dissemination program exists at the local level. | + | + | + | + | + | N | + | + | + | N | + | + | 10+ 0- 2 N | 14+ 0- 2 N |
| 4) Maintain response actions to hazards that are consistent with the County-level EOP. | + | + | + | + | + | N | - | + | + | + | + | + | 10+ 1- 1 N | 14+ 1- 1N |
| 5) Conduct hazard response practice drills, tabletop exercises, and emergency management training exercises on an annual basis | + | + | + | + | + | N | + | + | + | + | + | + | 11+ 0- 1 N | 15+ 0- 1 N |
| 6) Increase the protection of critical facilities, such as elevation of critical mechanisms within the facility (i.e.: pump and controls at wastewater treatment plants) or elevation/relocation of the facility as appropriate. | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | ÷ | 12+ 0- 0 N | 16+ 0- 0 N |

| | (+) Fa | vorabl | e (-) | Less fa | vorab | le (N | I) Not a | pplica | ble/Ne | utral | | | | |
|---|-------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------|-------------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| MITIGATION ACTIONS | Life Safety | Property Protection | Technical | Political | Legal | Environmenta 1 | Social | Administrative | Local Champion | Other Community | Economic | | FEASIBILITY | SCORE |
| NAME | | | | | | | | | | | Benefit of Action (x3) | Cost of Action (x3) | Non- Weighted | Weighted Score |
| 7) Create and distribute public informational pamphlets and electronic information related to the potential health and safety implications of various natural hazard events, including information on how to properly secure objects within the home or workplace in the event of an earthquake or other seismic event. | + | + | ÷ | + | + | N | + | + | N | N | + | + | 9+ 0- 3 N | 13+ 0- 3N |
| 8) Develop a technical proficiency at the municipal level for conducting post- disaster damage assessments and regulating reconstruction activities to ensure compliance with NFIP substantial damage/substantial improvement requirements and the UCC. | + | + | N | N | + | + | - | - | - | N | + | + | 6+ 3- 3 N | 10+ 3- 3 N |

| | (+) Fa | vorable | e (-) | Less fa | vorab | le (N | I) Not a | pplica | ble/Ne | eutral | | | | |
|---|-------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------|-------------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| MITIGATION ACTIONS | Life Safety | Property Protection | Technical | Political | Legal | Environmenta 1 | Social | Administrative | Local Champion | Other Community | Economic | | FEASIBILITY | SCORE |
| NAME | | | | | | | | | | | Benefit of Action (x3) | Cost of Action (x3) | Non- Weighted | Weighted Score |
| 9) Develop a technical proficiency at the municipal level for assisting local residents and business owners in applying for hazard mitigation and assistance funds and identifying cost beneficial hazard mitigation measures to be incorporated into reconstruction activities. | ÷ | ÷ | N | ÷ | ÷ | ÷ | - | - | ÷ | ÷ | ÷ | ÷ | 9+ 2- 1 N | 13+ 2- 1 N |
| 10) Improve communications between the public and emergency management services through online information. | + | + | + | + | + | N | + | + | + | + | + | + | 11+ 0- 1 N | 15+ 0- 1 N |
| 11) Maintain a partnering relationship with the NWS Mid- Atlantic River Forecast Center to enhance the existing Susquehanna River Basin Flood Forecast and Warning System via the Advanced Hydrologic Prediction Services Program. | + | + | + | + | + | + | N | + | + | + | + | + | 11+ 0- 1 N | 15+ 0- 1 N |

| | (+) Fa | vorabl | e (-) | Less fa | vorab | le (N | I) Not a | pplica | ble/Ne | utral | | | | |
|---|-------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------|-------------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| MITIGATION ACTIONS | Life Safety | Property Protection | Technical | Political | Legal | Environmenta l | Social | Administrative | Local Champion | Other Community | Economic | | FEASIRILITY | SCORE |
| NAME | | | | | | | | | | | Benefit of Action (x3) | Cost of Action (x3) | Non- Weighted | Weighted Score |
| 12) Conduct a detailed inventory and prioritization of local environmental resources via the Comprehensive Planning or similar natural resources planning process to identify where resource conservation could help with hazard reduction. | + | ÷ | + | ÷ | + | + | N | + | N | N | + | + | 9+ 0- 3 N | 13+ 0- 3 N |
| 13) Identify undeveloped floodplain and wetland areas through the enforcement of County or Municipal SALDOs, and when available, seek grants to acquire public open space for passive recreational uses in an effort to minimize/prevent potential flooding damages and enhance the regional environment. | + | ÷ | + | ÷ | N | + | - | + | + | + | ÷ | + | 10+ 1- 1 N | 14+ 1- 1 N |

| | (+) Fa | vorable | e (-) | Less fa | vorab | ole (N | I) Not a | pplica | ble/Ne | utral | | | | |
|--|-------------|------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------|-------------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| MITIGATION ACTIONS | Life Safety | Property Protection | Technical | Political | Legal | Environmenta l | Social | Administrative | Local Champion | Other Community | Economic | | FFASIBILITY | SCORE |
| NAME | | | | | | | | | | | Benefit of Action (x3) | Cost of Action (x3) | Non- Weighted | Weighted Score |
| 14) Develop and implement a wetland protection program, with the aid of PA DEP & DCNR, consisting of public education materials that highlight the functions and values of wetlands and local ordinance provisions that require the identification of wetlands in accordance with federal and state standards and minimize/eliminate their disturbance in accordance with federal and state laws. | ÷ | ÷ | ÷ | N | N | ÷ | N | ÷ | ÷ | + | ÷ | N | 8+ 0- 4 N | 10+ 0- 4 N |
| 15) Update and implement a comprehensive water resources management plan that analyzes the County's existing water resources supply and evaluates the County's anticipated water use in an effort to identify suspected water supply shortages and potential new water supply sources. | + | ÷ | N | N | N | + | N | + | + | + | + | N | 7+ 0- 5 N | 9+ 0- 5 N |

| | (+) Fa | vorable | e (-) | Less fa | vorab | ole (N | I) Not a | pplica | ble/Ne | eutral | | | | |
|---|-------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------|-------------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| MITIGATION ACTIONS | Life Safety | Property Protection | Technical | Political | Legal | Environmenta 1 | Social | Administrative | Local Champion | Other Community | Economic | | FEASIBILITY | SCORE |
| NAME | | | | | | | | | | | Benefit of Action (x3) | Cost of Action (x3) | Non- Weighted | Weighted Score |
| 16) Provide the public and municipal officials with easy accessibility for community DFIRM data and associated Flood Insurance Study, including providing the data and documents at the courthouse, on the county website, and/or conservation district offices along with resources on how to read a flood map, definitions of flood zones, facts about the NFIP, and information on how to purchase flood insurance. | + | ÷ | ÷ | ÷ | + | + | + | + | + | + | ÷ | + | 12+ 0- 0 N | 16+ 0- 0 N |
| 17) Store in an easily accessible location (e.g., at public libraries/website) and make available for public inspection, this hazard mitigation plan, the FEMA guidance documents that were provided as part of the hazard mitigation planning program, any risk assessment publications, and links to agencies or references that are helpful in completing projects. | + | ÷ | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | 12+ 0- 0 N | 16+ 0- 0 N |

| | (+) Fa | vorable | e (-) | Less fa | vorab | le (N | I) Not a | pplica | ble/Ne | eutral | | | | |
|--|-------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------|-------------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| MITIGATION ACTIONS | Life Safety | Property Protection | Technical | Political | Legal | Environmenta l | Social | Administrative | Local Champion | Other Community | Economic | | FEASIBILITY | SCORE |
| NAME | | | | | | | | | | | Benefit of Action (x3) | Cost of Action (x3) | Non- Weighted | Weighted Score |
| 18) Develop and distribute a public summary of this hazard mitigation plan including relevant information on hazard specific "do's" and "don'ts", hazard-prone areas, and emergency contact information. | + | ÷ | + | ÷ | ÷ | ÷ | ÷ | + | + | + | ÷ | + | 12+ 0- 0 N | 16+ 0- 0 N |
| 19) Develop and implement a post-disaster recovery and mitigation training program for local officials. | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | 12+ 0- 0 N | 16+ 0- 0 N |
| 20) Maintain a website links/references section on the Columbia County and/or CCEMA website homepage to include links to FEMA- <u>http://www.fema.gov,</u> PEMA - <u>http://www.fema.gov,</u> PA DCED - <u>http://www.newpa.com,</u> and NWS - <u>http://www.weather.gov/.</u> Additional links could also include those for watershed associations, the SRBC- http://www.srbc.net/ | + | + | + | ÷ | + | ÷ | + | + | + | + | + | + | 12+ 0- 0 N | 16+ 0- 0 N |

| | (+) Fa | vorabl | e (-) | Less fa | vorab | le (N | I) Not a | pplica | ble/Ne | utral | | | | |
|--|-------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------|-------------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| MITIGATION ACTIONS | Life Safety | Property Protection | Technical | Political | Legal | Environmenta 1 | Social | Administrative | Local Champion | Other Community | Economic | | FEASIBILITY | SCORE |
| NAME | | | | | | | | | | | Benefit of Action (x3) | Cost of Action (x3) | Non- Weighted | Weighted Score |
| 21) Coordinate with FEMA, PEMA, PA DCED, NWS, the CCCD and any other appropriate entities on developing and implementing a natural hazard awareness curriculum in local schools. | + | ÷ | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | ÷ | + | 12+ 0- 0 N | 16+ 0- 0 N |
| 22) Develop new or revise existing Zoning Ordinances & Floodplain Regulations to include appropriate development criteria for known hazard areas. | + | + | + | + | N | + | - | - | - | + | + | N | 7+ 3- 2 N | 9+ 3- 2 N |
| 23) Maintain and make available for municipal use, digital hazard mapping files that will be based on the assessment of vulnerability identified in this mitigation plan. | + | + | + | + | + | N | + | + | + | + | + | N | 10+ 0- 2 N | 12+ 0- 2 N |

| | (+) Favorable (-) Less favorable (N) Not applicable/Neutral | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------|-------------------|--------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| MITIGATION ACTIONS | Life Safety | Property Protection | Technical | Political | Legal | Environmenta 1 | Social | Administrative | Local Champion | Other Community | Economic | | FEASIBILITY | SCORE |
| NAME | | | | | | | | | | | Benefit of Action (x3) | Cost of Action (x3) | Non- Weighted | Weighted Score |
| 24) Develop new Subdivision and Land Development Ordinances or revise existing Subdivision and Land Development Ordinances to include municipality-specific, hazard mitigation-related development criteria and/or provisions for the mandatory use of conservation subdivision design principles in order to regulate the location and construction of buildings and other infrastructure in known hazard areas. | + | + | + | N | + | + | N | + | + | + | - | - | 8+ 2- 2 N | 8+ 6- 2 N |
| 25) Encourage municipal compliance with NFIP and PA Act 166 floodplain development regulations and/or encourage more restrictive requirements, as appropriate by conducting training and inspection workshops. | ÷ | + | + | N | ÷ | ÷ | - | N | N | + | + | + | 8+ 1- 3 N | 12+ 1- 3 N |

| | (+) Fa | vorable | e (-) | Less fa | vorab | ole (N | i) Not a | pplica | ble/Ne | utral | | | | |
|--|-------------|------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------|-------------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| MITIGATION ACTIONS | Life Safety | Property Protection | Technical | Political | Legal | Environmenta 1 | Social | Administrative | Local Champion | Other Community | Economic | | FEASIBILITY | SCORE |
| NAME | | | | | | | | | | | Benefit of Action (x3) | Cost of Action (x3) | Non- Weighted | Weighted Score |
| 26) Ensure Municipal compliance with local watershed-specific Act 167 Storm Water Management Plan finalized in 2001 and Ordinances. | + | + | + | N | + | + | N | + | N | + | + | + | 9+ 0- 3 N | 13+ 0- 3 N |
| 27) Maintain a flood damage reduction/prevention public education program utilizing the EMA Website and Social Media/Resiliency website including but not limited to the development of informative training for local officials on NWS "Storm Ready", FEMA, and NFIP Programs. | + | ÷ | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | 12+ 0- 0 N | 16+ 0- 0 N |
| 28) Continue participation in the National Weather Service Storm Ready Program. | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | 12+ 0- 0 N | 16+ 0- 0 N |

| | (+) Fa | vorable | e (-) | Less fa | vorab | le (N | I) Not a | pplica | ble/Ne | utral | | | | |
|---|-------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------|-------------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| MITIGATION ACTIONS | Life Safety | Property Protection | Technical | Political | Legal | Environmenta l | Social | Administrative | Local Champion | Other Community | Economic | | FEASIBILITY | SCORE |
| NAME | | | | | | | | | | | Benefit of Action (x3) | Cost of Action (x3) | Non- Weighted | Weighted Score |
| 29) Continue to investigate the possibility of continuing the existing flood control system to include the areas east of the newly created system to tie into the existing Kawneer system, and continue east into Scott Township, as well as continuing to investigate the implementation of flood mitigation projects, both structural and non-structural, for the West End of Bloomsburg. | + | ÷ | ÷ | + | ÷ | + | - | ÷ | ÷ | + | + | ÷ | 11+ 1- 0 N | 15+ 1- 0 N |
| 30) Evaluate Public Information and Education to encourage appropriate public response to natural hazards, their potential impacts and appropriate actions that should be taken by the public to reduce damages. | + | ÷ | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | 12+ 0- 0 N | 16+ 0- 0 N |

| | (+) Fa | vorabl | e (-) | Less fa | vorab | le (N | I) Not a | pplica | ble/Ne | utral | | | | |
|--|-------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------|-------------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| MITIGATION ACTIONS | Life Safety | Property Protection | Technical | Political | Legal | Environmenta l | Social | Administrative | Local Champion | Other Community | Economic | | FFASIRILITY | SCORE |
| NAME | | | | | | | | | | | Benefit of Action (x3) | Cost of Action (x3) | Non- Weighted | Weighted Score |
| 31) Develop a completely new or amend the existing 1995 Comprehensive Plan to include an assessment and associated mapping of the municipality's vulnerability to location specific hazards and appropriate recommendations for the use of these hazard areas. | + | ÷ | + | N | + | + | N | + | N | + | ÷ | ÷ | 9+ 0- 3 N | 13+ 0- 3 N |
| 32) Encourage local business and industry owners and residents to develop an emergency response plan as a potential alternative to implementing a physical property protection measure which may not be technically or fiscally appropriate. This should address real estate full disclosure requirements and training workshops/materials for these groups. | + | ÷ | ÷ | ÷ | + | + | ÷ | N | N | + | ÷ | + | 10+ 0- 2 N | 14+ 0- 2 N |

| | (+) Fa | vorable | e (-) | Less fa | vorab | le (N | I) Not a | pplica | ble/Ne | utral | | | | |
|--|-------------|------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------|-------------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| MITIGATION ACTIONS | Life Safety | Property Protection | Technical | Political | Legal | Environmenta 1 | Social | Administrative | Local Champion | Other Community | Economic | | FEASIBILITY | SCORE |
| NAME | | | | | | | | | | | Benefit of Action (x3) | Cost of Action (x3) | Non- Weighted | Weighted Score |
| 33) Coordinate with PEMA, FEMA, and DCED to ensure that affected community members are aware of the of the Biggert- Watters legislation, the FEMA sponsored updated flood mapping for the Susquehanna River Basin, and the availability and benefits of obtaining federally backed flood insurance. Encourage uninsured affected community members to purchase flood insurance, and to inform community members outside of the SFHA that they are also eligible to purchase flood insurance through the NFIP. | + | + | + | + | ÷ | + | Ν | + | ÷ | + | + | + | 11+ 0- 1 N | 15+ 0- 1 N |

| | (+) Fa | vorabl | e (-) | Less fa | vorab | le (N | I) Not a | pplica | ble/Ne | eutral | | | | |
|---|-------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------|-------------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| MITIGATION ACTIONS | Life Safety | Property Protection | Technical | Political | Legal | Environmenta l | Social | Administrative | Local Champion | Other Community | Economic | | FFASIRILITV | SCORE |
| NAME | | | | | | | | | | | Benefit of Action (x3) | Cost of Action (x3) | Non- Weighted | Weighted Score |
| 34) When funding becomes available, perform acquisitions, foundation stabilizations, demolitions, retrofitting, relocations, elevations, and dry and wet flood proofing on hazard-prone homes and commercial structures as appropriate. | + | + | + | + | + | + | N | N | N | + | ÷ | ÷ | 9+ 0- 3 N | 13+ 0- 3 N |
| 35) Coordinate with the local municipality and/or PennDOT on the potential feasibility of replacing, removing, or enlarging those bridge and culvert stream crossings that were identified during the Act 167 Storm water Management Planning process as being unable to pass the 10-year frequency flood flow. To remove abandoned railroad bridges on Catawissa Creek that impede the flow of water and cause flooding. | + | + | ÷ | + | + | + | N | + | + | + | + | + | 11+ 0- 1 N | 15+ 0- 1 N |

| | (+) Fa | vorable | e (-) | Less fa | vorab | ole (N | I) Not a | pplica | ble/Ne | utral | | | | |
|---|-------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------|-------------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| MITIGATION ACTIONS | Life Safety | Property Protection | Technical | Political | Legal | Environmenta l | Social | Administrative | Local Champion | Other Community | Economic | | FFASIRILITV | SCORE |
| NAME | | | | | | | | | | | Benefit of Action (x3) | Cost of Action (x3) | Non- Weighted | Weighted Score |
| 36) Conduct drainage system and ditch line maintenance & upgrades throughout the township to prevent roadway flooding. Ensure existing drainage systems are adequate and functioning properly in order to reduce impacts related to flash flooding and storm water/runoff. | ÷ | ÷ | ÷ | + | ÷ | + | + | N | N | N | + | - | 8+ 1- 3 N | 10+ 3- 3N |
| 37) Dig out diversion overflow pathway of Kinney Run to provide a better pathway for water to flow. | + | + | + | + | + | N | N | + | + | + | + | + | 10+ 0- 2 N | 14+ 0- 2 N |
| 38) Continue to send out information to all residents of the Town regarding flood potential via the CRS Education newsletter and social media. | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | 12+ 0- 0 N | 16+ 0- 0 N |
| 39) Heighten public awareness of flooding from the Catawissa Creek and Susquehanna River by publishing and distribution of newsletters and notices in the newspaper. | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | N | + | + | + | + | 11+ 0- 1 N | 11+ 0- 1 N |

| | (+) Fa | vorable | e (-) | Less fa | vorab | le (N | I) Not a | pplica | ble/Ne | eutral | | | | |
|--|-------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------|-------------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| MITIGATION ACTIONS | Life Safety | Property Protection | Technical | Political | Legal | Environmenta 1 | Social | Administrative | Local Champion | Other Community | Economic | | FEASIBILITY | SCORE |
| NAME | | | | | | | | | | | Benefit of Action (x3) | Cost of Action (x3) | Non- Weighted | Weighted Score |
| 40) Minimize effects of utility interruptions by completing tree trimming projects, replacement of damaged utility poles, and installation of underground utility lines. | + | + | N | ÷ | + | + | + | N | + | + | ÷ | N | 9+ 0- 3 N | 11+ 0- 3 N |
| 41) Improve emergency management warning and response capabilities and procedures to better protect the public through implementation of an early warning or alert program that utilizes cloud based or other technology based communications to distribute texts, phone calls, email alerts, or social media messages. Development, implementation, and maintenance of emergency evacuation plans and emergency responder training and exercises. | + | ÷ | Ν | ÷ | + | + | + | N | ÷ | + | + | - | 9+ 1- 2 N | 11+ 3- 2 N |

| | (+) Fa | vorabl | e (-) | Less fa | vorab | le (N | I) Not a | pplica | ble/Ne | eutral | | | | |
|--|-------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------|-------------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| MITIGATION ACTIONS | Life Safety | Property Protection | Technical | Political | Legal | Environmenta l | Social | Administrative | Local Champion | Other Community | Economic | | FEASIBILITY | SCORE |
| NAME | | | | | | | | | | | Benefit of Action (x3) | Cost of Action (x3) | Non- Weighted | Weighted Score |
| 42) Conduct sediment, erosion control, and stream restoration throughout the township | + | + | + | + | N | - | + | N | + | + | + | - | 8+ 2- 2 N | 10+ 4- 2 N |
| 43) Acquire database (hard copy) of all properties within township flood zone. Present and make available information for public. | + | + | + | + | + | N | + | + | + | + | + | + | 11+ 0- 1 N | 15+ 0- 1 N |
| 44) Conduct routine stream maintenance to keep Fishing Creekfree of obstructions to flow to prevent flooding problems. | + | + | + | + | N | - | + | N | + | + | + | - | 8+ 2- 2 N | 10+ 4- 2 N |
| 45) Improve emergency communications during events by building the communications network, specifically purchasing one digital base radio and two digital portable radios | + | + | + | + | + | N | + | + | + | + | + | - | 10+ 1- 1 N | 12+ 3- 1N |

| | (+) Fa | vorabl | e (-) | Less fa | vorab | le (N | I) Not a | pplica | ble/Ne | utral | | | | |
|--|-------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------|-------------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| MITIGATION ACTIONS | Life Safety | Property Protection | Technical | Political | Legal | Environmenta 1 | Social | Administrative | Local Champion | Other Community | Economic | | FEASIBILITY | SCORE |
| NAME | | | | | | | | | | | Benefit of Action (x3) | Cost of Action (x3) | Non- Weighted | Weighted Score |
| 46) Conduct a 5-year engineering plan to reduce roadway damage due to flooding, landslides, run-off, and weather. | N | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | N | + | - | 9+ 1- 2 N | 11+ 3- 2 N |
| 47) Conduct outreach to township residents regarding flood hazard mitigation via the Township website. | + | + | + | + | + | + | N | N | N | + | + | + | 9+ 0- 3 N | 13+ 0- 3 N |
| 48) Research and develop storm water management methods and floodplain ordinances to better protect lives and property from floods based on best practices from Pennsylvania and Nationally. | + | + | + | N | + | + | + | N | N | + | N | N | 7+ 0- 5 N | 7+ 0- 5 N |

| | (+) Fa | vorabl | e (-) | Less fa | vorab | le (N | I) Not a | pplica | ble/Ne | eutral | | | | |
|---|-------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------|-------------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| MITIGATION ACTIONS | Life Safety | Property Protection | Technical | Political | Legal | Environmenta l | Social | Administrative | Local Champion | Other Community | Economic | | FEASIRILITY | SCORE |
| NAME | | | | | | | | | | | Benefit of Action (x3) | Cost of Action (x3) | Non- Weighted | Weighted Score |
| 49) Upgrade Radiological Emergency Preparedness activities for the Susquehanna Steam Electric Station to ensure they comply with FEMA's 2016 Radiological Emergency Preparedness Program guidance. | + | N | + | + | + | N | N | + | + | + | + | N | 8+ 0- 4 N | 10+ 0- 4 N |
| 50) Provide better data from tropical spring, summer, and fall rainstorms, landslides, and winter storms, especially local dollar amounts of damage. | ÷ | + | + | ÷ | + | ÷ | ÷ | ÷ | ÷ | + | ÷ | - | 11+ 1- 0 N | 13+ 3- 0 N |
| 51) Publish and distribute newsletters and website information to township residents on flood resources. Conduct workshops on managing storm water through use of raingardens and other appropriate means. | + | ÷ | + | + | + | + | + | + | ÷ | + | ÷ | N | 11+ 0- 1 N | 13+ 0- 1N |

| | (+) Fa | vorabl | e (-) | Less fa | vorab | le (N | I) Not a | pplica | ble/Ne | utral | | | | |
|---|-------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------|-------------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| MITIGATION ACTIONS | Life Safety | Property Protection | Technical | Political | Legal | Environmenta 1 | Social | Administrative | Local Champion | Other Community | Economic | | FEASIBILITY | SCORE |
| NAME | | | | | | | | | | | Benefit of Action (x3) | Cost of Action (x3) | Non- Weighted | Weighted Score |
| 52) Implement a comprehensive water resources management plan that analyzes the Borough's existing water resources supply and evaluates the Borough's anticipated water use in an effort to identify suspected water supply shortages and potential new water supply sources, including but not limited to drilling of a secondary well to feed the water supply. | + | + | ÷ | ÷ | ÷ | N | + | ÷ | ÷ | + | N | N | 9+ 0- 3 N | 11+ 0- 3 N |
| 53) Install new piping and/or road work where needed to increase the capabilities of water drainage from various roadways. | + | + | + | + | + | N | N | + | + | + | N | - | 8+ 1- 3 N | 8+ 3- 3N |
| 54) Include information on disaster or emergency education to residents in the yearly newsletter to residents of the township. | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | 12+ 0- 0 N | 12+ 0- 0 N |

| | (+) Fa | vorabl | e (-) | Less fa | vorab | le (N | i) Not a | pplica | ble/Ne | utral | | | | |
|--|-------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------|-------------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| MITIGATION ACTIONS | Life Safety | Property Protection | Technical | Political | Legal | Environmenta l | Social | Administrative | Local Champion | Other Community | Economic | | FEASIBILITY | SCORE |
| NAME | | | | | | | | | | | Benefit of Action (x3) | Cost of Action (x3) | Non- Weighted | Weighted Score |
| 55) Promote awareness of designated shelters during radiological emergencies at Susquehanna Steam Electric Station and during severe weather events in semi-annual newsletter. | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | ÷ | + | 12+ 0- 0 N | 12+ 0- 0 N |
| 56) Acquisition of Levan residence on Old Numidia Road which was damaged during the Lee flooding and has yet to be restored | + | + | + | + | + | + | - | N | + | + | N | - | 8+ 2- 2 N | 8+ 4- 2 N |
| 57) Continue to investigate the possibility of mitigation measures along Mountain Road to prevent further damages to roadway, including elevation of the road surface | N | + | + | + | + | N | N | + | + | N | N | - | 6+ 1- 5 N | 6+ 3- 5 N |
| 58) Conduct outreach to residents of mobile home parks or trailers on how and why to anchor trailers to protect against severe windstorms and flood events. | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | ÷ | ÷ | 12+ 0- 0 N | 12+ 0- 0 N |

| | (+) Fa | vorabl | e (-) | Less fa | vorab | le (N | I) Not a | pplica | ble/Ne | utral | | | | |
|---|-------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------|-------------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| MITIGATION ACTIONS | Life Safety | Property Protection | Technical | Political | Legal | Environmenta l | Social | Administrative | Local Champion | Other Community | Economic | | FEASIBILITY | SCORE |
| NAME | | | | | | | | | | | Benefit of Action (x3) | Cost of Action (x3) | Non- Weighted | Weighted Score |
| 59) Conduct outreach on the benefits of being a CRS community. | + | + | + | N | + | + | N | + | + | + | + | N | 9+ 0- 3 N | 11+ 0- 3 N |
| 60) Perform acquisitions, dry flood proofing, wet flood proofing, purchasing of development rights, zoning, and storm water management to address the flooding along River Road and Tributary 13. | + | + | + | + | + | + | N | N | + | + | + | N | 9+ 0- 3 N | 11+ 0- 3 N |
| 61) Clean up and maintain streams continuously throughout township, if funding is available. | + | + | + | + | N | N | N | N | + | + | + | - | 7+ 1- 4 N | 9+ 3- 4 N |
| 62) Develop additional mitigation actions that are specific to each hazard identified in this risk assessment. | + | + | + | + | + | N | N | + | + | + | + | + | 10+ 0- 2 N | 14+ 0- 2 N |

| | (+) Fa | vorable | e (-) | Less fa | vorab | ole (N | I) Not a | pplica | ble/Ne | utral | | | | |
|--|-------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------|-------------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| MITIGATION ACTIONS | Life Safety | Property Protection | Technical | Political | Legal | Environmenta l | Social | Administrative | Local Champion | Other Community | Economic | | FEASIBILITY | SCORE |
| NAME | | | | | | | | | | | Benefit of Action (x3) | Cost of Action (x3) | Non- Weighted | Weighted Score |
| 63) Work to compile a comprehensive list of levees within the County that includes the name and location of the levee, who built the structure, who maintains and operates the levee, and whether it protects against the 1% annual chance flood. | + | ÷ | + | N | ÷ | + | N | + | N | + | ÷ | N | 8+ 0- 4 N | 10+ 0- 4 N |
| 64) Foster increased cooperation and communication between Columbia County EMA and the owners of privately held dams that might impact downstream communities through outreach, education, and dam failure scenarios or exercises, as appropriate. | + | + | + | N | ÷ | + | N | N | N | + | + | N | 7+ 0- 5 N | 9+ 0- 5 N |
| 65) Create and distribute electronic and print information on radon exposure and radon mitigation systems to homeowners throughout the County, especially those in zip codes with elevated radon test levels. | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | ÷ | + | 12+ 0- 0 N | 12+ 0- 0 N |

| | (+) Fa | vorabl | e (-) | Less fa | vorab | le (N | I) Not a | pplica | ble/Ne | utral | | | | |
|--|-------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------|-------------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| MITIGATION ACTIONS | Life Safety | Property Protection | Technical | Political | Legal | Environmenta l | Social | Administrative | Local Champion | Other Community | Economic | | FEASIBILITY | SCORE |
| NAME | | | | | | | | | | | Benefit of Action (x3) | Cost of Action (x3) | Non- Weighted | Weighted Score |
| 66) Foster increased cooperation and communication between Beaver Township and the owners of privately held dams that might impact downstream communities through outreach, education, and dam failure scenarios or exercises, as appropriate. Encourage dam owners to create an emergency action plan that addresses the hazard. | + | ÷ | + | N | + | + | N | N | N | + | + | N | 7+ 0- 5 N | 9+ 0- 5 N |
| 67) Maintain and improve the levee located along Fishing Creek in Orange Township in order to realize more effective flood protection for surrounding properties | + | + | + | + | N | + | N | + | + | N | + | - | 8+ 1- 3 N | 10+ 3- 3 N |

| | (+) Fa | vorable | e (-) | Less fa | vorab | le (N | I) Not a | pplica | ble/Ne | utral | | | | |
|---|-------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------|-------------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| MITIGATION ACTIONS | Life Safety | Property Protection | Technical | Political | Legal | Environmenta 1 | Social | Administrative | Local Champion | Other Community | Economic | | FEASIBILITY | SCORE |
| NAME | | | | | | | | | | | Benefit of Action (x3) | Cost of Action (x3) | Non- Weighted | Weighted Score |
| 68) Address natural gas compressor station and pipeline construction within the township through education and outreach to residents relating to the impact of natural gas structures on the local community | + | ÷ | + | ÷ | + | + | + | ÷ | + | + | ÷ | + | 12+ 0- 0 N | 16+ 0- 0 N |
| 69) Construction of a new pump station to replace the current Mount Pleasant sewer plant. The current Mount Pleasant plant is aged and in need of major repairs and also was impacted by flooding in prior storm events. | + | ÷ | + | + | + | + | + | N | + | + | + | - | 8+ 1- 3 N | 10+ 3- 3 N |

| | (+) Fa | vorable | e (-) | Less fa | vorab | le (N | i) Not a | pplica | ble/Ne | utral | | | | |
|---|-------------|------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------|-------------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| MITIGATION ACTIONS | Life Safety | Property Protection | Technical | Political | Legal | Environmenta 1 | Social | Administrative | Local Champion | Other Community | Economic | | FEASIBILITY | SCORE |
| NAME | | | | | | | | | | | Benefit of Action (x3) | Cost of Action (x3) | Non- Weighted | Weighted Score |
| 70) Implementation of structural projects to reduce the impacts of flooding including the repair of the upper portion of the Benton Dam, the refortification of the stream bank and retaining wall with rip rap to prevent further erosion and mitigate hazards to adjacent structures including but not limited to the bridge on SR487 spanning Fishing Creek, the southern portion of Park Street, and structures south and west of the retaining wall, elevation of high risk residential structures above flood plain, removal of vegetation and debris to facilitate the flow of water, and repair of concrete pad used by emergency services to access water supply. | + | + | + | + | ÷ | Ν | + | Ν | ÷ | ÷ | ÷ | - | 9+ 1- 2 N | 11+ 3- 2 N |

| | (+) Fa | vorable | e (-) | Less fa | vorab | le (N | I) Not a | pplica | ble/Ne | utral | | | | |
|---|-------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------|-------------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| MITIGATION ACTIONS | Life Safety | Property Protection | Technical | Political | Legal | Environmenta l | Social | Administrative | Local Champion | Other Community | Economic | | FEASIBILITY | SCORE |
| NAME | | | | | | | | | | | Benefit of Action (x3) | Cost of Action (x3) | Non- Weighted | Weighted Score |
| 71) Investigate potential methods to protect the historical covered bridges through processes such as elevations, relocations, or potential means to restrict or remove debris which may flow down the waterways and cause damage to the bridges. | N | ÷ | N | ÷ | ÷ | ÷ | + | ÷ | + | + | ÷ | N | 9+ 0- 3 N | 11+ 0- 5 N |
| 72) Purchase and Install a meteorological station/weather gauge at the Bloomsburg Airport. | + | + | + | - | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | 11+ 0- 0 N | 14+ 0- 0 N |
| 73) Implement the mitigation action recommendations outlined in the Lime Ridge Corridor study Report produced by McTish-Kunkel and dated December 2020. | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | - | + | + | + | - | 10+ 2- 0 N | 14+ 2- O N |
| 74) Implement a program whereby private lanes with 2 or more residences or businesses using such a lane for access are required to have legal street signs and addresses easily identifying their 911 location. | + | + | + | ÷ | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | 12+ 0- 0 N | 14+ 0- 0 N |

| | (+) Fa | vorabl | e (-) | Less fa | vorab | ole (N | I) Not a | pplica | ble/Ne | utral | | | | |
|---|-------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------|-------------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| MITIGATION ACTIONS | Life Safety | Property Protection | Technical | Political | Legal | Environmenta 1 | Social | Administrative | Local Champion | Other Community | Economic | | FEASIBILITY | SCORE |
| NAME | | | | | | | | | | | Benefit of Action (x3) | Cost of Action (x3) | Non- Weighted | Weighted Score |
| 75) Improve the water channel adjacent to the EOC, and reinforce and/or repair the foundation and basement of the building that the EOC is located in. | N | + | + | - | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | 10+ 1- 1 N | 14+ 1- 1 N |
| 76) Identify and seek grant funding opportunities to study, design, and construct structural and non-structural mitigation projects located in the West End of Bloomsburg, and the area East of Catherine Street, parallel to the Susquehanna River. | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | - | + | + | + | - | 10+ 2- 0 N | 12+ 4- 0 N |
| 77) Seek grant funding to study, design, and construct a structural flood mitigation project to protect the sewer plant and other related critical facilities owned and operated by the Municipal Authority of the Town of Bloomsburg. | + | + | + | ÷ | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | 12+ 0- 0 N | 16+ 0- 0 N |

| | (+) Fa | vorabl | e (-) | Less fa | vorab | le (N | I) Not a | pplica | ble/Ne | utral | | | | |
|---|-------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------|-------------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| MITIGATION ACTIONS | Life Safety | Property Protection | Technical | Political | Legal | Environmenta I | Social | Administrative | Local Champion | Other Community | Economic | | FEASIBILITY | SCORE |
| NAME | | | | | | | | | | | Benefit of Action (x3) | Cost of Action (x3) | Non- Weighted | Weighted Score |
| 078) Implement a management control program for invasive species such as the Spotted Lanternfly and the Emerald Ash Borer, and also a public education program that informs residents on how to identify and control these invasive species. | N | ÷ | + | + | + | + | + | - | + | + | + | + | 10+ 1- 1N | 14+ 1- 2N |
| 79) Pursue Funding sources to purchase and install a generator at the Municipal Authority's Critical Facility located at 64 Sunset Lane, Catawissa. | N | N | + | - | + | N | + | + | + | + | + | + | 8+ 1- 3N | 12+ 1- 3N |
| 80) Pursue Funding sources to elevate the critical facility structures located at 798 Creek Road in Catawissa. | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | 12+ 0- 0N | 16+ 0- 0N |
| 81) Pursue Funding sources to purchase and install a generator at the evacuation shelter located at the Catawissa Hose Company #1, 400 South Street, Catawissa. | + | N | + | - | + | N | + | + | + | + | + | + | 9+ 1- 2N | 13+ 1- 2N |

| | (+) Fa | vorable | e (-) | Less fa | vorab | ole (N | I) Not a | pplica | ble/Ne | eutral | | | | |
|---|-------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------|-------------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| MITIGATION ACTIONS | Life Safety | Property Protection | Technical | Political | Legal | Environmenta l | Social | Administrative | Local Champion | Other Community | Economic | | FEASIBILITY | SCORE |
| NAME | | | | | | | | | | | Benefit of Action (x3) | Cost of Action (x3) | Non- Weighted | Weighted Score |
| 82)To reinstall the USGS gauge previously located on the Railroad Street Bridge, as well as funding the ongoing operation and maintenance of this gauge. | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | ÷ | + | 12+ 0- 0N | 16+ 0- 0N |
| 83) To seek funding for projects identified in the Market Street and Kline Road stormwater study conducted by Larson Design Group. | N | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | 11+ 0- 1N | 15+ 0- 1N |
| 84) To Seek funding to update the existing warning siren network, and add additional warning sirens in order to increase public safety. | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | 12+ 0- 0N | 16+ 0- 0N |
| 85) Seek funding to address the existing Infiltration and Inflow issues with Sewer plant that services Millville Borough and Greenwood Township | N | N | + | - | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | - | 8+ 2- 2N | 10+ 4- 2N |
| 86) Seek funding to conduct a study in order to prevent rock slides on Route 42. | + | N | + | + | + | + | + | - | + | + | + | + | 10+ 1- 1N | 14+ 1- 1N |

| | (+) Fa | vorabl | e (-) | Less fa | vorab | le (N | l) Not a | pplica | ble/Ne | utral | | | | |
|--|-------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------|-------------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| MITIGATION ACTIONS | Life Safety | Property Protection | Technical | Political | Legal | Environmenta 1 | Social | Administrative | Local Champion | Other Community | Economic | | FEASIBILITY | SCORE |
| NAME | | | | | | | | | | | Benefit of Action (x3) | Cost of Action (x3) | Non- Weighted | Weighted Score |
| 87) Research and consult on potential implementation of secondary well source for drinking water in the Borough due to an increase in cyclical seasonal droughts. | N | N | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | ÷ | + | 10+ 0- 2N | 14+ 0- 2N |
| 88) Implement an evacuation plan for RVs and summer cabins in the 1% chance of annual flooding along Fishing Creek. | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | 12+ 0- 0N | 16+ 0- 0N |
| 89) Acquire and demolish, Elevate, Demolish and rebuild flood prone properties. | + | + | + | + | + | N | - | - | + | + | + | + | 9+ 2- 1N | 13+ 2- 1N |

Using cost-benefit weighted prioritization, no actions received more unfavorable ratings than favorable ratings.
7. Plan Maintenance

7.1. Update Process Summary

Monitoring, evaluating and updating this plan is critical to maintaining its value and success in Columbia County's hazard mitigation efforts. Ensuring effective implementation of mitigation activities paves the way for continued momentum in the planning process and gives direction for the future. This section explains who will be responsible for maintenance activities and what those responsibilities entail. It also provides a methodology and schedule of maintenance activities including a description of how the public will be involved on a continued basis.

Past procedure established an annual memorandum summarizing the state of the plan coupled with a Mitigation Committee meeting and a plan update every three years. The EMA was designated as the responsible agency. This procedure also did not require municipalities to provide information to the County on changes in hazard risk or in mitigation projects and priorities.

Instead, the Columbia County Resiliency Office was responsible for seeking out this information. This plan maintenance procedure and schedule was not particularly successful, as to the best knowledge of the HMSG, very little plan maintenance was conducted from 2016-2021, with the exception of the annual review meetings and including the incorporation of the 2022 HMP into other planning mechanisms in the County. In contrast, the 2022 plan maintenance procedures indicate that the Columbia County Emergency Management Agency is the primary responsible County entity, though work will be conducted in cooperation with the Columbia County Resiliency Office. The 2022 plan maintenance procedures call for an annual review of the plan and a review of the plan within 30 days of disaster to help identify mitigation opportunities.

Additionally, the 2022 HMPU more fully defines the municipalities' role in updating and evaluating the plan. Finally, the 2022 HMPU elaborates upon continued public involvement and how this plan may be integrated into other planning mechanisms in the County.

The HMSG recognizes the importance of monitoring, evaluating, and updating the plan and decided to incorporate both evaluations and evaluations within 30 days of a disaster event, coordinating with each municipality as needed. The 2022 HMPU builds on the spirit of the 2017 plan maintenance procedures and improves maintenance and reporting on hazards, risk, and mitigation progress with the new schedule of reviews and meetings. The plan will be reviewed annually at the first quarterly training sponsored by the County EMA for Local Officials and EOCs. The plan will also be reviewed following any declared disasters/emergencies that may occur during this 5 year cycle.

7.2. Monitoring, Evaluating and Updating the Plan

The HMSG established for the 2022 HMPU is designated to administer the plan maintenance processes of monitoring, evaluation and updating with support and representation from all participating municipalities. The Columbia County Emergency Management Agency, in coordination and cooperation with the Columbia County Resiliency Officer, will lead the HMSG in all associated plan maintenance requirements, including annual reviews. The HMSG will coordinate maintenance efforts, but the input needed for effective periodic evaluations will come from community representatives, local emergency management coordinators and planners, the general public and other important stakeholders. The HMSG will oversee the progress made on the implementation of action items identified in the 2022 HMPU and modify actions, as needed, to reflect changing conditions. The HMSG will meet annually on or around the anniversary of plan adoption to discuss specific coordination efforts that may be needed with other stakeholders. Should a significant disaster occur within the County, the HMSG will reconvene within 30 days of the disaster to review and update the HMPU.

Each municipality will designate a community representative to monitor mitigation activities and hazard events within their respective communities. The local emergency management coordinator would be suitable for this role. Each year when the HMSG reconvenes, municipal leaders will be asked to provide a brief write-up that includes any disaster or hazard events that have occurred in their communities, any significant changes in municipal capability, and changes in municipal risk to all hazards in the plan within the previous twelve months, incorporating any appropriate data and information as available. These municipal leaders will also be asked to work with the HMSG to provide updates on applicable mitigation actions and feedback on changing hazard vulnerabilities within their community.

Upon each HMPU evaluation, the HMSG will consider whether applications should be submitted for existing mitigation grant programs. A decision to apply for funding will be based on appropriate eligibility and financial need requirements. The HMSG will also support local and county officials in applying for post-disaster mitigation funds when they are available. All state and federal mitigation funding provided to the County or local municipalities will be reported in subsequent plan updates. In addition, new plans and programs being developed within the County will be evaluated as to the ability and necessity to incorporate the 2022 HMPU into them.

The 2022 HMPU will be updated every five years, as required by the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000, or following a disaster event. Data collection for the plan update should begin immediately. However, in order to ensure ample time to reconvene the planning team, assess risks, analyze capabilities, and evaluate and make changes to the Mitigation Strategy, the County will begin the update process eighteen months before the plan expires, as recommended in the SOG. This plan update schedule includes the following milestones:

- Sixteen to eighteen months prior to plan expiration: County will reconvene planning team and conducts Kickoff Meeting.
- Fifteen months prior to plan expiration: County will hold Capability Assessment Meeting to assess and evaluate new or changed municipal and county capabilities.
- Nine to thirteen months prior to plan expiration: County will conduct risk assessment meeting to review the 2022 risk and vulnerability and assess changes in risk, hazards, and vulnerability, adding documentation of hazard events and incorporating appropriate changes into hazard profiles.
- Seven to nine months prior to plan expiration: County will hold mitigation strategy workshop and solicit new or updated mitigation projects and priorities.

- Four months prior to plan expiration: County will present the draft plan to citizens, leaders, and other stakeholders, collecting comments and feedback for incorporation into the draft plan. County will also hold a 30-day comment period during which the plan can be reviewed by the public.
- Three months prior to plan expiration: County will submit draft plan to PEMA and FEMA for review. Following review and revisions, plan will be granted Approval-Pending- Adoption status followed by final approval after municipal adoption.

Future plan updates will account for any new hazard vulnerabilities, special circumstances, or new information that becomes available. During the five-year review process, the following criteria will be used to assess the effectiveness the Columbia County HMPU.

- The ability of the identified hazard mitigation planning goals to address current and anticipated future conditions.
- Any known or perceived changes in the County's vulnerability to identified hazards. The current capabilities of the County and its constituent municipalities.
- The successes, failures, and/or lessons learned from implementing the identified hazard mitigation recommendations and actions.
- The need to address additional hazards in the plan and/or the need for other risk-based changes to the plan.
- The ability of current local resources to address identified hazards.

Issues that arise during monitoring and evaluation which require changes to the risk assessment, mitigation strategy and other components of the plan will be incorporated during future updates.

7.3. Continued Public Involvement

As was done during the development of the 2022 HMPU, the HMSG will involve the public during the evaluation and update of the HMPU through various workshops and meetings. The public will have access to an electronic copy of the current HMPU through their local municipal office, Columbia County Emergency Management Agency or the Columbia County Resiliency Office. The Emergency Management Agency and Resiliency Office will also keep a paper copy of the plan should a citizen not have ready electronic access. Information on upcoming events related to the HMPU or solicitation for comments will be announced via newsletters, newspapers, mailings, and on the Columbia County EMA website (ema.columbiapa.org). The HMSG will incorporate all relevant comments during the next update of the HMPU.

This page left intentionally blank

8. Plan Adoption

The Plan will be submitted to the Pennsylvania State Hazard Mitigation Officer on March 22, 2023. It will be forwarded to FEMA for final review and approval-pending-adoption on March 23, 2023, or when PEMA approval is given. FEMA granted approval-pending-adoption on April 03, 2023. Full approval from FEMA was received on April 13, 2023.

This section of the plan includes copies of the local adoption resolutions passed by Columbia County and its municipal governments; a completed Local Mitigation Plan Review Crosswalk can be found in **Appendix B**. Adoption resolution templates are provided to assist the County and municipal governments with recommended language for future adoption of the HMP.

Columbia County 2022 Hazard Mitigation Plan

County Adoption Resolution

Resolution No. _____ Columbia County, Pennsylvania

WHEREAS, the municipalities of Columbia County, Pennsylvania are most vulnerable to natural and human-made hazards which may result in loss of life and property, economic hardship, and threats to public health and safety, and

WHEREAS, Section 322 of the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 (DMA 2000) requires state and local governments to develop and submit for approval to the President a mitigation plan that outlines processes for identifying their respective natural hazards, risks, and vulnerabilities, and

WHEREAS, Columbia County acknowledges the requirements of Section 322 of DMA 2000 to have an approved Hazard Mitigation Plan as a prerequisite to receiving post-disaster Hazard Mitigation Grant Program funds, and

WHEREAS, the Columbia County 2022 Hazard Mitigation Plan has been developed by the Columbia County Emergency Management Agency and the Columbia County Resiliency in cooperation with other county departments, local municipal officials, and the citizens of Columbia County, and

WHEREAS, a public involvement process consistent with the requirements of DMA 2000 was conducted to develop the Columbia County 2022 Hazard Mitigation Plan, and

WHEREAS, the Columbia County 2022 Hazard Mitigation Plan recommends mitigation activities that will reduce losses to life and property affected by both natural and human-made hazards that face the County and its municipal governments,

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the governing body for the County of Columbia that:

- The Columbia County 2022 Hazard Mitigation Plan is hereby adopted as the official Hazard Mitigation Plan of the County, and
- The respective officials and agencies identified in the implementation strategy of the Columbia County 2022 Hazard Mitigation Plan are hereby directed to implement the recommended activities assigned to them.

ADOPTED, this _____ day of _____, 2023

ATTEST: COLUMBIA COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
By_____
By_____
By_____
By_____

Columbia County 2022 Hazard Mitigation Plan

Municipal Adoption Resolution

WHEREAS, the *<Borough/Township of Municipality Name>*, Columbia County, Pennsylvania is most vulnerable to natural and human-made hazards which may result in loss of life and property, economic hardship, and threats to public health and safety, and

WHEREAS, Section 322 of the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 (DMA 2000) requires state and local governments to develop and submit for approval to the President a mitigation plan that outlines processes for identifying their respective natural hazards, risks, and vulnerabilities, and

WHEREAS, the *<Borough/Township of Municipality Name>* acknowledges the requirements of Section 322 of DMA 2000 to have an approved Hazard Mitigation Plan as a prerequisite to receiving post-disaster Hazard Mitigation Grant Program funds, and

WHEREAS, the Columbia County 2022 Hazard Mitigation Plan has been developed by the Columbia County Emergency Management Agency and the Columbia County Resiliency Office in cooperation with other county departments, and officials and citizens of *<Borough/Township of Municipality Name>*, and

WHEREAS, a public involvement process consistent with the requirements of DMA 2000 was conducted to develop the Columbia County 2022 Hazard Mitigation Plan, and

WHEREAS, the Columbia County 2022 Hazard Mitigation Plan recommends mitigation activities that will reduce losses to life and property affected by both natural and human-made hazards that face the County and its municipal governments,

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the governing body for the *<Borough/Township* of *Municipality Name>*:

- The Columbia County 2022 Hazard Mitigation Plan is hereby adopted as the official Hazard Mitigation Plan of the *Borough/Township*>, and
- The respective officials and agencies identified in the implementation strategy of the Columbia County 2022 Hazard Mitigation Plan are hereby directed to implement the recommended activities assigned to them.

| ADOPTED, this | day of | , 2023 |
|---------------|--------|---|
| ATTEST: | | <borough municipality="" name="" of="" township=""></borough> |
| | | Ву |
| | | Ву |
| | | |

APPENDICES HAVE BEEN REDACTED FROM THIS COPY FOR PUBLIC SAFETY PURPOSES. THE INFORMATION INCLUDED IN THESE APPENDICES CAN BE OBTAINED FORM THE COLUMBIA COUNTY RESILIENCY OFFICE BY APPOINTMENT, AT (570) 389-9146 EXT 9.